Appendix F

Documents for Educator Evaluation

Littleton Public Schools

Educator Evaluation Documents Table of Contents

CONTRACT LANGUAGE

FORMS FOR EDUCATOR EVALUATION

Overview of Forms Self-Assessment Form Educator Plan Form Evaluator Record of Evidence Form Educator Collection of Evidence Form Formative Assessment Report Form Formative Evaluation Report Form Summative Evaluation Report Form Educator Response Form Setting Smart Goals

RUBRICS

Teacher Rubric Specialized Instructional Support Personnel Rubric Guidance Rubric School Nurses Rubric

CONTRACT LANGUAGE

Section Outline for Contract Language

| 1. | Purpose of Educator Evaluation51 |
|-----|--|
| 2. | Definitions |
| 3. | Evidence Used in Evaluation55 |
| 4. | Rubric |
| 5. | Evaluation Cycle: Training56 |
| 6. | Evaluation Cycle: Annual Orientation56 |
| 7. | Evaluation Cycle: Self-Assessment |
| 8. | Evaluation Cycle: Goal Setting and Educator Plan Development57 |
| 9. | Evaluation Cycle: Observation of Practice and Examination of Artifacts – Educators |
| | without PTS58 |
| 10. | Evaluation Cycle: Observation of Practice and Examination of Artifacts – Educators |
| | with PTS58 |
| 11. | Observations |
| 12. | Evaluation Cycle: Formative Assessment |
| 13. | Evaluation Cycle : Formative Evaluation for Two-Year Self-Directed Plans Only60 |
| 14. | Evaluation Cycle: Summative Evaluation61 |
| 15. | Educator Plans : General62 |
| 16. | Educator Plans: Developing Educator Plan62 |
| 17. | Educator Plans: Self-Directed Growth Plan |
| 18. | Educator Plans: Directed Growth Plan63 |
| 19. | Educator Plans: Improvement Plan63 |
| 20. | Timelines65 |
| 21. | Career Advancement |
| 22 | Impact on Student Learning |
| 23. | Using Student feedback in Educator Evaluation67 |
| 24. | Using Staff feedback in Educator Evaluation68 |
| 25. | General Provisions |
| | |

1. Purpose of Educator Evaluation

- A) This contract language is locally negotiated and based on M.G.L., c.71, § 38; M.G.L. c.150E; the Educator Evaluation regulations, 603 CMR 35.00 et seq.; and the Model System for Educator Evaluation developed and which may be updated from time to time by the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education. See 603 CMR 35.02 (definition of model system). In the event of a conflict between this collective bargaining agreement and the governing laws and regulations, the laws and regulations will prevail.
- B) The regulatory purposes of evaluation are:
 - To promote student learning, growth, and achievement by providing Educators with feedback for improvement, enhanced opportunities for professional growth, and clear structures for accountability, 603 CMR 35.01(2)(a);
 - ii) To provide a record of facts and assessments for personnel decisions, 35.01(2)(b);
 - iii) To ensure that every school committee has a system to enhance the professionalism and accountability of teachers and administrators that will enable them to assist all students to perform at high levels, 35.01(3); and
 - iv) To assure effective teaching and administrative leadership, 35.01(3).

2. Definitions (* indicates definition is generally based on 603 CMR 35.02)

- A) *Artifacts of Professional Practice: Products of an Educator's work and student work samples that demonstrate the Educator's knowledge and skills with respect to specific performance standards.
- B) **Caseload Educator**: Educators who teach or counsel individual or small groups of students through consultation with the regular classroom teacher, for example, school nurses, guidance counselors, speech and language pathologists, and some reading specialists and special education teachers.
- C) Classroom teacher: Educators who teach preK-12 whole classes, and teachers of special subjects as such as art, music, library, and physical education. May also include special education teachers and reading specialists who teach whole classes.
- D) Categories of Evidence: Multiple measures of student learning, growth, and achievement as well as other indicators of authentic student learning, judgments based on observations and artifacts of professional practice, including unannounced observations of practice of any duration; and additional evidence relevant to one or more Standards of Effective Teaching Practice (603 CMR 35.03).
- E) Common Assessments shall mean identical or comparable assessments of student learning, growth, and achievement related to the Massachusetts Curriculum Frameworks, Massachusetts Vocational Technical Education Frameworks, or other relevant frameworks used by educators in the same role across the district. These assessments may be commercial assessments or district developed, and may include, but are not limited to: portfolios, pre- and post tests, unit and course assessments, performance assessments, and capstone projects.
- F) ***Educator(s)**: Inclusive term that applies to all classroom teachers and caseload educators, unless otherwise noted.
- G) *Educator Plan: The growth or improvement actions identified as part of each Educator's evaluation. The type of plan is determined by the Educator's career stage, overall performance rating. There shall be four types of Educator Plans:
 - i) **Developing Educator Plan** shall mean a plan developed by the Educator and the Evaluator for one school year or less for an Educator without Professional Teacher Status (PTS); or, at the discretion of an Evaluator, for an Educator with PTS in a new assignment.

- ii) **Self-Directed Growth Plan** shall mean a plan developed by the Educator for one or two school years for Educators with PTS who are rated proficient or exemplary.
- iii) **Directed Growth Plan** shall mean a plan developed by the Educator and the Evaluator of one school year or less for Educators with PTS who are rated needs improvement.
- iv) **Improvement Plan** shall mean a plan developed by the Evaluator of at least 30 calendar days and no more than one school year for Educators with PTS who are rated unsatisfactory with goals specific to improving the Educator's unsatisfactory performance. In those cases where an Educator is rated unsatisfactory near the close of a school year, the plan may include activities during the summer proceeding the next school year.
- H) ESE: The Massachusetts Department of Elementary and Secondary Education.
- *Evaluation: The ongoing process of defining goals and identifying, gathering, and using information as part of a process to improve professional performance (the "formative evaluation" and "formative assessment") and to assess total job effectiveness and make personnel decisions (the "summative evaluation").
- J) *Evaluator: Any person designated by a superintendent who has primary or supervisory responsibility for observation and evaluation. The superintendent is responsible for ensuring that all Evaluators have training in the principles of supervision and evaluation. (Evaluator's designated by the Superintendent will be non LEA members with the exception of employees who are assigned part-time administrative contracts. Each Educator will have one primary Evaluator during the duration of their educator plan responsible for determining performance ratings.)
- K) *Each Educator will have one primary Evaluator at any one time responsible for determining performance ratings.
 - i) **Primary Evaluator** shall be the person who determines the Educator's performance ratings and evaluation.
 - ii) **Supervising Evaluator** shall be the person responsible for developing the Educator Plan, supervising the Educator's progress through formative assessments, evaluating the Educator's progress toward attaining the Educator Plan goals, and making recommendations about the evaluation ratings to the primary Evaluator at the end of the Educator Plan. The Supervising Evaluator may be the primary Evaluator or his/her designee.
 - iii) Teaching Staff Assigned to More Than One Building: Each Educator who is assigned to more than one building will be evaluated by the appropriate administrator where the individual is assigned most of the time. The principal of each building in which the Educator serves must review and sign the evaluation, and may add written comments. In cases where there is no predominate assignment, the superintendent will determine who the primary evaluator will be.
 - iv) Notification The Educator shall be notified in writing of his/her primary Evaluator and supervising Evaluator, if any, at the outset of each new evaluation cycle. The Evaluator(s) may be changed by administration upon notification in writing to the educator. The educator may request a change of evaluator by making a written request to the building principal. If the request is denied, or if the building principal is the evaluator, the teacher may make a written request to the Superintendent, and will be given the opportunity to meet with the Superintendent without building administration present to discuss reasons for the request. Ultimately, the evaluator assignment remains at the discretion of the Superintendent.
- L) Evaluation Cycle: A five-component process that all Educators follow consisting of 1)
 Self-Assessment; 2) Goal-setting and Educator Plan development; 3) Implementation of the Plan; 4)
 Formative Assessment/Evaluation; and 5) Summative Evaluation.

- M) Expected Impact shall mean the educator meets or exceeds anticipated student learning gains on multiple measures of student learning, growth, and achievement. The evaluator shall use professional judgment to determine whether the educator is having expected impact on student learning, based on student learning gains on common assessments and, where available, statewide student growth measures. The evaluator's professional judgment may include, but is not limited to, consideration of the educator's student population and specific learning context. Anticipated student learning gains must be consistent across the district for common assessments and agreed upon by the educator and evaluator for other assessments. Statewide student growth measures, including standardized tests, will not be considered the only determinant in measuring the impact on student learning. It is agreed upon by all parties that state law does not prioritize one type of common assessment over another in measuring the impact on student learning gains for statewide student growth measures in guidance. In 2017 2018, LPS teachers will be exempt from using statewide student growth measures for determining impact on student learning. (All parties agree that the previous sentence will be deleted by September 2018.)
- N) *Experienced Educator: An educator with Professional Teacher Status (PTS).
- O) *Family: Includes students' parents, legal guardians, foster parents, or primary caregivers.
- P) *Formative Assessment: The process used to assess progress towards attaining goals set forth in Educator plans, performance on standards, or both. This process may take place at any time(s) during the cycle of evaluation, but typically takes place at mid-cycle.
- Q) *Formative Evaluation: An evaluation conducted at the end of Year 1 for an Educator on a 2-year Self-Directed Growth plan which is used to arrive at a rating on progress towards attaining the goals set forth in the Educator Plan, performance on Standards and Indicators of Effective Teaching Practice, or both.
- R) *Goal: A specific, actionable, and measurable area of improvement as set forth in an Educator's plan. A goal may pertain to any or all of the following: Educator practice in relation to Performance Standards, Educator practice in relation to indicators, or specified improvement in student learning, growth and achievement. Goals may be developed by individual Educators, by the Evaluator, or by teams, departments, or groups of Educators who have the same role.
- S) *Measurable: That which can be classified or estimated in relation to a scale, rubric, or standards.
- T) **Multiple Measures of Student Learning**: Measures must include a combination of classroom, school and district assessments, student growth percentiles on state assessments, where available.
- U) *Observation: A data gathering process that includes notes and judgments made during one or more classroom or worksite visits(s) of any duration by the Evaluator and may include examination of artifacts of practice including student work. An observation may occur in person or through video. Video observations will be done openly and with knowledge of the Educator. The parties agree to bargain the protocols of video observations should either party wish to adopt such practice. Classroom or worksite observations conducted pursuant to this article must result in feedback to the Educator. Normal supervisory responsibilities of department, building and district administrators will also cause administrators to drop in on classes and other activities in the worksite at various times as deemed necessary by the administrator. Carrying out these supervisory responsibilities, when they do not result in targeted and constructive feedback to the Educator, are not observations as defined in this Article.
- V) Parties: The parties to this agreement are the local school committee and the employee organization that represents the Educators covered by this agreement for purposes of collective bargaining ("Employee Organization/Association").
- W) ***Performance Rating:** Describes the Educator's performance on each performance standard and overall. There shall be four performance ratings:

- Exemplary: the Educator's performance consistently and significantly exceeds the requirements of a standard or overall. The rating of exemplary on a standard indicates that practice significantly exceeds proficient and could serve as a model of practice on that standard district-wide.
- Proficient: the Educator's performance fully and consistently meets the requirements of a standard or overall. Proficient practice is understood to be fully satisfactory.
- Needs Improvement: the Educator's performance on a standard or overall is below the requirements of a standard or overall, but is not considered to be unsatisfactory at this time. Improvement is necessary and expected.
- Unsatisfactory: the Educator's performance on a standard or overall has not significantly improved following a rating of needs improvement, or the Educator's performance is consistently below the requirements of a standard or overall and is considered inadequate, or both.
- X) ***Performance Standards**: Locally developed standards and indicators pursuant to M.G.L. c. 71, § 38 and consistent with, and supplemental to 603 CMR 35.00. The parties may agree to limit standards and indicators to those set forth in 603 CMR 35.03.
- Y) *Professional Teacher Status: PTS is the status granted to an Educator pursuant to M.G.L. c. 71, § 41.
- Z) Rating of Overall Educator Performance: The Educator's overall performance rating is based on the Evaluator's professional judgment and examination of evidence of the Educator's performance against the four Performance Standards and the Educator's attainment of goals set forth in the Educator Plan, as follow
 - i) Standard 1: Curriculum, Planning and Assessment
 - ii) Standard 2: Teaching All Students
 - iii) Standard 3: Family and Community Engagement
 - iv) Standard 4: Professional Culture
 - v) Attainment of Professional Practice Goal(s)
 - vi) Attainment of Student Learning Goal(s)
- AA) ***Rubric**: A scoring tool that describes characteristics of practice or artifacts at different levels of performance. The rubrics for Standards and Indicators of Effective Teaching Practice are used to rate Educators on Performance Standards, these rubrics consists of:
 - i) Standards: Describes broad categories of professional practice, including those required in 603 CMR 35.03
 - ii) Indicators: Describes aspects of each standard, including those required in 603 CMR 35.03
 - iii) Elements: Defines the individual components under each indicator
 - iv) Descriptors: Describes practice at four levels of performance for each element

- BB) **Standards and Indicators** shall mean the Standards and Indicators of Effective Teaching Practice, 603 CMR 35.03. Standard 2: Teaching All Students includes Student Learning Indicator.
- CC) Student Learning Indicator: Demonstrates expected impact on student learning based on multiple measures of student learning, growth, and achievement. For teachers who are responsible for direct instruction, these measures must include student progress on common assessments and, where available, statewide student growth measures.
- DD) ***Summative Evaluation**: An evaluation used to arrive at a rating on each standard, an overall rating, and as a basis to make personnel decisions. The summative evaluation includes the Evaluator's judgments of the Educator's performance against Performance Standards and the Educator's attainment of goals set forth in the Educator's Plan.
- EE) ***Superintendent**: The person employed by the school committee pursuant to M.G.L. c. 71 §59 and §59A. The superintendent is responsible for the implementation of 603 CMR 35.00.
- FF) *Teacher: An Educator employed in a position requiring a certificate or license as described in 603 CMR 7.04(3)(a, b, and d) and in the area of vocational education as provided in 603 CMR 4.00. Teachers may include, for example, classroom teachers, librarians, guidance counselors, or school nurses.

3. Evidence Used In Evaluation

The following categories of evidence shall be used in evaluating each Educator:

- A) For educators responsible for direct instruction, Multiple measures of student learning, growth, and achievement, which shall include:
 - i) Measures of student progress on classroom assessments that are aligned with the Massachusetts Curriculum Frameworks or other relevant frameworks and are comparable within grades or subjects in a school;
 - Statewide growth measure(s) where available, including the MCAS Student Growth Percentile and the Massachusetts English Proficiency Assessment. Common assessments of student learning, growth, and achievement.
 - iii) Measures of student progress and/or achievement toward student learning goals set between the Educator and Evaluator for the school year or some other period of time established in the Educator Plan.
 - iv) For Educators whose primary role is not as a classroom teacher, the appropriate measures of the Educator's contribution to student learning, growth, and achievement set by the district. The measures set by the district should be based on the Educator's role and responsibility.

B) Judgments based on observations and artifacts of practice including:

- i) Unannounced observations of practice of any duration.
- ii) Announced observation(s) for non-PTS Educators in their first year of practice in a school, Educators on Improvement Plans, and as determined by the Evaluator.
- iii) Examination of Educator work products.
- iv) Examination of student work samples.
- C) Evidence relevant to one or more Performance Standards, including but not limited to:
 - i) Evidence compiled and presented by the Educator, including :

Evidence of fulfillment of professional responsibilities and growth such as self-assessments, peer collaboration, professional development linked to goals in the Educator plans, contributions to the school community and professional culture;

Evidence of active outreach to and engagement with families;

- ii) Evidence of progress towards professional practice goal(s);
- iii) Evidence of progress toward student learning outcomes goal(s).
- iv) Student and Staff Feedback see # 23-24, below; and
- v) Any other relevant evidence from any source that the Evaluator shares with the Educator. Other relevant evidence could include information provided by other administrators such as the superintendent.

4. Rubric

The rubrics are a scoring tool used for the Educator's self-assessment, the formative assessment, the formative evaluation and the summative evaluation. The districts may use either the rubrics provided by ESE or comparably rigorous and comprehensive rubrics developed or adopted by the district and reviewed by ESE.

5. Evaluation Cycle: Training

Prior to the implementation of the new evaluation process contained in this article, districts shall arrange training for all Educators, principals, and other evaluators that outlines the components of the new evaluation process and provides an explanation of the evaluation cycle. The district through the superintendent shall determine the type and quality of training based on guidance provided by ESE.

6. Evaluation Cycle: Annual Orientation

- A) At the start of each school year, the superintendent, principal or designee shall conduct a meeting for Educators and Evaluators focused substantially on educator evaluation. The superintendent, principal or designee shall:
 - i. Provide an overview of the evaluation process, including goal setting and the educator plans.
 - ii. Provide all Educators with directions for obtaining a copy of the forms used by the district. These may be electronically provided.
 - iii. The faculty meeting may be digitally recorded to facilitate orientation of Educators hired after the beginning of the school year.

7. Evaluation Cycle: Self-Assessment

- A) Completing the Self-Assessment
 - i) The evaluation cycle begins with the Educator completing and submitting to the Primary or Supervising Evaluator a self-assessment by October 1st or within four weeks of the start of their employment at the school.
 - ii) The self-assessment includes:

An analysis of evidence of student learning, growth and achievement for students under the Educator's responsibility.

An assessment of practice against each of the four Performance Standards of effective practice using the district's rubric.

Proposed goals to pursue:

At least one goal directly related to improving the Educator's own professional practice.

At least one goal directed related to improving student learning.

- B) Proposing the goals
 - i) Educators must consider goals for grade-level, subject-area, department teams, or other groups of Educators who share responsibility for student learning and results, except as provided in (ii) below. Educators may meet with teams to consider establishing team goals. Evaluators may participate in such meetings.
 - ii) For Educators in their first year of practice, the Evaluator or his/her designee will meet with each Educator by October 1st (or within four weeks of the Educator's first day of employment if the Educator begins employment after September 15th) to assist the Educator in completing the self-assessment and drafting the professional practice and student learning goals which must include induction and mentoring activities.
 - Unless the Evaluator indicates that an Educator in his/her second or third years of practice should continue to address induction and mentoring goals pursuant to 603 CMR 7.12, the Educator may address shared grade level or subject area team goals.
 - iv) For Educators with PTS and ratings of proficient or exemplary, the goals may be team goals. In addition, these Educators may include individual professional practice goals that address enhancing skills that enable the Educator to share proficient practices with colleagues or develop leadership skills.
 - v) For Educators with PTS and ratings of needs improvement or unsatisfactory, the professional practice goal(s) must address specific standards and indicators identified for improvement. In addition, the goals may address shared grade level or subject area team goals.

8. Evaluation Cycle: Goal Setting and Development of the Educator Plan

- A) Every Educator has an Educator Plan that includes, but is not limited to, one goal related to the improvement of practice; one goal for the improvement of student learning. The Plan also outlines actions the Educator must take to attain the goals established in the Plan and benchmarks to assess progress. Goals may be developed by individual Educators, by the Evaluator, or by teams, departments, or groups of Educators who have the similar roles and/or responsibilities. See Sections 15-19 for more on Educator Plans.
- B) To determine the goals to be included in the Educator Plan, the Evaluator reviews the goals the Educator has proposed in the Self-Assessment, using evidence of Educator performance and impact on student learning, growth and achievement based on the Educator's self-assessment and other sources that Evaluator shares with the Educator.

During the development of the Educator Plan, evaluators shall communicate clear expectations for educator impact, including but not limited to anticipated student learning gains for the multiple measures that will be used as evidence of educator performance. Anticipated student learning gains must be consistent across the district for common assessments and agreed upon by the educator and evaluator for other classroom assessments. The educator's professional judgment regarding student population and learning context can also be taken into account during the development of the Educator Plan. The Department shall establish anticipated student learning gains for statewide student growth measures in guidance.

- C) Educator Plan Development Meetings shall be conducted as follows:
 - Educators in the same school may meet with the Evaluator in teams and/or individually at the end of the previous evaluation cycle or by October 15th of the next academic year to develop their Educator Plan. Educators shall not be expected to meet during the summer hiatus.
 - For those Educators new to the school, the meeting with the Evaluator to establish the Educator Plan must occur by October 15th or within six weeks of the start of their assignment in that school
 - iii) The Evaluator shall meet individually with Educators with PTS and ratings of needs improvement or unsatisfactory to develop professional practice goal(s) that must address specific standards and indicators identified for improvement. In addition, the goals may address shared grade level or subject matter goals.
- D) The Evaluator completes the Educator Plan by November 1st. The Educator shall sign the Educator Plan within 5 school days of its receipt and may include a written response. The Educator's signature indicates that the Educator received the plan in a timely fashion. The signature does not indicate agreement or disagreement with its contents. The Evaluator retains final authority over the content of the Educator's Plan.

9. Evaluation Cycle: Observation of Practice and Examination of Artifacts – Educators without PTS

- A) In the first year of practice or first year assigned to a school:
 - i) The Educator shall have at least one announced observation during the school year using the protocol described in section 11B, below.
 - ii) The Educator shall have at least four unannounced observations during the school year.
- B) In their second and third years of practice or second and third years as a non-PTS Educator in the school:
 - i) The Educator shall have at least three unannounced observations during the school year.

10. Evaluation Cycle: Observation of Practice and Examination of Artifacts – Educators with PTS

- A) The Educator whose overall rating is proficient or exemplary must have at least one unannounced observation during the evaluation cycle.
- B) The Educator whose overall rating is needs improvement must be observed according to the Directed Growth Plan during the period of Plan which must include at least two unannounced observations.
- C) The Educator whose overall rating is unsatisfactory must be observed according to the Improvement Plan which must include both unannounced and announced observation. The number and frequency of the observations shall be determined by the Evaluator, but in no case, for improvement plans of one year, shall there be fewer than one announced and four unannounced observations. For Improvement Plans of six months or fewer, there must be no fewer than one announced and two unannounced observations.

11. Observations

The Evaluator's first observation of the Educator should take place by November 15. Observations required by the Educator Plan should be completed by May 15th. The Evaluator may conduct additional observations after this date.

The Evaluator is not required nor expected to review all the indicators in a rubric during an observation.

A) Unannounced Observations

- i) Unannounced observations may be in the form of partial or full-period classroom visitations, Instructional Rounds, Walkthroughs, Learning Walks, or any other means deemed useful by the Evaluator, principal, superintendent or other administrator.
- ii) The Educator will be provided with at least brief written feedback from the Evaluator within 3-5 school days of the observation. The written feedback shall be delivered to the Educator in person, by email, placed in the Educator's mailbox or mailed to the Educator's home.
- iii) Any observation or series of observations resulting in one or more standards judged to be unsatisfactory or needs improvement for the first time must be followed by at least one observation of at least 30 minutes in duration within 30 school days.
- B) Announced Observations
 - i) All non-PTS Educators in their first year in the school, PTS Educators on Improvement Plans and other educators at the discretion of the evaluator shall have at least one Announced Observation.

The Evaluator shall select the date and time of the lesson or activity to be observed and discuss with the Educator any specific goal(s) for the observation.

Within 5 school days of the scheduled observation, upon request of either the Evaluator or Educator, the Evaluator and Educator shall meet for a pre-observation conference. In lieu of a meeting, the Educator may inform the Evaluator in writing of the nature of the lesson, the student population served, and any other information that will assist the Evaluator to assess performance

The Educator shall provide the Evaluator a draft of the lesson, student conference, IEP plan or activity. If the actual plan is different, the Educator will provide the Evaluator with a copy prior to the observation.

The Educator will be notified as soon as possible if the Evaluator will not be able to attend the scheduled observation. The observation will be rescheduled with the Educator as soon as reasonably practical.

Within 5 school days of the observation, the Evaluator and Educator shall meet for a post-observation conference. This timeframe may be extended due to unavailability on the part of either the Evaluator or the Educator, but shall be rescheduled within 24 hours if possible.

- 1. The Evaluator shall provide the Educator with written feedback within 5 school days of the post-observation conference. For any standard where the Educator's practice was found to be unsatisfactory or needs improvement, the feedback must:
 - a. Describe the basis for the Evaluator's judgment.
 - b. Describe actions the Educator should take to improve his/her performance.
 - c. Identify support and/or resources the Educator may use in his/her improvement.
 - d. State that the Educator is responsible for addressing the need for improvement.

12. Evaluation Cycle: Formative Assessment

A) A specific purpose for evaluation is to promote student learning, growth and achievement by providing Educators with feedback for improvement. Evaluators are expected to make frequent unannounced visits to classrooms. Evaluators are expected to give targeted constructive feedback to Educators based on their observations of practice, examination of artifacts, and analysis of multiple measures of student learning, growth and achievement in relation to the Standards and Indicators of Effective Teaching Practice.

- B) Formative Assessment may be ongoing throughout the evaluation cycle but typically takes places mid-cycle when a Formative Assessment report is completed. For an Educator on a two-year Self-Directed Growth Plan, the mid-cycle Formative Assessment report is replaced by the Formative Evaluation report at the end of year one. See section 13, below.
- C) The Formative Assessment report provides written feedback and ratings to the Educator about his/her progress towards attaining the goals set forth in the Educator Plan, performance on Performance Standards and overall, or both
- D) No less than two weeks before the due date for the Formative Assessment report, which due date shall be established by the Evaluator with written notice to the Educator, the Educator shall provide to the Evaluator evidence of family outreach and engagement, fulfillment of professional responsibility and growth, and progress on attaining professional practice and student learning goals. The educator may provide to the evaluator additional evidence of the educator's performances against the four Performance Standards.
- E) Upon the request of either the Evaluator or the Educator, the Evaluator and the Educator will meet either before or after completion of the Formative Assessment Report.
- F) The Evaluator shall complete the Formative Assessment report and provide a copy to the Educator. All Formative Assessment reports must be signed by the Evaluator and delivered face-to-face, by email or to the Educator's school mailbox or home.
- G) The Educator may reply in writing to the Formative Assessment report within 5 school days of receiving the report.
- H) The Educator shall sign the Formative Assessment report by within 5 school days of receiving the report. The signature indicates that the Educator received the Formative Assessment report in a timely fashion. The signature does not indicate agreement or disagreement with its contents.
- I) As a result of the Formative Assessment Report, the Evaluator may change the activities in the Educator Plan.
- J) If the rating in the Formative Assessment report differs from the last summative rating the Educator received, the Evaluator may place the Educator on a different Educator Plan, appropriate to the new rating.

13. Evaluation Cycle: Formative Evaluation for Two Year Self-Directed Plans Only

- A) Educators on two-year Self-Directed Growth Educator Plans receive a Formative Evaluation report near the end of the first year of the two year cycle. The Educator's performance rating for that year shall be assumed to be the same as the previous summative rating unless evidence demonstrates a significant change in performance in which case the rating on the performance standards may change, and the Evaluator may place the Educator on a different Educator plan, appropriate to the new rating.
- B) The Formative Evaluation report provides written feedback and ratings to the Educator about his/her progress towards attaining the goals set forth in the Educator Plan, performance on each performance standard and overall, or both.
- C) No less than two weeks before the due date for the Formative Evaluation report, which due date shall be established by the Evaluator with written notice provided to the Educator, the Educator shall provide to the Evaluator evidence of family outreach and engagement, fulfillment of

professional responsibility and growth, and progress on attaining professional practice and student learning goals. The educator may also provide to the evaluator additional evidence of the educator's performance against the four Performance Standards.

- D) The Evaluator shall complete the Formative Evaluation report and provide a copy to the Educator. All Formative Evaluation reports must be signed by the Evaluator and delivered face-to-face, by email or to the Educator's school mailbox or home.
- E) Upon the request of either the Evaluator or the Educator, the Evaluator and the Educator will meet either before or after completion of the Formative Evaluation Report.
- F) The Educator may reply in writing to the Formative Evaluation report within 5 school days of receiving the report.
- G) The Educator shall sign the Formative Evaluation report by within 5 school days of receiving the report. The signature indicates that the Educator received the Formative Evaluation report in a timely fashion. The signature does not indicate agreement or disagreement with its contents.
- H) As a result of the Formative Evaluation report, the Evaluator may change the activities in the Educator Plan.
- If the rating in the Formative Evaluation report differs from the last summative rating the Educator received, the Evaluator may place the Educator on a different Educator Plan, appropriate to the new rating.

14. Evaluation Cycle: Summative Evaluation

- A) The evaluation cycle concludes with a summative evaluation report. For Educators on a one or two year Educator Plan, the summative report must be written and provided to the educator by May 15th.
- B) The Evaluator determines a rating on each standard and an overall rating based on the Evaluator's professional judgment, an examination of evidence against the Performance Standards and evidence of the attainment of the Educator Plan goals.
- C) The professional judgment of the primary evaluator shall determine the overall summative rating that the Educator receives.
- D) The summative evaluation rating must be based on evidence from multiple categories of evidence. MCAS Growth scores shall not be the sole basis for a summative evaluation rating.
- E) To be rated proficient overall, the Educator shall, at a minimum, have been rated proficient on the Curriculum, Planning and Assessment and the Teaching All Students Standards of Effective Teaching Practice.
- F) No less than four weeks before the due date for the Summative Evaluation report, which due date shall be established by the Evaluator with written notice provided to the Educator, the Educator will provide to the Evaluator evidence of family outreach and engagement, fulfillment of professional responsibility and growth, and progress on attaining professional practice and student learning goals. The educator may also provide to the evaluator additional evidence of the educator's performance against the four Performance Standards.
- G) The Summative Evaluation report should recognize areas of strength as well as identify recommendations for professional growth.
- H) The Evaluator shall deliver a signed copy of the Summative Evaluation report to the Educator face-to-face, by email or to the Educator's school mailbox or home no later than May 15th.
- I) The Evaluator shall meet with the Educator rated needs improvement or unsatisfactory to discuss the summative evaluation. The meeting shall occur by June 1st.

- J) The Evaluator may meet with the Educator rated proficient or exemplary to discuss the summative evaluation, if either the Educator or the Evaluator requests such a meeting. The meeting shall occur by June 10th.
- K) Upon mutual agreement, the Educator and the Evaluator may develop the Self-Directed Growth Plan for the following two years during the meeting on the Summative Evaluation report.

The Educator shall sign the final Summative Evaluation report by June 15th. The signature indicates that the Educator received the Summative Evaluation report in a timely fashion.

The signature does not indicate agreement or disagreement with its contents.

- L) The Educator shall have the right to respond in writing to the summative evaluation which shall become part of the final Summative Evaluation report.
- M) A copy of the signed final Summative Evaluation report shall be filed in the Educator's personnel file.

15. Educator Plans – General

- A) Educator Plans shall be designed to provide Educators with feedback for improvement, professional growth, and leadership; and to ensure Educator effectiveness and overall system accountability. The Plan must be aligned to the standards and indicators and be consistent with district and school goals.
- B) The Educator Plan shall include, but is not limited to:
 - a. At least one goal related to improvement of practice tied to one or more Performance Standards;
 - b. At least one goal for the improvement the learning, growth and achievement of the students under the Educator's responsibility;
 - c. An outline of actions the Educator must take to attain the goals and benchmarks to assess progress. Actions must include specified professional development and learning activities that the Educator will participate in as a means of obtaining the goals, as well as other support that may be suggested by the Evaluator or provided by the school or district. Examples may include but are not limited to coursework, self-study, action research, curriculum development, study groups with peers, and implementing new programs.
- C) It is the Educator's responsibility to attain the goals in the Plan and to participate in any trainings and professional development provided through the state, district, or other providers in accordance with the Educator Plan.

16. Educator Plans: Developing Educator Plan

- A) The Developing Educator Plan is for all Educators without PTS, and, at the discretion of the Evaluator, Educators with PTS in new assignments.
- B) The Educator shall be evaluated at least annually.

17. Educator Plans: Self-Directed Growth Plan

A) A Two-year Self-Directed Growth Plan is for those Educators with PTS who have an overall rating of proficient or exemplary. A formative evaluation report is completed at the end of year 1 and a summative evaluation report at the end of year 2. An educator with PTS who has a proficient or exemplary rating can elect, subject to the approval of the evaluator, to be on a One-year Self Directed Growth Plan.

18. Educator Plans: Directed Growth Plan

- A) A Directed Growth Plan is for those Educators with PTS whose overall rating is needs improvement.
- B) The goals in the Plan must address areas identified as needing improvement as determined by the Evaluator.
- C) The Evaluator shall complete a summative evaluation for the Educator at the end of the period determined by the Plan, but at least annually, and in no case later than May 15th.
- D) For an Educator on a Directed Growth Plan whose overall performance rating is at least proficient, the Evaluator will place the Educator on a Self-Directed Growth Plan for the next Evaluation Cycle.
- E) For an Educator on a Directed Growth Plan whose overall performance rating is not at least proficient, the Evaluator will rate the Educator as unsatisfactory and will place the Educator on an Improvement Plan for the next Evaluation Cycle.

19. Educator Plans: Improvement Plan

- A) An Improvement Plan is for those Educators with PTS whose overall rating is unsatisfactory.
- B) The parties agree that in order to provide students with the best instruction, it may be necessary from time to time to place an Educator whose practice has been rated as unsatisfactory on an Improvement Plan of no fewer than 30 calendar days and no more than one school year. In the case of an Educator receiving a rating of unsatisfactory near the close of one school year, the Improvement Plan may include activities that occur during the summer before the next school year begins.
- C) The Evaluator must complete a summative evaluation for the Educator at the end of the period determined by the Evaluator for the Plan.
- D) An Educator on an Improvement Plan shall be assigned a Supervising Evaluator (see definitions). The Supervising Evaluator is responsible for providing the Educator with guidance and assistance in accessing the resources and professional development outlined in the Improvement Plan. The primary evaluator may be the Supervising Evaluator.
- E) The Improvement Plan shall define the problem(s) of practice identified through the observations and evaluation and detail the improvement goals to be met, the activities the Educator must take to improve and the assistance to be provided to the Educator by the district.
- F) The Improvement Plan process shall include:
 - i. Within ten school days of notification to the Educator that the Educator is being placed on an Improvement Plan, the Evaluator shall schedule a meeting with the Educator to discuss the Improvement Plan. The Evaluator will develop the Improvement Plan, which will include the provision of specific assistance to the Educator.
 - ii. The Educator may request that a representative of the Employee Organization/Association attend the meeting(s).
 - iii. If the Educator consents, the Employee Organization/Association will be informed that an Educator has been placed on an Improvement Plan.

- G) The Improvement Plan shall:
 - i. Define the improvement goals directly related to the performance standard(s) and/or student learning outcomes that must be improved;
 - ii. Describe the activities and work products the Educator must complete as a means of improving performance;
 - iii. Describe the assistance that the district will make available to the Educator;
 - iv. Articulate the measurable outcomes that will be accepted as evidence of improvement;
 - v. Detail the timeline for completion of each component of the Plan, including at a minimum a mid-cycle formative assessment report of the relevant standard(s) and indicator(s);
 - vi. Identify the individuals assigned to assist the Educator which must include minimally the Supervising Evaluator; and,
 - vii. Include the signatures of the Educator and Supervising Evaluator.
- H) A copy of the signed Plan shall be provided to the Educator. The Educator's signature indicates that the Educator received the Improvement Plan in a timely fashion. The signature does not indicate agreement or disagreement with its contents.
- I) Decision on the Educator's status at the conclusion of the Improvement Plan.
 - i. All determinations below must be made no later than June 1. One of three decisions must be made at the conclusion of the Improvement Plan:

If the Evaluator determines that the Educator has improved his/her practice to the level of proficiency, the Educator will be placed on a Self-Directed Growth Plan.

In those cases where the Educator was placed on an Improvement Plan as a result of his/her summative rating at the end of his/her Directed Growth Plan, if the Evaluator determines that the Educator is making substantial progress toward proficiency, the Evaluator shall place the Educator on a Directed Growth Plan.

In those cases where the Educator was placed on an Improvement Plan as a result of his/her Summative rating at the end of his/her Directed Growth Plan, if the Evaluator determines that the Educator is not making substantial progress toward proficiency, the Evaluator shall recommend to the superintendent that the Educator be dismissed.

If the Evaluator determines that the Educator's practice remains at the level of unsatisfactory, the Evaluator shall recommend to the superintendent that the Educator be dismissed.

20. Timelines

A) Educators with PTS on Two Year Plans

| Activity: | Completed By: |
|---|---------------|
| Superintendent, principal or designee meets with evaluators and educators to explain evaluation process | September 15 |
| Evaluator meets with educators to assist in self assessment and goal setting process | October 15 |
| Educator submits self-assessment and proposed goals. | |
| Evaluator meets with Educators in teams or individually to establish | |
| Educator Plans (Educator Plan may be established at Summative Evaluation Report meeting in prior school year) Educator submits Educator Plan | |
| Evaluator completes Educator Plans | November 1 |
| Evaluator should complete first observation of each Educator | November 15 |
| Educator submits evidence on Standards III (Family and Community Engagement), Standard IV (Professional Culture), and progress on goals (and other standards, if desired). *or four weeks before Formative Assessment Report date established by Evaluator | January 5 |
| Evaluator should complete mid-cycle Formative Assessment Reports for Educators on one-year Educator Plans | February 1 |
| Evaluator hold Formative Assessment Meetings if requested by either Evaluator or Educator | February 15 |
| Educator submits evidence on Standards I (Curriculum, Planning, and Assessment), Standard II (Teaching All Students), and progress on goals (and other standards, if desired). *or four weeks before Summative Assessment Report date established by Evaluator | April 20 |
| | |
| Evaluator completes non-PTS Summative Evaluation Reports Signature Response within 3 days of receipt | May 15 |
| Evaluator completes PTS Summative Evaluation Reports Signature Response within 3 days of receipt | May 30 |
| Evaluator meets with Educators whose overall Summative Evaluation ratings are Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory | June 1 |
| Evaluator meets with Educators whose ratings are proficient or exemplary at request of Evaluator or Educator | June 10 |
| Educator signs Summative Evaluation Report and addresponse, if any within 5 school days of receipt | June 15 |

B) Educators on Plans of Less than One Year

i. The timeline for educators on Plans of less than one year will be established in the Educator Plan.

21. Career Advancement

- A) In order to attain Professional Teacher Status, the Educator should achieve ratings of proficient or exemplary on each Performance Standard and overall. A principal considering making an employment decision that would lead to PTS for any Educator who has not been rated proficient or exemplary on each performance standard and overall on the most recent evaluation shall confer with the superintendent by May 1. The principal's decision is subject to review and approval by the superintendent.
- B) In order to qualify to apply for a teacher leader position, the Educator must have had a Summative Evaluation performance rating of proficient or exemplary for at least the previous two years.
- C) Educators with PTS whose summative performance rating is exemplary shall be recognized and rewarded with leadership roles, promotions, additional compensation, public commendation or other acknowledgement as determined by the district through collective bargaining where applicable.

22. Impact on Student Learning (Student Learning Indicator: Standard II, Teaching All Students)

- A) Basis of Impact on Student Learning
 - i) The following student performance measures shall be the basis for determining an educator's impact on student learning, growth, and achievement.
 - (a) Statewide growth measure(s),
 - (1) Where available, statewide growth measures must be selected each year as one of the measures used to determine the educator's Student Impact Rating.
 - (2) Statewide growth measures include the MCAS Student Growth Percentile, or its equivalent, and ACCESS for ELLs gain score.
 - (b) Common Assessments
- B) Identifying and Selecting Common Assessments
 - i) Criteria for Selection of Common Assessments
 - (a) Common Assessments may consist of direct or indirect measures.
 - (1) A direct measure assesses student growth in a specific content area or domain of social-emotional or behavioral learning over time.
 - (i) For all classroom educators, at least one measure in each year that will be used to determine an educator's Student Impact Rating must be a direct measure.
 - (ii) Direct measures include, but are not limited to, criterion referenced or norm referenced measures such as: formative, interim and unit pre- and post-assessments in specific subjects, assessments of growth based on performances and/or portfolios of student work judged against common scoring rubrics, and mid-year and end-of-course examinations.

- (2) Indirect measures do not measure student growth in a specific content area or domain of social-emotional or behavioral learning but do measure the consequences of that learning.
 - (i) Indirect measures include, but are not limited to, changes in: promotion and graduation rates, attendance and tardiness rates, rigorous course-taking pattern rates, college course matriculation and course remediation rates, discipline referral and other behavior rates, and other measures of student engagement and progress.
- (b) Common Assessments must be comparable across grade or subject level district-wide.
- (c) Common Assessments must include consistent, transparent scoring processes that clear parameters for anticipated student learning gains.)
- (d) Common Assessments must be aligned to the Massachusetts Curriculum Frameworks, Massachusetts Vocational Technical Education Frameworks, or other relevant Frameworks.
- ii) Process for Selecting Common Assessments

Educators and evaluators must agree on the multiple measures of student learning that will be used as the basis of the Student Learning Indicator no later than November 1st.

C) Determining Impact on Student Learning/ Student Learning Indicator

The evaluator shall use his/her professional judgment to determine whether the educator is having expected impact on student learning, based on student learning gains on common assessments and, where available, statewide student growth measures. The evaluator's professional judgment may include, but is not limited to, consideration of the educator's student population and specific learning context. Anticipated student learning gains must be consistent across the district for common assessments and agreed upon by the educator and evaluator for other assessments. The Department shall establish anticipated student learning gains for statewide student growth measures in guidance. Educators shall have an opportunity to review and confirm the roster of students whose scores will be used in the determination of their impact on student learning. Results from students who are not present for instruction or education services for at least 90 percent of the allotted instructional or service time shall not be used in the determination of impact on student learning. In 2017 - 2018, LPS teachers will be exempt from using statewide student growth measures for determining impact on student learning. (All parties agree that the previous sentence will be deleted by September 2018.)

23. Using Student feedback in Educator Evaluation

In accordance with 603 CMR 35.07(1)(c)(2), the parties agree that student feedback shall be used as evidence relevant to one or more Performance Standards in the evaluation of each educator (see Section 3.C). Documentation regarding student feedback from both the educator and the evaluator will be recorded in the evidence section for Performance Standard IV, Professional Culture, Indicator A-1, Reflective Practice.

To promote flexibility in the identification of instruments educators use to collect student feedback, the instruments educators utilize may be district-wide, school-based, educator role-based, educator-specific or some combination of these instruments. District-wide or school-based instruments implemented to collect student feedback shall include safeguards necessary to protect student confidentiality. Educator-role based or educator-specific instruments can be utilized upon approval of the evaluator.

To promote flexibility in incorporating student feedback into the 5-Step Evaluation Cycle, student feedback may be gathered at multiple points and can be considered formatively, summatively, or both. Student

feedback may be a source of evidence to inform the Self-Assessment and Goal Setting and Plan Development Steps (Steps 1 & 2). Student feedback may also be used as evidence at the Formative/Evaluation and Summative Evaluation Steps (Steps 4 & 5). In particular, student feedback can be utilized as evidence of Performance Standard IV, Professional Culture, Indicator A-1, Reflective Practice. *(Adopted May 14, 2015)*

24. Using Staff feedback in Educator Evaluation

In accordance with 603 CMR 35.07(1)(c)(3), the parties agree that staff feedback shall be used as evidence relevant to one or more Performance Standards in the evaluation of each administrator. District-wide or school-based instruments used to collect staff feedback shall include safeguards necessary to protect staff confidentiality. Micro-surveys can be utilized for staff feedback upon approval of the survey format by the administrator's evaluator. (Adopted May 14, 2015)

25. General Provisions

A) Only Educators who are licensed may serve as primary evaluators of Educators.

- B) Evaluators shall not make negative comments about the Educator's performance, or comments of a negative evaluative nature, in the presence of students, parents or other staff, except in the unusual circumstance where the Evaluator concludes that s/he must immediately and directly intervene. Nothing in this paragraph is intended to limit an administrator's ability to investigate a complaint, or secure assistance to support an Educator.
- C) The superintendent shall insure that Evaluators have training in supervision and evaluation, including the regulations and standards and indicators of effective teaching practice promulgated by ESE (35.03), and the evaluation Standards and Procedures established in this Agreement.
- D) Should there be a serious disagreement between the Educator and the Evaluator regarding an overall summative performance rating of unsatisfactory, the Educator may meet with the Evaluator's supervisor to discuss the disagreement. Should the Educator request such a meeting, the Evaluator's supervisor must meet with the Educator. The Evaluator may attend any such meeting at the discretion of the superintendent.
- E) Any serious and/or recurring complaints regarding the performance of a unit member made to any of the administration by any parent, student, or other persons shall be promptly called to the attention of the unit member.
- F) The parties agree to establish a joint labor-management evaluation team which shall review the evaluation processes and procedures annually through the first three years of implementation and recommend adjustments to the parties. (On an as needed basis, the joint labor management team may exercise the option to meet to address updates that have been recommended by the DESE, incorporate changes in law that are applicable to the educator evaluation process or review current practices and language specific to this agreement. The joint labor management team will be comprised of four administrators and four LEA members.)
- G) Violations of this article are subject to the grievance and arbitration procedures. The arbitrator shall determine whether there was substantial compliance with the totality of the evaluation process. When the evaluation process results in the termination or non-renewal of an Educator, then no financial remedy or reinstatement shall issue if there was substantial compliance

FORMS FOR EDUCATOR EVALUATION

Forms for Educator Evaluation

Overview of Forms: The forms included in this Appendix are suggested templates, provided as tools to support educators and evaluators as they implement the new educator evaluation framework. For all of these forms, additional pages may be attached as needed.

- **Educator Tracking Sheet**. This form is intended to be used to track the completion of each step throughout the educator's evaluation process. It will be completed by the educator in conjunction with his/her primary (and possibly supervising) evaluator.
- Self-Assessment Form/Goal-Assessment Form. The Self-Assessment form is intended to be used in support of Step 1: Self-Assessment, the educator's initial step of the cycle. The form can be used by individuals or teams; however, each individual will need to submit a self-assessment. Evaluators sign the form to indicate receipt. The form includes sections for the educator to complete an analysis of student learning, growth, and achievement and an assessment of practice against performance standards. Submission of this form will be noted and initialed on the Educator Tracking Sheet.

1: Self-Assessment **and** Step 2: Goal-Assessment and Plan Development. Individuals and teams may use this form to propose goals (a minimum of one student learning goal and one professional practice goal). The form should initially be submitted with the Self-Assessment Form with the box "Proposed Goals" checked. If the goals are approved as written, the evaluator will check the box "Final Goals" and include a copy of the form with the **Educator Plan Form**. If the goals undergo further refinement, edits may be made to the original, or the form may be rewritten. If the form is redone, the new form should have the box "Final Goals" checked and should then be attached to the **Educator Plan Form**. Submission of this form will be noted and initialed on the **Educator Tracking Sheet**.

- **Educator Plan Form.** This form is intended to be used in support of Step 2: Goal Setting and Plan Development. It will either be completed by the educator for a *Self-Directed Growth Plan*, by the educator and the evaluator together for a *Directed Growth Plan* and a *Developing Educator Plan*, and by the evaluator for an *Improvement Plan*. Completion and/or submission of this form will be noted and initialed on the **Educator Tracking Sheet**.
- **Evaluator Record of Evidence Form.** This form is intended to be used by the evaluator in gathering evidence of an educator's practice during Step 3: Implementation of the Plan. It will be completed by the evaluator and may be reviewed by the educator at any time.
- **Educator Collection of Evidence Form.** This form is intended to be used to support the educator in collecting evidence of his/her practice. It will be completed by the educator and shared with the evaluator prior to Formative Assessment/Evaluation and Summative
- **Formative Assessment Report Form.** This form is intended to be used in support of an educator's formative assessment (Step 4) at the mid-point of the evaluation cycle, at minimum; it can be used multiple times as Formative Assessment can be ongoing. It will be completed by the evaluator. Evaluators are not required to assess both progress toward goals and performance on Standards; they will check off whether they are evaluating "Progress toward Attaining Goals," "Performance on each Standard," or both. Evaluators will provide a brief narrative of progress that includes feedback for improvement. Educators sign off to indicate that they have received a copy of the report and may use the **Educator Response Form** to provide a written response. Completion of this form will be noted and initialed on the **Educator Tracking Sheet**.
- Formative Evaluation Report Form. This form is intended to be used in support of an educator's formative evaluation at the end of year one of a two-year *Self-Directed Growth Plan*. It will be completed by the

evaluator. Evaluators are not required to assess both progress toward goals and performance on Standards; they will check off whether they are evaluating "Progress toward Attaining Goals," "Performance on each Standard," or both. Evaluators will provide a brief narrative of progress that includes feedback for improvement. At the point of Formative Evaluation, the overall rating is assumed to be the same as the prior summative evaluation unless evidence demonstrates a significant change in performance leading to a change in Overall Rating and, possibly, Educator Plan. If there is a change in rating, evaluators must provide comments on each of the four Standards briefly describing *why* the rating has changed, the *evidence* that led to a change in rating, and offering *feedback for improvement* (evaluators are encouraged to provide comments even if there is no change to ensure that educators have a clear sense of their progress and performance and receive feedback for improvement). Educators sign off to indicate that they have received a copy of the report and may use the **Educator Response Form** to provide a written response. Completion of this form will be noted and initialed on the **Educator Tracking Sheet**.

- **Summative Evaluation Report Form.** This form is intended to be used for Step 5: Summative Evaluation. This form applies to all Educator Plans. It will be completed by the evaluator. The evaluator must complete all sections, which are: "Attainment of Student Learning Goal(s)," "Attainment of Professional Practice Goal(s), "Rating on each Standard," "Overall Performance Rating," and "Plan Moving Forward." Evaluators must provide comments on the student learning goal(s), professional practice goal(s), each of the four Standards, and the overall rating briefly describing the level of attainment or performance rating, the *evidence* that led to the level of attainment/rating, and offering *feedback for improvement*. Educators sign off to indicate that they have received a copy of the report and may use the **Educator Response Form** to provide a written response. Completion of this form will be noted and initialed on the **Educator Tracking Sheet**.
- **Educator Response Form.** This form is intended to be used in support of the educator, should he/she want to have a formal response to any part of the evaluation process kept on record. It will be completed by the educator; the evaluator will sign to acknowledge receipt. If the form is submitted in response to the Formative Assessment/Evaluation or to the Summative Evaluation, receipt of the response will also be noted and initialed on the **Educator Tracking Sheet.**

| Educator Plan | n Form: Part 1 of Part 2 | | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Educator—Nar | me/Title: | | | | | | | |
| Primary Evalua | Primary Evaluator—Name/Title: | | | | | | | |
| Supervising Eval | luator, if any—Name/Title/Role in evaluation: | | | | | | | |
| School(s): | | | | | | | | |
| Educator Plan: | Self-Directed Growth Plan Developing Educator Plan Improvement Plan* | | | | | | | |
| Plan Duration: | Two-Year One-Year Less than a year | | | | | | | |
| Start Date: | End Date: | | | | | | | |
| Self Assessment | t Analysis | | | | | | | |
| upcoming sch | Part 1: Analysis of Student Learning, Growth, and Achievement Briefly summarize areas of strength and high-priority concerns for students under your responsibility for the upcoming school year. Cite evidence such as results from available assessments. This form should be individually submitted by educator, but Part 1 can also be used by individuals and/or teams who jointly review and analyze student data. <u>603 CMR 35.06 (2)(a)1</u> | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Team, if applicable: List Team Members below:

Educator Plan Form: Part 2 of Part 2

Educator—Name/Title:

| Part 2: Assessment of Practice Against Performance Standards Citing your district's performance rubric, briefly summarize areas of strength and high-priority areas for growth. Areas may target specific Standards, Indicators, or elements, or span multiple Indicators or elements within or across Standards. The form should be individually submitted by educator, but Part 2 can also be used by teams in preparation for proposing team goals. <u>603 CMR 35.06 (2)(a)2</u> | | | | | | |
|---|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | <u>m // vr/m</u> | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Team, if applicable: | | | | | | |
| List Team Members below: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Signature of Educator | Date | | | | | |
| Signature of | Date | | | | | |

• The evaluator's signature indicates that he or she has received a copy of the self-assessment form and the goal setting form with proposed goals. It does not denote approval of the goals.

Goal Setting Form with final goals is attached to the Educator Plan. Some activities may apply to the pursuit of multiple goals or types of goals (student learning or professional practice). Attach additional pages as necessary.

| Student Learning Goal(s): Planned Activities Describe actions the educator will take to attain the student learning goal(s). Activities may apply to individual and/or team. Attach additional pages as needed. | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Action | Supports/Resources from School/District ¹ | Timeline or Frequency | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

*Additional detail may be attached if needed.

Educator Plan Form

Educator—Name/Title:

| Action | Supports/Resources from School/District ¹ | Timeline or | |
|--------|--|-------------|--|
| | | Frequency | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

and leadership," is "aligned to statewide Standards and Indicators in 603 CMR 35.00 and local Performance Standards," and "is consistent with district and school goals." (see <u>603 CMR 35.06 (3)(d)</u> and <u>603 CMR</u> <u>35.06(3)(f)</u>.)

| Signature of Evaluator | Date: | |
|------------------------|-------|--|
| | | |
| Signature of Educator* | Date: | |

• As the evaluator retains final authority over goals to be included in an educator's plan (see <u>603 CMR</u> <u>35.06(3)(c)</u>), the signature of the educator indicates that he or she has received the Goal Setting Form with the "Final Goal" box checked, indicating the evaluator's approval of the goals. The educator's signature does not necessarily denote agreement with the goals. Regardless of agreement with the final goals, signature indicates recognition that "It is the educator's responsibility to attain the goals in the plan and to participate in any trainings and professional development provided through the state, district, or other providers in accordance with the Educator Plan." (see <u>603 CMR 35.06(4)</u>)

¹ Must identify means for educator to receive feedback for improvement per <u>603 CMR 35.06(3)(d)</u>.

Evaluator Record of Evidence Form

| Educator—Name/Title: |
|--|
| Primary Evaluator—Name/Title: |
| Supervising Evaluator, if any—Name/Title/Role in evaluation: |
| |

| Standards and Indicators for Effective Teaching Practice: Rubric Outline as per <u>603 CMR 35.03</u> The evaluator should track collection to ensure that sufficient evidence has been gathered. | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| I. Curriculum, Planning, & Assessment | II. Teaching All Students | III. Family & Community Engagement | IV. Professional Culture | | | | | |
| I-A. Curriculum and Planning I-B. Assessment I-C. Analysis | II-A. Instruction II-B. Learning Environment II-C. Cultural Proficiency II-D. Expectations | III-A. Engagement III-B. Collaboration III-C. Communication | IV-A. Reflection IV-B. Professional Growth IV-C. Collaboration IV-D. Decision-making IV-E. Shared Responsibility IV-F. Professional Responsibilities | | | | | |

The Rubric Outline is intended to be used for citing Standards and Indicators. Evaluators should review the full rubric for analysis of evidence and determination of ratings

Evaluator Record of Evidence Form

Educator: _____ Evaluator: _____

| Date (Record date of collection, duration if applicable) | Source of Evidence* (e.g., parent conference, observation) | Standard(s)/ Indicator(s) Note Standard(s) and Indicator(s) to which evidence is tied | Analysis of Evidence Record notes "based on observations and artifacts of professional practice, including unannounced observations of practice of any duration" or other forms of evidence to support determining ratings on Standards as per <u>603 CMR 35.07</u> | Feedback Provided Briefly record feedback given to educator (e.g., strengths recognized, suggestions for improvement) |
|---|--|--|--|---|
| EX: 11/8/11 | EX: unit plans, benchmark data | EX: I-B | EX: unit plans were appropriately modified after analysis of benchmark data to better reflect student performance at mid-point of semester | EX: recognized strong adjustment to practice, suggested teacher collaborate with team on backward curriculum mapping |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

*note if classroom observations are announced or unannounced

Educator—Name/Title:

Primary Evaluator—Name/Title:

Supervising Evaluator, if any—Name/Title/Role in evaluation:

School(s):

Evidence pertains to (check all that apply)¹:

- Fulfillment of professional responsibilities and growth
 - Evidence of outreach to and ongoing engagement with families
 - Progress toward attaining student learning goal(s)
- Progress toward attaining professional practice goal(s)

Other:

| Summary of Evidence | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|----|----|----|----|-----|-------|------|------|-----|
| comn | il | od | to | ho | nre | sonto | d to | eval | 111 |

0.77.4.7

luator with a brief analysis. Summarize the evidence c Attach additional pages as needed.

Signature of Educator

Date

Date

Signature of Evaluator Attachment(s) included

¹ Per 603 CMR 35.07(1)(c)1, "Evidence compiled and presented by the educator include[s]: 1. Evidence of fulfillment of professional responsibilities and growth, such as: self-assessments; peer collaboration; professional development linked to goals and or educator plans; contributions to the school community and professional culture; 2. Evidence of active outreach to and ongoing engagement with families." However, educator collection of evidence is not **limited** to these areas.

| Formative Assessment Report Form | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Educator—Name/Title: | | | | | | |
| Primary Evaluator—Name/Title: | | | | | | |
| Supervising Evaluator, if any—Name/Title/Role in evaluation: | | | | | | |
| School(s): | | | | | | |
| Assessing ¹ : Progress toward attaining goals Performance on Standards Both | | | | | | |
| Progress Toward Student Learning Goal(s) Describe current level of progress and feedback for improvement. Attach additional pages as needed. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Progress Toward Professional Practice Goal(s) Describe current level of progress. Attach additional pages as needed. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

¹ As per <u>603 CMR 35.02</u> and <u>603 CMR 35.06(5)</u>, formative assessment shall mean the process used to assess progress towards attaining goals set forth in educator plans, performance on performance standards, or both.

Formative Assessment Report Form

Educator—Name/Title:

Performance on Each Standard

Describe performance and feedback for improvement. Attach additional pages as needed.

I: Curriculum, Planning, & Assessment

II: Teaching All Students

III: Family & Community Engagement

IV: Professional Culture

The educator shall have the opportunity to respond in writing to the formative assessment as per 603 CMR 35.06(5)(c) on the Educator Response Form.

| Signature of Evaluator | Date Completed: |
|------------------------|-----------------|
| | |
| Signature of Educator* | Date Received: |

| * Signature of the educator indicates acknowledgement of this report; it does not necessarily denote agreement with the contents of the report. Educators have the opportunity to respond to this report in writing and may use the Educator Report Form. | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| * For educators on two-year Self-Directed Growth Plans at the end of Year One of the cycle | | | | | | | |
| Educator—Name/Title: | | | | | | | |
| Primary Evaluator—Name/Title: | | | | | | | |
| Supervising Evaluator, if any—Name/Title/Role in evaluation: | | | | | | | |
| School(s): | | | | | | | |
| Assessing ¹ : | | | | | | | |
| Progress toward attaining goalsPerformance on StandardsBoth | | | | | | | |
| Progress Toward Student Learning Goal(s) Attach additional pages as needed. | | | | | | | |
| Did not meet Some progress Significant Progress Met Exceeded | | | | | | | |
| Rationale, evidence, and feedback for improvement: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Progress Toward Professional Practice Goal(s) Attach additional pages as needed. | | | | | | | |
| Did not meet Some progress Significant Progress Met Exceeded | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Rationale, evidence, and feedback for improvement: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

¹ As per <u>603 CMR 35.02</u> and <u>603 CMR 35.06(5)</u>, formative evaluation shall mean the process used to assess progress towards attaining goals set forth in educator plans, performance on performance standards, or both.

Formative Evaluation Report Form

Educator—Name/Title:

Evaluator is assigning same ratings as prior Summative Evaluation; no comments needed

Evaluator is assigning ratings that differ from prior Summative Evaluation; comments are required

| Rating on Each Standard | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------|-------------------|------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| I: Curriculum, Planning, & Assessment | Unsatisfactory | Needs Improvement | Proficient | Exemplary | | | |
| Rationale, evidence, and feedback for improvement: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| II: Teaching All Students | Unsatisfactory | Needs Improvement | Proficient | Exemplary | | | |
| Rationale, evidence, and feedback for improvement: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| III: Family/Community Engagement | Unsatisfactory | Needs Improvement | Proficient | Exemplary | | | |
| Rationale, evidence, and feedback for improvement: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| IV: Professional Culture | Unsatisfactory | Needs Improvement | Proficient | Exemplary | | | |

| Formative Evaluation Report Form | | | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Rationale, evidence, and fee | edback for improvement: | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Educator—Name/Title: | | | | |
| Evaluator is assigning san | ne ratings as prior Summative | Evaluation; no comments r | needed | |
| Evaluator is assigning rati | ngs that differ from prior Sum | mative Evaluation; comme | ents required | |
| | | | | |
| | Overall Perfo | ormance Rating | | |
| Unsatisfactory | Needs Improvement | Proficient | Exemplary | |
| Rationale, evidence, and fe | edback for improvement: | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | Plan Movi | ing Forward | | |
| Self-Directed Growth Plan | Directed Growth Plan | Improvement [Plan | Developing Educator Plan | |

The educator shall have the opportunity to respond in writing to the formative evaluation as per 603 CMR 35.06(5)(c) on the Educator Response Form.

| Summative Evaluation Report Form | |
|---|--|
| Educator—Name/Title: | |
| Primary Evaluator—Name/Title: | |
| Supervising Evaluator, if any—Name/Title/Role in evaluation: | |
| School(s): | |
| Current Plan: Self-Directed Growth Plan Directed Growth Plan Developing Educator Plan Improvement Plan | |
| Progress Toward Student Learning Goal(s) Attach additional pages as needed. | |
| Did not meet Some progress Significant Progress Met Exceeded | |
| Rationale, evidence, and feedback for improvement: | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Progress Toward Professional Practice Goal(s) Attach additional pages as needed. | |
| Did not meet Some progress Significant Progress Met Exceeded | |
| Rationale, evidence, and feedback for improvement: | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

...

| Summative Evaluation Report Form | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|-------------------|------------|-----------|
| Educator—Name/Title: | | | | |
| | Rating | on Each Standard | | |
| I: Curriculum, Planning, & Assessment | Unsatisfactory | Needs Improvement | Proficient | Exemplary |
| Rationale, evidence, and feed | back for improvement: | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| H. Taashing All | | | | |
| II: Teaching All Students | | Needs Improvement | Proficient | Exemplary |
| Rationale, evidence, and feed | back for improvement: | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| III: Family/Community | | | | |
| Engagement | | Needs Improvement | Proficient | Exemplary |
| Rationale, evidence, and feed | back for improvement: | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| IV: Professional | | | | |
| Culture Rationale, evidence, and feed | Unsatisfactory | Needs Improvement | Proficient | Exemplary |
| | back for improvement. | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Summative Evaluation Report Form

| Educator—Name/Title: | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Overall Performance Rating | | | | | | | |
| Unsatisfactory Needs Improvement Proficient Exemplary | | | | | | | |
| Rationale, evidence, and feedback for improvement: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Plan Moving Forward | | | | | | | |
| Self-Directed Growth Plan | Directed Growth Plan | Improvement Plan | Developing Educator Plan | | | | |
| The educator shall have the opportunity to respond in writing to the summative evaluation as per <u>603 CMR</u> <u>35.06(6)</u> on the Educator Response Form. | | | | | | | |
| ignature of EvaluatorDate Completed: | | | | | | | |

Signature of Educator* _____ Date Received: _____

* Signature of the educator indicates acknowledgement of this report; it does not necessarily denote agreement with the contents of the report. Educators have the opportunity to respond to this report in writing and may use the Educator Report Form.

| Educator Response Form | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Educator—Name/Title: | | | | | |
| Primary Evaluator—Name/Title: | | | | | |
| Supervising Evaluator, if any—Name/Title/Role in evaluation: | | | | | |
| School(s): | | | | | |
| Response to: (check all that apply) Educator Plan, including goals and activities Evaluator collection and/or analysis of evidence Formative Assessment or Evaluation Report Summative Evaluation Report Other: | | | | | |
| Educator Response Attach additional pages as needed | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| ignature of Educator Date | | | | | |
| Signature of EvaluatorDate | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) included | | | | | |

Setting SMART Goals⁵

Good goals help educators, schools, and districts improve. That is why the educator evaluation regulations require educators to develop goals that are specific, actionable, and measurable. They require, too, that goals be accompanied by action plans with benchmarks to assess progress.

This "SMART" Goal framework is a useful tool that individuals and teams can use to craft effective goals and action plans:

| S | = | Specific and Strategic |
|---|---|---|
| Μ | = | Measurable |
| Α | = | Action Oriented |
| R | = | Rigorous, Realistic, and Results-Focused (the 3 Rs) |
| Т | = | Timed and Tracked |

Goals with an action plan and benchmarks that have these characteristics are "SMART."

A practical example some of us have experienced in our personal lives can make clear how this SMART goal framework can help turn hopes into actions that have results.

First, an example of not being "SMART" with goals: I will lose weight and get in condition.

Getting SMARTer: Between March 15 and Memorial Day, I will lose 10 pounds and be able to run 1 mile nonstop.

The hope is now a goal, that meets most of the SMART Framework criteria:

| It's Specific and Strategic | = 10 pounds, 1 mile |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|
| It's Measurable | = pounds, miles |
| It's Action-oriented | = lose, run |
| It's got the 3 Rs | = weight loss and running distance |
| It's Timed | = 10 weeks |
| | |

SMART enough: To make the goal really "SMART," though, we need to add an action plan and benchmarks. They make sure the goal meets that final criteria, "Tracked." They also strengthen the other criteria, especially when the benchmarks include "process" benchmarks for tracking progress on the key actions and "outcome" benchmarks that track early evidence of change and/or progress toward the ultimate goal.

Key Actions

Reduce my daily calorie intake to fewer than 1,200 calories for each of 10 weeks.

Walk 15 minutes per day; increase my time by 5 minutes per week for the next 4 weeks.

Starting in week 5, run and walk in intervals for 30 minutes, increasing the proportion of time

spent running instead of walking until I can run a mile, non-stop, by the end of week 10.

⁵ The SMART goal concept was introduced by G.T. Doran, A. Miller and J. Cunningham in *There's a S.M.A.R.T. way to write management's goals and objectives*, <u>Management Review</u> 70 (11), AMA Forum, pp. 35-36. *What Makes a Goal "SMART"?* also draws from the work of Ed Costa, Superintendent of Schools in Lenox; John D'Auria, Teachers 21; and Mike Gilbert, Northeast Field Director for MASC.

Benchmarks:

For process, maintaining a daily record of calorie intake and exercise

For outcome, biweekly weight loss and running distance targets (e.g., After 2 wks: 2 lbs/0 miles; 4 wks: 4 lbs/0 miles; 6 wks: 6lbs/.2 mi; 8 wks: 8 lbs/.4 miles)

S = **Specific and Strategic**

Goals need to be straightforward and clearly written, with sufficient specificity to determine whether or not they have been achieved. A goal is strategic when it serves an important purpose of the school or district as a whole and addresses something that is likely to have a big impact on our overall vision.

M = Measurable

If we can't measure it, we can't manage it. What measures of quantity, quality, and/or impact will we use to determine that we've achieved the goal? And how will we measure progress along the way? Progress toward achieving the goal is typically measured through "benchmarks." Some benchmarks focus on the process: are we doing what we said we were going to do? Other benchmarks focus on the outcome: are we seeing early signs of progress toward the results?

A = Action Oriented

Goals have active, not passive verbs. And the action steps attached to them tell us "who" is doing "what." Without clarity about what we're actually going to do to achieve the goal, a goal is only a hope with little chance of being achieved. Making clear the key actions required to achieve a goal helps everyone see how their part of the work is connected—to other parts of the work and to a larger purpose. Knowing that helps people stay focused and energized, rather than fragmented and uncertain.

R = Rigorous, Realistic, and Results-Focused (the 3 Rs)

A goal is not an activity: a goal makes clear what will be different as a result of achieving the goal. A goal needs to describe a realistic, yet ambitious result. It needs to stretch the educator, team, school, or district toward improvement but not be out of reach. The focus and effort required to achieve a rigorous but realistic goal should be challenging but not exhausting. Goals set too high will discourage us, whereas goals set too low will leave us feeling "empty" when it is accomplished and won't serve our students well.

T = Timed

A goal needs to have a deadline. Deadlines help all of us take action. For a goal to be accomplished, definite times need to be established when key actions will be completed and benchmarks achieved. Tracking the progress we're making on our action steps (process benchmarks) is essential: if we fall behind on doing something we said we were going to do, we'll need to accelerate the pace on something else. But tracking progress on process outcomes isn't enough. Our outcome benchmarks help us know whether we're on track to achieve our goal and/or whether we've reached our goal. Benchmarks give us a way to see our progress and celebrate it. They also give us information we need to make mid-course corrections.

Standards and Indicators of Effective Teaching Practice:

Teacher Rubric

Updated Teacher Rubric 2019-2020

Standard I: Curriculum, Planning, and Assessment. The teacher promotes the learning and growth of all students by providing highquality and coherent instruction, designing and administering authentic and meaningful student assessments, analyzing student performance and growth data, using this data to improve instruction, providing students with constructive feedback on an ongoing basis, and continuously refining learning objectives.

| Indicator I-A. Curriculum and Planning: Knows the subject matter well, has a good grasp of child development and how students learn, and designs effective and rigorous standards-based units of instruction consisting of well-structured lessons with measurable outcomes. | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|---|
| I-A. Elements | Unsatisfactory | Needs Improvement | Proficient | Exemplary |
| I-A-1. Subject Matter Knowledge | Demonstrates limited knowledge of the subject matter and/or its pedagogy; relies heavily on textbooks or resources for development of the factual content. Rarely engages students in learning experiences focused on complex knowledge or subject-specific skills and vocabulary. | Demonstrates factual knowledge of subject matter and the pedagogy it requires by sometimes engaging students in learning experiences that enable them to acquire complex knowledge and subject-specific skills and vocabulary. | Demonstrates sound knowledge and understanding of the subject matter and the pedagogy it requires by consistently engaging students in learning experiences that enable them to acquire complex knowledge and subject-specific skills and vocabulary, such that they are able to make and assess evidence-based | Demonstrates expertise in subject matter and the pedagogy it requires by consistently engaging all students in learning experiences that enable them to acquire, synthesize, and apply complex knowledge and subject-specific skills and vocabulary, such that they are able to make and assess evidence-based claims and |

| | students in learning experiences focused on complex knowledge or subject-specific skills and vocabulary. | knowledge and subject-specific skills and vocabulary. | them to acquire complex knowledge and subject-specific skills and vocabulary, such that they are able to make and assess evidence-based claims and arguments. | apply complex knowledge and subject-specific skills and vocabulary, such that they are able to make and assess evidence-based claims and arguments. Models this practice for others. |
|--|---|--|---|--|
| I-A-2. Child and Adolescent Development | Demonstrates little or no knowledge of developmental levels of students this age or differences in how students learn. Typically develops one learning experience for all students that does not enable most students to meet the intended outcomes. | Demonstrates knowledge of developmental levels of students this age but does not identify developmental levels and ways of learning among the students in the class and/or develops learning experiences that enable some, but not all, students to move toward meeting intended outcomes. | Demonstrates knowledge of the developmental levels of students in the classroom and the different ways these students learn by providing differentiated learning experiences that enable all students to progress toward meeting intended outcomes. | Demonstrates expert knowledge of the developmental levels of the teacher's own students and students in this grade or subject more generally and uses this knowledge to differentiate and expand learning experiences that enable all students to make significant progress toward meeting stated outcomes. Is able to model this element. Performance on this indicator is of such a high level that it could serve as an example to educators in the school or district. |

Standards and Indicators of Effective Teaching Practice: Teacher Rubric

| I-A. Elements | Unsatisfactory | Needs Improvement | Proficient | Exemplary |
|---|---|--|--|---|
| I-A-3. Well- Structured Units and Lessons | Delivers individual lessons rather than units of instruction; constructs units of instruction that are not aligned with state standards/ local curricula; and/or designs lessons that lack measurable outcomes, fail to include appropriate student engagement strategies, and/or include tasks that mostly rely on lower level thinking skills. | Implements lessons and units of instruction to address some knowledge and skills defined in state standards/local curricula with some elements of appropriate student engagement strategies, but some student outcomes are poorly defined and/or tasks are not challenging. | Adapts as needed and implements standards-based units comprised of well-structured lessons with challenging tasks and measurable outcomes; appropriate student engagement strategies, pacing, sequence, resources, and grouping; purposeful questioning; and strategic use of technology and digital media; such that students are able to learn the knowledge and skills defined in state standards/local curricula. | Adapts as needed and implements standards-based units comprised of well-structured lessons with challenging tasks and measurable outcomes; appropriate student engagement strategies, pacing, sequence, resources, and grouping; purposeful questioning; and strategic use of technology and digital media; such that all students are able to learn and apply in authentic contexts the knowledge and skills defined in state standards/local curricula. Models this practice for others. |

Standards and Indicators of Effective Teaching Practice:

| Indicator I-B. | Assessment: Uses a variety of informal and formal methods of assessments to measure student learning, growth, and understanding to develop differentiated and enhanced learning experiences and improve future instruction. | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|
| I-B. Elements | Unsatisfactory | Needs Improvement | Proficient | Exemplary | |
| I-B-1. Variety of Assessment Methods | Administers only the assessments required by the school and/or measures only point-in-time student achievement. | May administer some informal and/or formal assessments to measure student learning but rarely measures student progress toward achieving state/local standards. | Uses a variety of informal and formal assessments methods, including common interim assessments, to measure students' learning, growth, and progress toward achieving state/local standards. | Designs and administers a comprehensive system of informal and formal assessments, including common interim assessments and ongoing progress monitoring methods, to measure each student's learning, growth, and progress toward achieving state/local standards. Models this practice for others. | |
| I-B-2. Adjustments to Practice | Makes few adjustments to practice based on formal and informal assessments. | May analyze some assessment results but only occasionally adjusts practice or modifies future instruction based on the findings. | Analyzes results from a variety of assessments to determine progress toward intended outcomes and uses these findings to adjust practice and identify and/or implement differentiated interventions and enhancements for students. | Regularly organizes and analyzes results from a variety of assessments to determine progress toward intended outcomes and uses these findings to adjust practice in real-time and in upcoming lessons or units by (a) identifying and/or implementing appropriate differentiated interventions and enhancements for all students, and (b) making appropriate modifications to lessons and units. Models this practice for others. | |

Standards and Indicators of Effective Teaching Practice:

| Indicator I-C. A | ndicator I-C. Analysis: Analyzes data from assessments, draws conclusions, and shares them appropriately. | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|---|--|
| I-C. Elements | Unsatisfactory | Needs Improvement | Proficient | Exemplary | |
| I-C-1. Analysis and Conclusions | Does not draw conclusions from student data beyond completing minimal requirements such as grading for report cards. | Draws conclusions from a limited analysis of student data to inform student grading and promotion decisions. | Draws appropriate conclusions from a thorough analysis of a wide range of assessment data to inform instructional decisions and improve student learning. | Draws appropriate, actionable conclusions from a thorough analysis of a wide range of assessment data to inform short- and long-term instructional decisions that improve learning for all students. Models this practice for others. | |
| I-C-2. Sharing Conclusions With Colleagues | Rarely shares with colleagues conclusions about student progress and/or rarely seeks feedback. | Only occasionally shares with colleagues conclusions about student progress and/or only occasionally seeks feedback from them about practices that will support improved student learning. | Regularly shares with appropriate colleagues (e.g., general education, special education, and English learner staff) conclusions about student progress and seeks feedback from them about instructional or assessment practices that will support improved student learning. | Proactively and regularly shares conclusions and insights about student progress with all appropriate colleagues. Seeks and applies feedback from them about instructional and assessment practices that will support improved student learning. | |
| I-C-3. Sharing Conclusions With Students | Provides little or no feedback to students about their performance except through grades or report of task completion, or provides inappropriate feedback that does not support students to improve their performance. | Provides some feedback to students about performance beyond grades but rarely shares strategies or solicits feedback from students on how to improve their performance toward objectives. | Based on assessment data, provides descriptive feedback to students, engages them in constructive conversation, and seeks feedback that focuses on how students can improve their performance. | Establishes regular feedback loops with students that support constructive conversation about student performance, progress, and improvements based on assessment data. Models this practice for others. | |

Standards and Indicators of Effective Teaching Practice:

Teacher Rubric

Standard II: Teaching All Students. The teacher promotes the learning and growth of all students through instructional practices that establish high expectations, create a safe and effective classroom environment, and demonstrate cultural proficiency.

| Indicator II-A. | | I practices that reflect high exp personalized to accommodate d | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|
| II-A. Elements | Unsatisfactory | Needs Improvement | Proficient | Exemplary |
| II-A-1. Quality of Effort and Work | Establishes no or low expectations around quality of work and effort and/or offers few supports for students to produce quality work or effort. | May state high expectations for quality and effort, but provides few exemplars and rubrics, limited guided practice, and/or few other supports to help students know what is expected of them; may establish inappropriately low expectations for quality and effort. | Consistently defines high expectations for the quality of student work and the perseverance and effort required to produce it; often provides exemplars, rubrics, and guided practice. | Consistently demonstrates high expectations for the quality of student work and the perseverance and effort required to produce it; supports students to set high expectations for themselves and for each other to produce high-quality work. Models this practice for others. |
| II-A-2. Student Engagement | Uses instructional practices that leave most students uninvolved and/or passive participants in the content of the lesson. | Uses instructional practices that motivate and engage some students in the content of the lesson, but leave others uninvolved and/or passive participants. | Consistently uses instructional practices that are likely to motivate and engage most students in the content of the lesson. | Consistently uses instructional practices that motivate and engage all students in the content of the lesson and independent work. Models this practice for others. |
| II-A-3. Meeting Diverse Needs | Uses limited and/or inappropriate practices to accommodate differences. | May use some appropriate inclusive practices to accommodate differences, but fails to address an adequate range of differences. | Uses appropriate inclusive practices, such as tiered supports and scaffolded instruction, to accommodate differences in students' learning needs, abilities, interests, and levels of readiness, including those of academically advanced students, students with disabilities, and English learners. | Uses a variety of appropriate inclusive practices, such as tiered supports and scaffolded instruction, to address specific differences in individual students' learning needs, abilities, interests, and levels of readiness, creating structured opportunities for each student to meet or exceed state standards/local curriculum and behavioral expectations. Models this practice for others. |

Standards and Indicators of Effective Teaching Practice:

| Indicator II-B. | . Learning Environment: Creates and maintains a safe and collaborative learning environment that motivates students to take academic risks, challenge themselves, and claim ownership of their learning. | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| II-B. Elements | Unsatisfactory | Needs Improvement | Proficient | Exemplary | |
| II-B-1. Safe Learning Environment | Maintains a physical environment that is unsafe or does not support student learning. Uses inappropriate or ineffective rituals, routines, and/or responses to reinforce positive behavior or respond to behaviors that interfere with students' learning. | May create and maintain a safe physical environment but inconsistently maintains rituals, routines, and responses needed to prevent and/or stop behaviors that interfere with all students' learning. | Uses rituals, routines, and appropriate responses that create and maintain a safe physical and intellectual environment where students take academic risks and most behaviors that interfere with learning are prevented. | Uses rituals, routines, and proactive responses that create and maintain a safe physical and intellectual environment where students take academic risks and play an active role—individually and collectively—in preventing behaviors that interfere with learning. Models this practice for others. | |
| II-B-2. Collaborative Learning Environment | Makes little effort to teach interpersonal, group, and communication skills or facilitate student work in groups, or such attempts are ineffective. | Teaches some interpersonal, group, and communication skills and provides some opportunities for students to work in groups. | Develops students' interpersonal, group, and communication skills and provides opportunities for students to learn in groups with diverse peers. | Teaches and reinforces interpersonal, group, and communication skills so that students seek out their peers as resources. Is able to model this practice. Performance on this indicator is of such a high level that it could serve as an example to educators in the school or district | |
| II-B-3. Student Motivation | Directs all learning experiences, providing few, if any, opportunities for students to take academic risks or challenge themselves to learn. | Creates some learning experiences that guide students to identify needs, ask for support, problem-solve, and challenge themselves to take academic risks. | Regularly creates learning experiences that guide students to identify their strengths, interests, and needs; problem-solve; ask for support when appropriate; take academic risks; and challenge themselves to learn. | Consistently supports all students to identify strengths, interests, and needs; set and pursue learning goals; ask for support when appropriate; take academic risks; problem-solve; challenge themselves; and monitor their own progress. Models this practice for others. | |

Standards and Indicators of Effective Teaching Practice: Teacher Rubric

Indicator II-C. Student Learning: Demonstrates expected impact on student learning based on multiple measures of student learning, growth, and achievement. For teachers who are responsible for direct instruction, these measures must include student progress on common assessments and, where available, statewide student growth measures.

Standards and Indicators of Effective Teaching Practice:

Toophar Dubria

Indicator II-D. Cultural Proficiency: Actively creates and maintains an environment in which students' diverse backgrounds, identities, strengths, and challenges are respected.

| II-D. Elements | Unsatisfactory | Needs Improvement | Proficient | Exemplary |
|---|--|---|---|--|
| II-D-1. Respects Differences | Establishes an environment in which students demonstrate limited respect for individual differences. | Establishes an environment in which students generally demonstrate respect for individual differences | Consistently uses strategies and practices that are likely to enable students to demonstrate respect for and affirm their own and others' differences related to background, identity, language, strengths, and challenges. | Establishes an environment in which students respect and affirm their own and others' differences and are supported to share and explore differences and similarities related to background, identity, language, strengths, and challenges. Is able to model this practice. Performance on this indicator is of such a high level that it could serve as an example to educators in the school or district |
| II-D-2. Maintains Respectful Environment | Minimizes or ignores conflicts and/or responds in inappropriate ways. | Anticipates and responds appropriately to some conflicts or misunderstandings but ignores and/or minimizes others. | Anticipates and responds appropriately to conflicts or misunderstandings arising from differences in backgrounds, languages, and identities. | Anticipates and responds appropriately to conflicts or misunderstandings arising from differences in backgrounds, languages, and identities in ways that lead students to be able to do the same independently. Is able to model this practice. Performance on this indicator is of such a high level that it could serve as an example to educators in the school or district |

Standards and Indicators of Effective Teaching Practice:

| Indicator II-E. | Expectations: Plans and implements lessons that set clear and high expectations and also make knowledge accessible for all students. | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|
| II-E. Elements | Unsatisfactory | Needs Improvement | Proficient | Exemplary | |
| II-E-1. High Expectations | Does not communicate specific academic and behavior expectations to students, and gives up on some students or communicates that some cannot master challenging material. | Occasionally communicates expectations for student work, effort, and behavior in the classroom, but inconsistently enforces these expectations and/or does little to counteract student misconceptions about innate ability. | Clearly communicates high standards for student work, effort, and behavior, and consistently reinforces the expectation that all students can meet these standards through effective effort, rather than innate ability. | Effectively communicates high standards for student work, effort, and behavior such that students take ownership of meeting them; models and reinforces ways that students can master challenging material through effective effort, and successfully challenges misconceptions about innate ability. Models this practice for others. | |
| II-E-2. Access to Knowledge | Rarely adapts instruction, materials, and assessments to make challenging material accessible to all students. | Occasionally adapts instruction, materials, and assessments to make challenging material accessible to all students. | Consistently adapts instruction, materials, and assessments to make challenging material accessible to all students, including English learners and students with disabilities. | Individually and with colleagues, consistently adapts instruction, materials, and assessments to make challenging material accessible to all students, including English learners and students with disabilities; models approaches that lead students to access knowledge on their own as well. Models this practice for others. | |

Standards and Indicators of Effective Teaching Practice:

Teacher Rubric

Standard III: Family and Community Engagement. The teacher promotes the learning and growth of all students through effective partnerships with families, caregivers, community members, and organizations.

| Indicator III-A. | Engagement: Welcomes and community. | encourages every family to be | come active participants in the | classroom and school |
|----------------------------------|--|---|---|---|
| III-A. Elements | Unsatisfactory | Needs Improvement | Proficient | Exemplary |
| III-A-1. Family Engagement | Does not welcome families to become participants in the classroom and school community or actively discourages their participation. | Makes limited attempts to involve families in school and/or classroom activities, meetings, and planning. | Uses a variety of culturally responsive practices and communication strategies to support every family to participate actively and appropriately in the classroom and/or school community. | Engages all families using a variety of culturally responsive practices and communication strategies that result in increased and/or more meaningful participation in the classroom and/or school community. Models this practice for others. |

| Indicator III-B | ndicator III-B. Collaboration: Collaborates with families to create and implement strategies for supporting student learning and development both at home and at school. | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|---|--|
| III-B. Elements | Unsatisfactory | Needs Improvement | Proficient | Exemplary | |
| III-B-1. Learning Expectations | Does not inform families about learning or behavior expectations. | Sends home only a list of classroom rules and the learning outline or syllabus for the year. | Consistently provides families with clear, user-friendly expectations for student learning and behavior. | Successfully communicates to families student learning and behavior expectations and their purposes, such that they can be readily reinforced at home. Models this practice for others. | |
| III-B-2. Curriculum Support | Rarely, if ever, communicates with families on ways to support children at home or at school. | Sends home occasional suggestions on how families can support children at home or at school. | Regularly updates families on curriculum throughout the year and suggests strategies for supporting learning at school and home, including appropriate adaptation for students with disabilities or limited English proficiency. | Regularly updates families on curriculum throughout the year, and prompts most families to use one or more suggested strategies for supporting learning at school and home. Consistently seeks out feedback and evidence of impact. Models this practice for others. | |

Standards and Indicators of Effective Teaching Practice:

| Indicator III-C. | ndicator III-C. Communication: Engages in regular, two-way, and culturally proficient communication with families about student learning and performance. | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| III-C. Elements | Unsatisfactory | Needs Improvement | Proficient | Exemplary | |
| III-C-1. Culturally Proficient Communication | Communicates with or responds to families only through report cards, and/or communicates with families inappropriately or disrespectfully; makes no effort to understand different home languages, cultures, and values. | Relies primarily on newsletters and other one-way media to communicate with families; usually responds promptly and respectfully to communications from families, but demonstrates inconsistent or little understanding of different home languages, cultures, and values. | Regularly communicates with families about student learning and performance, and invites and responds promptly to communications from families while demonstrating understanding of and respect for different home languages, cultures, and values. | Regularly uses a two-way system that supports frequent, proactive, and personalized communication with families about student learning and performance, while demonstrating understanding of and appreciation for different families' home language, culture, and values. Models this practice for others. | |

Standards and Indicators of Effective Teaching Practice:

Teacher Rubric

Standard IV: Professional Culture. The teacher promotes the learning and growth of all students through ethical, culturally proficient, skilled, and collaborative practice.

| Indicator IV-A. | r IV-A. Reflection: Demonstrates the capacity to reflect on and improve the educator's own practice, using informal means as well as meetings with teams and work groups to gather information, analyze data, examine issues, set meaningful goals, and develop new approaches in order to improve teaching and learning. | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|---|---|--|--|
| IV-A. Elements | Unsatisfactory | Needs Improvement | Proficient | Exemplary | |
| IV-A-1. Reflective Practice | Demonstrates limited reflection on practice and/or use of insights gained to improve practice. | May reflect on the effectiveness of lessons/ units and interactions with students by oneself, but not with colleagues, and/or rarely uses insights to improve practice. | Regularly reflects on the effectiveness of lessons, units, and interactions with students, both individually and with colleagues, and uses insights gained to improve practice and student learning. | Regularly reflects on the effectiveness of lessons, units, and interactions with students, both individually and with colleagues; uses and shares back with colleagues insights gained to improve practice and student learning. | |
| IV-A-2. Goal Setting | Generally, participates passively in the goal-setting process and/or proposes goals that are vague or easy to reach. | Proposes goals that are sometimes vague or easy to achieve and/or bases goals on a limited self- assessment and analysis of student learning data. | Proposes and monitors challenging, measurable professional practice and student learning goals that are based on thorough self-assessment and analysis of student learning data. | Individually and with colleagues proposes and monitors challenging, measurable goals that are based on thorough self-assessment and analysis of student learning data, and that elevate educator practice as well as student learning. Models this practice for others. | |

Standards and Indicators of Effective Teaching Practice:

Teacher Rubric

| ndicator IV-B. Professional Growth: Actively pursues professional development and learning opportunities to improve quality of practice or build the expertise and experience to assume different instructional and leadership roles. | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|
| IV-B. Elements | Unsatisfactory | Needs Improvement | Proficient | Exemplary |
| IV-B-1. Professional Learning and Growth | Participates in few, if any, professional development and learning opportunities to improve practice and/or applies little new learning to practice. | Participates only in required professional development activities and/or inconsistently or inappropriately applies new learning to improve practice. | Consistently seeks out and applies, when appropriate, ideas for improving practice from supervisors, colleagues, professional development activities, and other resources to gain expertise and/or assume different instructional and leadership responsibilities. | Consistently seeks out and applies, when appropriate, ideas for improving practice from supervisors, colleagues, professional development activities, and other resources in a way that builds expertise of self and other educators, and improves student learning; assumes different instructional and leadership responsibilities. |

| Indicator IV-C. | Collaboration: Collaborates effectively with colleagues on a wide range of tasks. | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| IV-C. Elements | Unsatisfactory | Needs Improvement | Proficient | Exemplary |
| IV-C-1. Professional Collaboration | Rarely and/or ineffectively collaborates with colleagues; conversations often lack focus on improving student learning. | Does not consistently collaborate with colleagues in ways that support productive team effort. | Consistently and effectively collaborates with colleagues on a wide range of tasks such as implementing standards-based units and well-structured lessons, examining student work, analyzing student performance, and planning appropriate interventions. | Effectively leads peer collaboration in areas such as implementing standards-based units and well- structured lessons, examining student work, analyzing student performance, and planning appropriate intervention. |

Standards and Indicators of Effective Teaching Practice:

| Indicator IV-D. | D. Decision-Making: Becomes involved in schoolwide decision making, and takes an active role in school improvement | | | |
|--------------------------------|--|---|---|---|
| | planning. | | | |
| IV-D. Elements | Unsatisfactory | Needs Improvement | Proficient | Exemplary |
| IV-D-1. Decision- Making | Participates in planning and decision making at the school, department, and/or grade level only when asked and rarely contributes relevant ideas or expertise. | May participate in planning and decision making at the school, department, and/or grade level but rarely contributes relevant ideas or expertise. | Consistently contributes relevant ideas and expertise to planning and decision making at the school, department, and/or grade level. | Consistently contributes relevant ideas and expertise to planning and decision making at the school, department, and/or grade level that are critical to school improvement efforts. |

| Indicator IV-E. | . Shared Responsibility: Shares responsibility for the performance of all students within the school. | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| IV-E. Elements | Unsatisfactory | Needs Improvement | Proficient | Exemplary |
| IV-E-1. Shared Responsibility | Rarely reinforces schoolwide behavior and learning expectations for all students and/or makes a limited contribution to their learning by rarely sharing responsibility for meeting their needs. | Within and beyond the classroom, inconsistently reinforces schoolwide behavior and learning expectations for all students, and/or makes a limited contribution to their learning by inconsistently sharing responsibility for meeting their needs. | Within and beyond the classroom, consistently reinforces schoolwide behavior and learning expectations for all students, and contributes to their learning by sharing responsibility for meeting their needs. | Individually and with colleagues, develops strategies and actions that contribute to the learning and productive behavior of all students at the school, within and beyond the classroom. |

Standards and Indicators of Effective Teaching Practice:

| Indicator IV-F. | /-F. Professional Responsibilities: Is ethical and reliable, and meets routine responsibilities consistently. | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|--|
| IV-F. Elements | Unsatisfactory | Needs Improvement | Proficient | Exemplary | |
| IV-F-1. Judgment | Demonstrates poor professional judgment, fails to adhere to the school or district code of ethics, and/or discloses confidential student information inappropriately. | Sometimes demonstrates questionable professional judgment or insufficient knowledge of the school or district's existing code of ethics, and/or inadvertently shares confidential information. | Demonstrates sound, professional judgment, adheres to the school or district's existing code of ethics, and protects student confidentiality appropriately. | Models sound, professional judgment, adheres to the school or district's existing code of ethics, and protects student confidentiality appropriately. | |
| IV-F-2. Reliability & Responsibility | Frequently fails to meet routine responsibilities, professional deadlines/assignments; and/or regularly fails to adhere to district attendance policies. | Meets most routine responsibilities but occasionally misses professional deadlines/assignments; and/or inconsistently adheres to district attendance policies. | Consistently fulfills all professional responsibilities; is punctual and reliable; and adheres to district attendance policies. | Consistently fulfills all professional responsibilities to high standards. Models this practice for others, including students. | |

SPECIALIZED INSTRUCTIONAL SUPPORT PERSONNEL RUBRIC

Littleton Public Schools Guide to Specialized Instructional Support Personnel (SISP) Rubric

Rubrics – defined in the regulations as "scoring tool[s] that describe characteristics of practice or artifacts at different levels of performance" (603 CMR 35.02) – are a critical component of the Massachusetts educator evaluation framework and are required for every educator. Rubrics are designed to help educators and evaluators (1) develop a consistent, shared understanding of what proficient performance looks like in practice, (2) develop a common terminology and structure to organize evidence, and (3) make informed professional judgments about formative and summative performance ratings on each Standard and overall. This appendix contains the ESE Model "SISP" Rubric.

Structure of the Specialized Instructional Support Personnel (SISP) Rubric

- Standards: Standards are the broad categories of knowledge, skills, and performance of effective practice detailed in the regulations. There are four Standards for teachers: *Curriculum, Planning, and Assessment; Teaching All Students; Family and Community Engagement;* and *Professional Culture.*
- Indicators: Indicators, also detailed in the regulations, describe specific knowledge, skills, and performance for each Standard. For example, there are three Indicators in Standard I of the SISP rubric: *Curriculum and Planning*; *Assessment*; and *Analysis*.
- Elements: The elements are more specific descriptions of actions and behaviors related to each Indicator. The elements further break down the Indicators into more specific aspects of educator practice and provide an opportunity for evaluators to offer detailed feedback that serves as a roadmap for improvement.
- Descriptors: Performance descriptors are observable and measurable statements of educator actions and behaviors aligned to each element and serve as the basis for identifying the level of teaching or administrative performance in one of four categories: Unsatisfactory, Needs Improvement, Proficient, or Exemplary.

Use of the Specialized Instructional Support Personnel (SISP) Rubric

This rubric describes practice that is common across educators in professional support roles such as school counselors, school psychologists, school nurses, and others defined in the recognition clause of the appropriate collective bargaining agreement. It is intended to be used throughout the 5 step evaluation cycle for educators who provide direct services such as education, therapy, counseling, assessment, and diagnosis to a caseload of students, as well as educators who may provide indirect support to students through consultation to and collaboration with teachers, administrators, and other colleagues.

The roles and responsibilities of educators to whom this rubric will be applied will vary. ESE encourages educators and evaluators to use the rubric strategically by discussing and agreeing upon certain Indicators and Elements that should be high priorities according to that educator's role and responsibilities as well as his/her professional practice and student learning needs. There are a variety of ways to emphasize these components throughout the evaluation cycle. For example, high priority Indicators and/or elements can be analyzed in greater depth during self-assessment, targeted during goal setting, a focus for more comprehensive evidence collection, or all of the above.

However, the expectation is that by the end of the evaluation cycle, educators and evaluators have gathered and shared a reasonable amount of evidence on every Indicator to support a rating for each Standard.

Specialized Instructional Support Personnel (SISP) Rubric At-A-Glance

| Standard I: Curriculum, Planning, and Assessment | Standard II: Teaching All Students | Standard III: Family and Community Engagement | Standard IV: Professional Culture |
|---|---|--|---|
| A. Curriculum and Planning Indicator | A. Instruction Indicator | A. Engagement Indicator | A. Reflection Indicator |
| Professional Knowledge Child and Adolescent Development Plan Development Well-Structured Lessons | Quality of Effort and Work Student Engagement Meeting Diverse Needs | 1. Parent/Family Engagement | 1. Reflective Practice 2. Goal Setting |
| B. Assessment Indicator | B. Learning Environment Indicator | B. Collaboration Indicator | B. Professional Growth Indicator |
| Variety of Assessment Methods Adjustments to Practice | Safe Learning Environment Collaborative Learning Environment Student Motivation | Learning Expectations Student Support | 1. Professional Learning and Growth |
| C. Analysis Indicator | C. Cultural Proficiency Indicator | C. Communication Indicator | C. Collaboration Indicator |
| Analysis and Conclusions Sharing Conclusions With Colleagues Sharing Conclusions With Students and Families | Respects Differences Maintains Respectful Environment | Two-Way Communication Culturally Proficient Communication | Professional Collaboration Consultation |
| | D. Expectations Indicator | | D. Decision-Making Indicator |
| | Clear Expectations High Expectations Access to Knowledge | | 1. Decision-making |
| | | | E. Shared Responsibility Indicator 1. Shared Responsibility |
| | | | F. Professional Responsibilities Indicator |
| | | | 1. Judgment |
| | | | 2. Reliability and Responsibility |

Note: The SISP rubric is designed to have close alignment with the teacher rubric to emphasize commonalities across educators. Please see Appendix E addressing "Role-Specific Indicators" for additional guidance and samples of how to strategically supplement this rubric to further differentiate by role.

How to reference parts of the rubric:

Specialized Instructional Support Personnel (SISP) Rubric At-A-Glance

Indicator terminology: under the "Teaching All Students" Standard (II), the" Instruction Indicator" (A) can be referred to as Indicator II-A

Element terminology: under the Instruction Indicator (A), the Student Engagement Element (2) can be referred to as Element II-A-2

Littleton Public Schools Guide to Specialized Instructional Support Personnel (SISP) Rubric

Standard I: Curriculum, Planning, and Assessment. promotes the learning and growth of all students by providing high-quality and coherent instruction, designing and administering authentic and meaningful student assessments, analyzing student performance and growth data, using this data to improve instruction, providing students with constructive feedback on an ongoing basis, and continuously refining learning objectives.

| Indicator I-A. | Curriculum and Planning: Has strong knowledge specific to subject matter and/or professional responsibility, has a good grasp of child development and how students learn, and designs effective and rigorous plans for support consisting of well-structured lessons with measurable outcomes. | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| I-A. Elements | Unsatisfactory | Needs Improvement | Proficient | Exemplary |
| I-A-1. Professional Knowledge | Demonstrates limited professional knowledge; relies heavily on outdated practices as opposed to current practices supported by research. Rarely engages students in academic, behavioral, and social/emotional learning experiences through the use of educational and/or clinical practices. | Demonstrates factual knowledge of the professional content and delivery and sometimes applies it to engage students in academic, behavioral, and social/emotional learning experiences through the use of educational and/or clinical practices. | Demonstrates sound knowledge and understanding of professional content and delivery by consistently engaging students in academic, behavioral, and social/emotional learning experiences through the use of educational and/or clinical practices that enable students to acquire knowledge and skills. | Demonstrates mastery of professional content and its delivery by engaging all students in academic, behavioral, and social/emotional learning experiences, through the use of educational and/or clinical practices, that enable students to synthesize knowledge and skills. Is able to model this element. |
| I-A-2. Child and Adolescent Development | Demonstrates little or no knowledge of child and adolescent development; typically develops one learning experience, and/or type of support or assistance for all students that does not adequately address intended outcomes. | Demonstrates general knowledge of child and adolescent development but does not apply this knowledge when providing differentiated learning experiences, support, and/or assistance that would enable all students—as opposed to just some— to move toward meeting intended outcomes. | Demonstrates knowledge of students' developmental levels and the different ways these students learn or behave by providing differentiated learning experiences, support, and/or assistance that enable all students to progress toward meeting intended outcomes. | Demonstrates expert knowledge of the developmental levels of individual students and students in the grade or subject more generally and uses this knowledge to differentiate and expand learning experiences, supports, and/or types of assistance, enabling all students to make significant progress toward meeting stated outcomes. Is able to model this element. |

| I-A. Elements | Unsatisfactory | Needs Improvement | Proficient | Exemplary |
|--|---|---|---|--|
| 1-A-3 Plan Development ⁶ | Develops or contributes to the development of plans that are not timely and/or not tailored to the needs of individual students; or, plans do not include appropriate supports or measurable outcomes that would enable students to meet the goals and objectives of the plan. | Develops or contributes to the timely development of plans that respond to some but not all relevant individual student needs, and/or plans that lack sufficient measurable outcomes or supports that enable students to meet all goals and objectives of the plan. | Develops or contributes to the timely development of well- structured plans with measurable outcomes that respond to all relevant individual student needs, and include supports that enable students to meet the goals or objectives of the plan. | Develops or contributes to the timely development of comprehensive, well-structured plans with measurable outcomes that respond to all relevant individual student needs, are coordinated with other plans relevant to those students, and include supports that enable students to meet all goals or objectives of the plan. Is able to model this element. |
| I-A-4. Well- Structured Lessons | Develops lessons (which may include individual and group activities or sessions) with inappropriate student engagement strategies, pacing, sequence, activities, materials, resources, and/or grouping. | Develops lessons (which may include individual and group activities or sessions) with only some elements of appropriate student engagement strategies, pacing, sequence, activities, materials, resources, and grouping. | Develops well-structured lessons (which may include individual and group activities or sessions) with challenging, measurable objectives and appropriate student engagement strategies, pacing, sequence, activities, materials, resources, technologies, and grouping. | Develops well-structured and highly engaging lessons (which may include individual and group activities and sessions) with challenging, measurable objectives and appropriate student engagement strategies, pacing, sequence, activities, materials, resources, technologies, and grouping to attend to every student's needs. Is able to model this element. |

⁶ "Plan" is used throughout this document to refer to a variety of plans, including but not limited to: lesson plans, unit plans, Individualized Education Programs (IEPs), Individualized Health Care Plans (IHCPs), Career Plans, and 504 Plans. The type of plan that an educator is responsible for depends on the educator being evaluated; both the educator and evaluator should understand and agree upon the definition relevant to the educator's role.

| Indicator I-B. | Indicator I-B. Assessment: Uses a variety of informal and formal methods of assessments to measure student learning, growth, and understanding to develop differentiated and enhanced learning experiences and improve future instruction. | | | |
|---|--|---|---|---|
| I-B. Elements | Unsatisfactory | Needs Improvement | Proficient | Exemplary |
| I-B-1. Variety of Assessment Methods | Administers assessments and/or collects only the data required by the school and/or measures only point- in-time student achievement or development. | May design and administer assessments and/or collect some data to measure student learning, growth, or development, but uses a limited range of methods. | Designs and administers assessments and/or collects data to measure student learning, growth, and/or development through a variety of methods, including informal and formal assessments and common interim assessments where applicable. | Uses an integrated, comprehensive assessment system, including informal and formal assessment methods and common interim assessments where applicable, to measure student learning, growth, and development. Is able to model this element. |
| I-B-2. Adjustment to Practice | Makes few adjustments to practice by identifying and/or implementing appropriate differentiated interventions, supports, and programs based on formal and informal assessments. | May organize and analyze some assessment results but only occasionally adjusts practice and identifies and/or implements appropriate differentiated interventions, supports, and programs for students. | Organizes and analyzes results from a variety of assessments to determine progress toward intended outcomes and uses these findings to adjust practice and identify and/or implement appropriate differentiated interventions, supports, and programs for students. | Organizes and analyzes results from a comprehensive system of assessments to determine progress toward intended outcomes and frequently uses these findings to adjust practice and identify and/or implement appropriate differentiated interventions, supports, or programs for individuals and groups of students and appropriate modifications of plans. Is able to model this element. |

| Indicator I-C. An | ndicator I-C. Analysis: Analyzes data from assessments, draws conclusions, and shares them appropriately. | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| I-C. Elements | Unsatisfactory | Needs Improvement | Proficient | Exemplary | |
| I-C-1. Analysis and Conclusions | Does not analyze data and/or draw conclusions from data beyond completing minimal requirements. | Draws conclusions from a limited analysis of data to inform student learning, growth, and development. | Individually and with colleagues, draws appropriate conclusions about programs, plans, and practices from a thorough analysis of a wide range of data to improve student learning, growth, and development. | Individually and with colleagues, draws appropriate, actionable conclusions about programs, plans, and practices from a thorough analysis of a wide range of data that improve short- and long-term planning decisions. Is able to model this element. | |
| I-C-2. Sharing Conclusions With Colleagues | Rarely shares with colleagues conclusions about student progress and/or rarely seeks feedback from them about practices that will support improved student learning and/or development. | Only occasionally shares with colleagues conclusions about student progress and/or seeks feedback from them about practices that will support improved student learning and/or development. | Regularly shares with appropriate colleagues (e.g., classroom teachers, administrators, and professional support personnel) conclusions about student progress and seeks feedback from them about practices that will support improved student learning and/or development. | Establishes and implements a schedule and plan for regularly sharing with all appropriate colleagues (e.g., classroom teachers, administrators, and professional support personnel) conclusions and insights about student progress. Seeks and applies feedback from them about practices that will support improved student learning and/or development. Is able to model this element. | |
| I-C-3. Sharing Conclusions With Students and Families | Provides little or no feedback on student growth or progress except through minimally required reporting or provides inappropriate feedback that does not support students to grow and improve. | Provides some feedback about student growth or progress beyond required reports but rarely shares strategies for students to grow and improve. | Based on assessment results and/or other data, provides descriptive feedback and engages students and families in constructive conversation that focuses on student growth and improvement. | Establishes early, constructive feedback loops with students and families that create a dialogue about student growth, progress, and improvement. Is able to model this element. | |

Specialized Instructional Support Personnel (SISP) Rubric At-A-Glance

Standard II: Teaching All Students. Promotes the learning and growth of all students through instructional practices that establish high expectations, create a safe and effective classroom environment, and demonstrate cultural proficiency.

| Indicator II-A. | Instruction: Uses instructional and clinical practices that reflect high expectations regarding content and quality of effort and work; engage all students; and are personalized to accommodate diverse learning styles, needs, interests, and levels of readiness. | | | |
|---|--|---|---|---|
| II-A. Elements | Unsatisfactory | Needs Improvement | Proficient | Exemplary |
| II-A-1. Quality of Effort and Work | Establishes no or low expectations for student work and behavior and/or offers few supports to help students know what is expected of them. | May state high expectations for student work and behavior, but provides few exemplars and rubrics, or limited guided practice, and/or few other supports to help students know what is expected of them. | Consistently defines high expectations for student work and behavior, and the perseverance and effort required to produce it; often provides exemplars, rubrics, or guided practice, and/or models appropriate behaviors. | Consistently defines high expectations for student work and behavior and effectively supports students to set high expectations for each other to persevere and produce high-quality work. Is able to model this element. |
| II-A-2. Student Engagement | Uses instructional and/or clinical practices that leave most students uninvolved and/or passive. | Uses instructional and/or clinical practices that motivate and engage some students but leave others uninvolved and/or passive. | Consistently uses instructional and clinical practices that are likely to motivate and engage most students during the lesson, activity, or session. | Consistently uses instructional and clinical practices that typically motivate and engage most students during the lesson, activity, or session, and during independent work. Is able to model this element. |
| II-A-3. Meeting Diverse Needs | Uses limited and/or inappropriate practices and/or supports to accommodate differences. | May use some appropriate practices and/or supports to accommodate differences, but fails to address an adequate range of differences. | Uses appropriate practices, including tiered instruction, scaffolds, and other supports, to accommodate differences in learning styles, needs, interests, and levels of readiness, including those of students with disabilities and English learners. | Uses a varied repertoire of practices and/or supports to create structured opportunities for each student to meet or exceed expectations for growth and development. Is able to model this element. |

Indicator II-B. Learning Environment: Creates and maintains a safe and collaborative learning environment that motivates students to take academic risks, challenge themselves, and claim ownership of their learning.

Specialized Instructional Support Personnel (SISP) Rubric At-A-Glance

| II-B. Elements | Unsatisfactory | Needs Improvement | Proficient | Exemplary |
|---|--|--|---|--|
| II-B-1. Safe Learning Environment | Maintains a physical environment that is unsafe or does not support student learning. Uses inappropriate or ineffective rituals, routines, and/or responses to reinforce positive behavior or respond to behaviors that interfere with students' learning. | May create and maintain a safe physical environment but inconsistently maintains rituals, routines, and responses needed to prevent and/or stop behaviors that interfere with all students' learning. | Uses rituals, routines, and appropriate responses that create and maintain a safe physical and intellectual environment where students take academic risks and most behaviors that interfere with learning are prevented. | Uses rituals, routines, and proactive responses that create and maintain a safe physical and intellectual environment where students take academic risks and play an active role—individually and collectively—in preventing behaviors that interfere with learning. Is able to model this element. |
| II-B-2. Collaborative Learning Environment | Makes little effort to teach interpersonal, group, and communication skills or facilitate student work in groups, or such attempts are ineffective. | Teaches some interpersonal, group, and communication skills and provides some opportunities for students to work in groups. | Develops students' interpersonal, group, and communication skills and provides opportunities for students to learn in groups with diverse peers. | Teaches and reinforces interpersonal, group, and communication skills so that students seek out their peers as resources. Is able to model this practice. |
| II-B-2. Student Motivation | Directs all learning experiences, providing few, if any, opportunities for students to take risks or challenge themselves. | Creates some learning experiences that guide students to identify needs, ask for support, and challenge themselves to take risks. | Consistently creates learning experiences that guide students to identify their strengths, interests, and needs; ask for support when appropriate; take risks; and challenge themselves to succeed. | Consistently supports students to identify their strengths, interests, and needs; ask for support; take risks; challenge themselves; set learning goals; and monitor their own progress. Is able to model this element. |

Indicator II-C. Cultural Proficiency: Actively creates and maintains an environment in which students' diverse backgrounds, identities, strengths, and challenges are respected.

| II-C. Elements | Unsatisfactory | Needs Improvement | Proficient | Exemplary |
|---|--|---|---|---|
| II-C-1. Respects Differences | Establishes an environment in which students demonstrate limited respect for individual differences. | Establishes an environment in which students generally demonstrate respect for individual differences. | Consistently uses strategies and practices that are likely to enable students to demonstrate respect for and affirm their own and others' differences related to background, identity, language, strengths, and challenges. | Establishes an environment in which students respect and affirm their own and others' differences and are supported to share and explore differences and similarities related to background, identity, language, strengths, and challenges. Is able to model this element. |
| II-C-2. Maintains Respectful Environment | Minimizes or ignores conflicts and/or responds in inappropriate ways. | Anticipates and responds appropriately to some conflicts or misunderstandings but ignores and/or minimizes others. | Anticipates and responds appropriately to conflicts or misunderstandings arising from differences in backgrounds, languages, and identities. | Anticipates and responds appropriately to conflicts or misunderstandings arising from differences in backgrounds, languages, and identities in ways that lead students to be able to do the same independently. Is able to model this element. |

| Indicator II-D. | Indicator II-D. Expectations: Plans and implements lessons and/or supports that set clear and high expectations and also make knowledge, information, and/or supports accessible for all students. | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|---|---|---|
| II-D. Elements | Unsatisfactory | Needs Improvement | Proficient | Exemplary |
| II-D-1. Clear Expectations | Does not make specific standards for student work, effort, interactions, and behavior clear to students. | May communicate specific standards for student work, effort, interactions, and behavior, but inconsistently or ineffectively enforces them. | Clearly communicates and consistently enforces specific standards for student work, effort, and behavior. | Clearly communicates and consistently enforces specific standards for student work, effort, interactions, and behavior so that most students are able to describe them and take ownership of meeting them. Is able to model this element. |
| II-D-2. High Expectations | Gives up on some students or communicates that some cannot accomplish challenging goals | May tell students that a goal is challenging and that they need to work hard but does not model ways students can accomplish the goal through effective effort | Effectively models and reinforces ways that students can set and accomplish challenging goals through effective effort, rather than having to depend on innate ability. | Effectively models and reinforces ways that students can consistently accomplish challenging goals through effective effort. Successfully challenges students' misconceptions about innate ability. Is able to model this element. |
| II-D-3. Access to Knowledge | Rarely adapts instruction, services, plans, communication, and/or assessments to make curriculum/supports accessible to all students for whom the educator has responsibility. | Occasionally adapts instruction, services, plans, communication, and/or assessments to make curriculum/supports accessible to all students for whom the educator has responsibility. | Consistently adapts instruction, services, plans, communication, and/or assessments to make curriculum/ supports accessible to all students for whom the educator has responsibility, including English learners and students with disabilities. | Individually and with colleagues, consistently adapts instruction, services, plans, communication, and/or assessments to make curriculum/supports accessible to all students for whom the educator has responsibility, including English learners and students with disabilities. Is able to model this element. |

Specialized Instructional Support Personnel (SISP) Rubric At-A-Glance

Standard III: Family and Community Engagement. Promotes the learning and growth of all students through effective partnerships with families, caregivers, community members, and organizations.

| Indicator III-A. | Engagement: Welcomes and encourages every family to become active participants in the classroom and school community. | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| III-A. Elements | Unsatisfactory | Needs Improvement | Proficient | Exemplary |
| III-A-1. Parent/Family Engagement | Does not welcome families to become participants in the classroom and school community or actively discourages their participation. | Makes limited attempts to involve families in school and/or classroom activities, meetings, and planning. | Uses a variety of strategies to support families to participate actively and appropriately in the classroom and school community. | Successfully engages most families and sustains their active and appropriate participation in the classroom and school community. Is able to model this element. |

| Indicator III-B. | Collaboration: Collaborates with fa school. | milies to create and implement strateg | gies for supporting student learning ar | d development both at home and at |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| III-B. Elements | Unsatisfactory | Needs Improvement | Proficient | Exemplary |
| III-B-1. Learning Expectations | Does not inform parents about learning, behavior, and/or wellness expectations. | Sends home only a list of rules/expectations and an outline of the student learning, behavior, or wellness plan for the year. | Consistently provides parents with clear, user-friendly expectations for student learning, behavior, and/or wellness. | Successfully conveys to most parents clear, user-friendly student learning, behavior, and wellness expectations. Is able to model this element. |
| III-B-2. Student Support | Rarely, if ever, communicates with parents on ways to support learning and development at home or at school. | Sends home occasional suggestions on how parents can support learning and development at home or at school. | Regularly communicates with parents to create, share, and/or identify strategies for supporting learning and development at school and home. | Regularly communicates with parents to share and/or identify strategies for supporting learning and development at school and home, successfully encourages most families to use at least one of these strategies, and seeks out evidence of their impact. Is able to model this element. |

| Indicator III-C. | Communication: Engages in regular, | ommunication: Engages in regular, two-way, and culturally proficient communication with families about student learning, behavior and wellness. | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| III-C. Elements | Unsatisfactory | Needs Improvement | Proficient | Exemplary | | |
| III-C-1. Two-Way Communication | Rarely communicates with families except through required reports; rarely solicits or responds promptly to communications from families. | Relies primarily on sharing general information and announcements with families through one-way media and usually responds promptly to communications from families. | Regularly uses two-way communication with families about student learning, behavior, and wellness; responds promptly and carefully to communications from families. | Regularly uses a two-way system that supports frequent, proactive, and personalized communication with families about individual student learning, behavior, and wellness. Is able to model this element. | | |
| III-C-2. Culturally Proficient Communication | Makes few attempts to respond to different family cultural norms and/or responds inappropriately or disrespectfully. | May communicate respectfully and make efforts to take into account different families' home language, culture, and values, but does so inconsistently or does not demonstrate understanding and sensitivity to the differences. | Always communicates respectfully with families and demonstrates understanding of and sensitivity to different families' home language, culture, and values. | Always communicates respectfully with families and demonstrates understanding and appreciation of different families' home language, culture, and values. Is able to model this element. | | |

Specialized Instructional Support Personnel (SISP) Rubric At-A-Glance

Standard IV: Professional Culture. Promotes the learning and growth of all students through ethical, culturally proficient, skilled, and collaborative practice.

| Indicator IV-A. | or IV-A. Reflection: Demonstrates the capacity to reflect on and improve the educator's own practice, using informal means as well as meetings with teams and work groups to gather information, analyze data, examine issues, set meaningful goals, and develop new approaches in order to improve teaching and learning. | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|---|---|---|
| IV-A. Elements | Unsatisfactory | Needs Improvement | Proficient | Exemplary |
| IV-A-1. Reflective Practice | Demonstrates limited reflection on practice and/or use of insights gained to improve practice. | May reflect on the effectiveness of instruction, supports, and interactions with students but not with colleagues and/or rarely uses insights gained to improve practice. | Regularly reflects on the effectiveness of instruction, supports, and interactions with students, both individually and with colleagues, and uses insights gained to improve practice and student outcomes. | Regularly reflects on the effectiveness of instruction, supports, and interactions with students, both individually and with colleagues; and uses and shares with colleagues insights gained to improve practice and student outcomes. Is able to model this element. |
| IV-A-2. Goal Setting | Participates passively in the goal- setting process and/or proposes goals that are vague or easy to reach. | Proposes one goal that is vague or easy to achieve and/or bases goals on a limited self-assessment and analysis of student data. | Proposes challenging, measurable professional practice, team, and student learning goals that are based on thorough self-assessment and analysis of student data. | Individually and with colleagues builds capacity to propose and monitor challenging, measurable goals based on thorough self- assessment and analysis of student data. Is able to model this element. |

| Indicator IV-B. | Professional Growth: Actively pursues professional development and learning opportunities to improve quality of practice or build the expertise and experience to assume different instructional and leadership roles. | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| IV-B. Elements | Unsatisfactory | Needs Improvement | Proficient | Exemplary |
| IV-B-1. Professional Learning and Growth | Participates in few, if any, professional development and learning opportunities to improve practice and/or applies little new learning to practice. | Participates only in required professional development and learning activities and/or inconsistently or inappropriately applies new learning to improve practice. | Consistently seeks out and applies, when appropriate, ideas for improving practice from supervisors, colleagues, professional development activities, and other resources to gain expertise and/or assume different instruction and leadership responsibilities. | Consistently seeks out professional development and learning opportunities that improve practice and build expertise of self and other educators in instruction, academic support, and leadership. Is able to model this element. |
| Indicator IV-C. | Collaboration: Collaborates effective | ely with colleagues on a wide range of | tasks. | |
| IV-C. Elements | Unsatisfactory | Needs Improvement | Proficient | Exemplary |
| IV-C-1. Professional Collaboration | Rarely and/or ineffectively collaborates with colleagues; conversations often lack focus on student performance and/or development. | Does not consistently collaborate with colleagues in ways that support productive team effort. | Consistently and effectively collaborates with colleagues through shared planning and/or informal conversation in such work as: analyzing student performance and development and planning appropriate interventions at the classroom or school level. | Facilitates effective collaboration among colleagues through shared planning and/or informal conversation in such work as analyzing student performance and development and planning appropriate, comprehensive interventions at the classroom and school level. Is able to model this element. |

| IV-C. Elements | Unsatisfactory | Needs Improvement | Proficient | Exemplary |
|-------------------------|--|--|---|--|
| IV-C-2. Consultation | Regularly provides inappropriate advice; does not provide advice and expertise to general education teachers or other colleagues unless prompted to do so; and/or fails to offer advice when appropriate. | Provides advice and expertise to support general education teachers and other colleagues to create appropriate and effective academic, behavioral, and social/emotional learning experiences for only some students for whom responsibility is shared, or sometimes provides advice that is inappropriate or poorly customized. | Regularly provides appropriate advice and expertise that is customized to support general education teachers and other colleagues to create appropriate and effective academic, behavioral, and social/emotional learning experiences for students for whom responsibility is shared. | Utilizes a variety of means to regularly provide advice and expertise that is customized to support general education teachers and other colleagues to successfully create appropriate and effective academic, behavioral, and social/emotional learning experiences for students. Is able to model this element. |

| Indicator IV-D. | Decision-Making: Becomes involved in schoolwide decision making, and takes an active role in school improvement planning. | | | |
|--------------------------------|--|---|---|--|
| IV-D. Elements | Unsatisfactory | Needs Improvement | Proficient | Exemplary |
| IV-D-1. Decision- Making | Participates in planning and decision making at the school, department, and/or grade level only when asked and rarely contributes relevant ideas or expertise. | May participate in planning and decision making at the school, department, and/or grade level but rarely contributes relevant ideas or expertise. | Consistently contributes relevant ideas and expertise to planning and decision making at the school, department, and/or grade level. | In planning and decision-making at the school, department, and/or grade level, consistently contributes ideas and expertise that are critical to school improvement efforts. Is able to model this element. |

| Indicator IV-E. | Shared Responsibility: Shares respo | onsibility for the performance of all st | udents within the school. | |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| IV-E. Elements | Unsatisfactory | Needs Improvement | Proficient | Exemplary |
| IV-E-1. Shared Responsibility | Rarely reinforces schoolwide behavior and learning expectations for all students and/or makes a limited contribution to their learning by rarely sharing responsibility for meeting their needs. | Within and beyond the classroom, inconsistently reinforces schoolwide behavior and learning expectations for all students, and/or makes a limited contribution to their learning by inconsistently sharing responsibility for meeting their needs. | Within and beyond the classroom, consistently reinforces school-wide behavior and learning expectations for all students, and contributes to their learning by sharing responsibility for meeting their needs. | Individually and with colleagues, develops strategies and actions that contribute to the learning and productive behavior of all students at the school. Is able to model this element. |

| Indicator IV-F. | ndicator IV-F. Professional Responsibilities: Is ethical and reliable, and meets routine responsibilities consistently. | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|---|--|
| IV-F. Elements | Unsatisfactory | Needs Improvement | Proficient | Exemplary | |
| IV-F-1. Judgment | Demonstrates poor judgment and/or discloses confidential student information inappropriately. | Sometimes demonstrates questionable judgment and/or inadvertently shares confidential information. | Demonstrates sound judgment reflecting integrity, honesty, fairness, and trustworthiness and protects student confidentiality appropriately. | Demonstrates sound judgment and acts appropriately to protect student confidentiality, rights and safety. Is able to model this element. | |
| IV-F-2. Reliability & Responsibility | Frequently misses or is late to assignments, makes errors in records, and/or misses paperwork deadlines; frequently late or absent. | Occasionally misses or is late to assignments, completes work late, and/or makes errors in records. | Consistently fulfills professional responsibilities; is consistently punctual and reliable with paperwork, duties, and assignments; and is rarely late or absent from school. | Consistently fulfills all professional responsibilities to high standards. Is able to model this element. | |

Standards and Indicators of Effective Guidance Practice: Guidance Rubric

Guidance Rubric

Accompanies Standard I: Curriculum, Planning, and Assessment. Promotes the learning and growth of all students by providing high-quality and coherent instruction, designing and administering authentic and meaningful student assessments, analyzing student performance and growth data, using this data to improve instruction, providing students with constructive feedback on an ongoing basis, and continuously refining learning objectives. learning objectives.

| | Indicator I-D. Systemic Planning and Delivery. Uses data to create a comprehensive school counseling program; develops and | | | | |
|------------------|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| delivers a st | delivers a standards-based counseling curriculum; and promotes coherent delivery by fostering schoolwide involvement. | | | | |
| I-D. Elements | Unsatisfactory | Needs Improvement | Proficient | Exemplary | |
| I-D-1. | Makes limited use of | May use some data to | Using district/school data, | Using national, district, and | |
| Strategic | data in designing a plan | design a strategic plan for | designs a measurable, | school data, collaborates | |
| Planning for | for the delivery of a | the systemic delivery of a | strategic plan for the | with relevant staff to design | |
| Systemic | school counseling | school counseling | systemic delivery of a | a measurable, strategic | |
| Delivery | program; the plan is not | program, but some | comprehensive school | plan for the systemic | |
| | systemic; and/or the plan | aspects of the plan are not | counseling program, | delivery of a | |
| | does not include | formulated with | including targeted | comprehensive school | |
| | interventions designed to | measurable outcomes or | interventions designed to | counseling program, | |

| | | | | in cluding to racted |
|------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | · | only minimally include | address inequities and | including targeted |
| | • · | interventions designed to | close achievement gaps. | interventions designed to |
| | | address inequities and | | address inequities and |
| | | close achievement gaps. | | close achievement gaps. Is |
| | | | | able to model this element. |
| I-D-2. | Develops a counseling | Develops a standards- | Develops and delivers a | Develops, delivers, and |
| School | curriculum that is | based counseling | standards-based | appropriately adjusts a |
| Counseling | minimally aligned to | curriculum that aims to | counseling curriculum | standards-based |
| Curriculum | standards and/or | support some students | that supports all | counseling curriculum that |
| | student needs; does | to develop | students in developing | empowers all students to |
| | not deliver a curriculum | academic/technical | academic/technical | develop academic/technic |
| | that addresses | competencies, | competencies, | competencies, |
| | students' | career/workplace | career/workplace | career/workplace |
| | academic/technical | readiness, and the | readiness, and the | readiness, and the |
| | competencies, | personal/social skills | personal/social skills | personal/social skills |
| | career/workplace | necessary for success | necessary for success in | necessary for success in |
| | readiness, and/or the | but delivery is | higher education, the | higher education, the |
| | personal/social skills | inconsistent and/or | workplace, and other | workplace, and other post |
| | necessary for success. | some students' needs | post-secondary options. | secondary options. Is able |
| | | are not addressed. | | to model this element. |
| | | | | |

| | Makaa littla ar na | Makaa limitad attampta | Faatara aabaalwida | Loodo ochoolwida |
|----------|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| I-D-3. | | Makes limited attempts | Fosters schoolwide | Leads schoolwide |
| Coherent | attempt to foster | to foster schoolwide | involvement in the | collaboration around the |
| Delivery | schoolwide | involvement in the | design, planning, and | design, planning, |
| | involvement in the | design, planning and/or | advancement of the | advancement, and |
| | design, planning and/or | advancement of the | school counseling | regular assessment of |
| | advancement of the | school counseling | program to ensure | the school counseling |
| | school counseling | program to ensure | coherent delivery | program to ensure |
| | program. | coherent delivery | through the coordination | coherent delivery through |
| | | through the coordination | of school counseling | school counseling |
| | | of school counseling | activities with academic | activities that are |
| | | activities with academic | curricula, classroom | coordinated with and |
| | | curricula, classroom | instruction, and services | inform academic |
| | | instruction, and services | across grade levels. | curricula, classroom |
| | | across grade levels. | | instruction, and services |
| | | | | across grade levels. Is |
| | | | | able to model this |
| | | | | element. |

Standards and Indicators of Effective Guidance Practice: Guidance Rubric

Accompanies Standard II: Teaching All Students. Promotes the learning and growth of all students through instructional practices that establish high expectations, create a safe and effective classroom environment, and demonstrate cultural proficiency.

Indicator II-E. Student Services. Helps all students become college and career ready through academic, career, and post-secondary planning and knowledge-building that promotes equity and access; provides responsive services and supports transitions to reduce barriers that impact student achievement.

| II-E. Elements | Unsatisfactory | Needs Improvement | Proficient | Exemplary |
|-------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| II-E-1. | Academic planning and | Inconsistently | Contributes to a college | Facilitates a college |
| Academic | advising is only available | contributes to a college | and career ready culture | and career ready |
| Advising | to few students, support | and career ready culture | within the school by | culture within the |
| | is not customized to | within the school by | providing classroom | school by providing a |
| | meet the needs of all | occasionally providing | activities, group | wide-range of effective |
| | students, and/or advising | activities or strategies to | counseling, or individual | activities, strategies, |
| | is not delivered in a | support students to | sessions that promote | and interventions that |
| | timely manner to support | prepare for, participate | equity and access by | promote equity and |
| | students to meet course, | in, and succeed in | supporting all students to | access through |

| | | Needs Improvement | Proficient | Exemplary |
|--|--|---|---|--|
| pc | ost-secondary equirements. | • | in, and succeed in rigorous academic programs. | customized support for all students to prepare for, participate in, and succeed in rigorous academic programs. Is able to model this element. |
| Transitions de co im str gr an se str co | evelopment, oordination and nplementation of trategies for grade-to- rade, school-to-school nd school-to-post- econdary transitions for tudents, or ontributions are | development and coordination of strategies for grade-to- grade, school-to-school and/or school-to-post- secondary transitions for some students, but implementation of transition strategies is | coordination and implementation of effective strategies for grade-to-grade, school- to-school and school-to- post-secondary transitions for all students. Assesses the | Leads development, coordination and implementation of effective transitions for all students in collaboration with colleagues, administrators, families, higher education institutes, and/or workforce |

| II-E. Elements | Unsatisfactory | Needs Improvement | Proficient | Exemplary |
|--------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| | | | positively impacting | development specialists. Is able to model this element. |
| Post- Secondary Planning | limited or no exposure to post-secondary options; provides minimal feedback on students' post-secondary plans; and/or ineffectively or rarely utilizes career assessment techniques to assist students in identifying career | with exposure to a limited variety of post- secondary options; provides occasional feedback on some students' post-secondary plans; and inconsistently utilizes career assessment techniques to assist students in identifying career abilities and interests. | with timely exposure to a wide range of post- secondary options; regularly provides feedback on all students' post-secondary plans; and utilizes various career assessment techniques to assist students in understanding and developing their career abilities and interests. | successfully lead all students to consider a wide range of post- |

| II-E. Elements | Unsatisfactory | Needs Improvement | Proficient | Exemplary |
|---|--|---|--|---|
| | | | | abilities and interests. Is able to model this element. |
| College Planning <i>If</i> applicable <mark>[9]</mark> | ineffective assistance in understanding the college and career application and admissions processes; rarely includes information about college costs, financial aid, scholarships and/or internship/apprenticeship processes and opportunities. | assistance in understanding the college and career application and admissions processes, but may not support all students, and/or the range of information about college costs, financial aid, scholarships and/or internship/apprenticeship | assistance to all students in understanding the college and career application and admissions processes, including information about college costs, financial aid, scholarships, and/or internship/apprenticeship processes and opportunities. | Provides timely and ongoing assistance to all students in navigating and completing the college and career application and admissions processes; supports students in addressing college costs with assistance in applying for financial aid and scholarships, grants, or other funding sources. Proactively connects |
| | | | | students with |

| II-E. Elements | Unsatisfactory | Needs Improvement | Proficient | Exemplary |
|------------------------|---|--|---|---|
| | | not provided in a timely fashion. | | internship/ apprenticeship opportunities. Is able to model this element. |
| Responsive Services | Rarely uses evidence- based counseling theories and techniques or relies on outdated practices to deliver short term counseling interventions to resolve immediate conflicts/problems, intervene in school- specific situations that disrupt learning, and/or respond to crisis events. | to resolve immediate conflicts/problems, | based counseling theories and techniquesto deliver short term counseling interventions to resolve immediate conflicts/problems, intervene in school- specific situations that disrupt learning, and respond to crisis events. | Seeks out, implements, and continuously refines evidence-based counseling theories and techniques todeliver short term counseling interventions, intervene in school-specific situations that disrupt learning, and respond to crisis events. |
| | | | Assesses the effectiveness of | Collaborates with colleagues, |

| II-E. Elements | Unsatisfactory | Needs Improvement | Proficient | Exemplary |
|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|--|--|
| | | | responses in positively impacting student achievement. | administrators, and families in assessing the effectiveness of interventions and responses in positively impacting student achievement. Is able to model this element. |