Inspire every child to



Meeting of the Board of Education Park Ridge – Niles School District 64

Special Board Meeting Agenda Thursday, March 3, 2016 Hendee Educational Service Center 164 S. Prospect Avenue Park Ridge, IL 60068

On some occasions the order of business may be adjusted as the meetings progresses to accommodate Board members' schedules, the length of session, breaks and other needs.

TIME APPENDIX

6:00 p.m. **Meeting of the Board Convenes**

- Roll Call
- Introductions
- Opening Remarks from President of the Board

6:05 p.m. • Board Recesses and Adjourns to Closed Session

-- The appointment, employment, compensation, discipline, performance, or dismissal of specific employees of the District or legal counsel for the District, including hearing testimony on a complaint lodged against an employee or against legal counsel for the District to determine its validity [5 ILCS 120/2 (c)(1)]; collective negotiating matters between the District and its employees or their representatives, or deliberations concerning salary schedules for one or more classes of employees [5 ILCS 120/2 (c)(2)] and litigation, when an action against, affecting or on behalf of the particular District has been filed and is pending before a court or administrative tribunal, or when the District finds that an action is probable or imminent, in which case the basis for the finding shall be recorded and entered into the closed meeting minutes [5 ILCS 120/2(c)(8)].

7:00 p.m.

• Public Comments

Or at the end of closed session whichever is later

• Discussion and Recommendations for Summer 2016 Projects

 Chief School Business Official/ Director of Facility Management/ FGM Architects/ Nicholas and Associates/Superintendent

Approval of Bid for Roof Work Summer 2016

A-2

A-1

-- Chief School Business Official/ Director of Facility Management/ FGM Architects/

Nicholas and Associates/Superintendent Action Item 16-03-1

• Approval of Recommendation to Reject Window Bid for Lincoln Window Replacement

A-3

Chief School Business Official/ Director of Facility Management/
 FGM Architects/
 Nicholas and Associates/Superintendent
 Action Item 16-03-2

• Adjournment

Next Regular

Meeting: Monday, March 21, 2016

Closed Session Meeting – 6:30 p.m. Regular Board Meeting – 7:00 p.m. **Lincoln School – Gym** 200 South Lincoln Avenue Park Ridge, IL 60068

In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), the Board of Education of Community Consolidated School District 64 Park Ridge-Niles will provide access to public meetings to persons with disabilities who request special accommodations. Any persons requiring special accommodations should contact the Director of Facility Management at (847) 318-4313 to arrange assistance or obtain information on accessibility. It is recommended that you contact the District, 3 business days prior to a school board meeting, so we can make every effort to accommodate you or provide for any special needs.

Upcoming Meetings and Topics As of March 1, 2016

March 21, 2016 – Lincoln School – Gym

Closed Session – 6:30 p.m.

Regular Board Meeting – 7:00 p.m.

(As of Thursday, August 27, 2015 all Regular meetings will move from 7:30 p.m. to 7:00 p.m.

- Pledge of Allegiance and Welcome
- Approval of Health Life Safety Five-year Completion Plan
- Approval of Summer 2016 Bids: Secure Vestibules and Other Projects
- Update on Elementary Lunch Service
- Website Analytics Report
- Approval of Fee Study Recommendation for School Year 2016-17
- Approval of WOW Business Internet Service
- NGSS Science Curriculum Update
- Overview of Core Plus Work
- Presentation of Tentative Calendar for 2017-18
- Consent Agenda
 - Resolution # to Transfer Funds Between Education and Debt Service Fund for VoIP
 - Resolution # to Transfer Funds Between Education and Debt Service Fund for Copier
 - Resolution(s) Reduction in Force List (tentative)
 - Resolution # __ Non-Reemployment of part-Time Educational Support Personnel Employees (tentative)
 - Resolution # ____ Dismissal of First or Second or Third -Year Probationary Teachers for Reasons Other than Reduction-in-Force (tentative)
 - Resolution #-- Honorable Dismissal of Teachers (tentative)
 - Resolution #__ Dismissal of Probationary Educational Support Personnel Employees (tentative)
 - Approval of Financial Update for the Period Ending February 29, 2016
 - Adopt Tentative Calendar for 2017-18 School Year
 - Approval of Policies from PRESS Issue 89 and 90
- Registration and Residency Update (memo of information)
- Healthy Living Month memo

April 11, 2016 – Jefferson School – Multipurpose Room

Committee of the Whole: Tech -7:00 p.m.

April 25, 2016 – Carpenter School – South Gym

Closed Session – 6:30 p.m.

Regular Board Meeting – 7:00 p.m.

(As of Thursday, August 27, 2015 all Regular meetings will move from 7:30 p.m. to 7:00 p.m.

- Pledge of Allegiance and Welcome
- Update on Educational Ends
- Presentation of Draft Comprehensive Safety and Security Plan
- Enrollment Model Analysis
- Approval of Annual Technology Purchase
- Approval of Elementary Lunch Program
- Approval of 2016-17 Health Insurance Plan
- Approval of Financial Update for the Period Ending March 31, 2016 (consent)
- Approval of 2016-17 Student-Parent Handbook (consent)
- Adopt Tentative Calendar for 2017-18 (consent)

• Presentation of Board Meetings (memo)

May 9, 2016 – Jefferson School – Multipurpose Room

Committee of the Whole: TBD - 7:00 p.m.

May 23, 2016 – Emerson School – Multipurpose Room

Regular Board Meeting – 7:00 p.m.

(As of Thursday, August 27, 2015 all Regular meetings will move from 7:30 p.m. to 7:00 p.m.

- Pledge of Allegiance and Welcome
- Recognition of Student Awards
- Recognition of Tenured Teachers
- ELF Grant Awards
- Approval of Financial Update for the Period Ending April 30, 2016 (consent)
- Approval of Final Calendar for 2015-16 (consent)
- ISBE Certification of Recognition "Fully Recognized" (memo)
- 2015 ISBE School District Financial Profile (memo)

June 13, 2016 – Jefferson School – Multipurpose Room

Committee of the Whole: Finance – 7:00 p.m.

June 27, 2016 – Jefferson School – Multipurpose Room

Regular Board Meeting – 7:00 p.m.

(As of Thursday, August 27, 2015 all Regular meetings will move from 7:30 p.m. to 7:00 p.m.

- ELF Judith Snow Ethical Leadership Awards
- 2020 Vision Strategic Plan Status Update
- Report on MAP Results
- Resolution # for Transfer of Interest Funds from Working Cash to Education Fund
- Resolution # for Transfer of Interest Funds from Debt Service to Educational Fund
- Resolution # for Prevailing Wage
- Approval of Maine Township School Treasurer Depositories
- Bid for Summer Construction Projects
- Approval of Financial Update for the Period Ending May 31, 2016 (consent)
- Discipline Data Report (other)
- Update on Summer Construction Projects (other)

Future Meeting Topics

- Approval of Student-Parent Handbook 2016-17 (4/16)
- Update on 2020 Vision Strategic Plan (6/27/16)
- Curriculum Update
- Update on Food Service Contract
- Discussion: Board Policy 4:150 Should the Board continue to grant authority up to \$25,000 for renovations or permanent alterations Buildings and Grounds
- Approval of Ten-vear Health Life Safety Survey

The above are subject to change.

To: Board of Education

From: Luann Kolstad, Chief School Business Official

Ron De George, Director of Facility Management

Dr. Laurie Heinz, Superintendent

FGM Architects

Nicholas & Associates

Date: March 3, 2016

Subject: Discussion and Recommendations for Summer 2016 Projects

On Friday, February 26, 2016 the District received the bids for Summer 2016 Projects. Base Bid Projects were comprised of:

Base Bid 1: Secure Vestibules, Office Renovations and Critical Infrastructure Projects for all

nine facilities. This included Bid Packages for the following trades: Site Work,

General Trades, Masonry, Roofing (due to HVAC work and/or addition), Aluminum/Glazing, Flooring, Painting, Plumbing, HVAC and Electrical.

Base Bid 2: Roofing Projects including reroofing at Carpenter, Roosevelt, Washington,

Lincoln Schools and Hendee Administration Center. Patching work on the roofs

at Jefferson and Franklin Schools based on results of moisture testing (see

Appendix A-2).

Base Bid 3: Replacement of Lincoln School Windows.

Base Bids 4-12: Each building broken out separately by Bid Packages for trades listed in Base

Bid 1. (Except work included in Base Bid 2 and 3)

In order to provide economies of scale, Base Bid 1 includes secure vestibules, office renovations and critical infrastructure projects for all nine facilities. Now that the bids have been received, Nicholas and Associates has requested a Schedule of Values from the contractors for Bids 4-12. This document will provide a breakdown of costs between various components of work in the Bids. From this document, Nicholas and Associates will be able to divide Bids 4-12 by building into the portions of the work attributable to the critical infrastructure projects, secure vestibule and office renovations. It will also provide information as to whether costs in a specific trade were significantly higher than anticipated.

The Schedule of Values information will assist in the best value analysis for Bids 4-12 to be made on a school-by-school basis that will include:

- Total project cost for each building.
- Unforeseen conditions identified during design, the relationship of infrastructure and entry scope of work.
- Existing conditions to control visitors to school; changes to existing conditions, either short-term or long-term that could be implemented if work was deferred.
- Schedule impact of Metropolitan Water Reclamation District (MWRD) permitting and cost impact of meeting storm water detention requirements.
- Re-evaluation of alternative solutions examined during design.
- Ability to rebid portions of the work and the integration of work with other future projects.

This information will assist in analyzing the bids only, award of Bids will need to be made on the basis of Bids 4-12 received which include both the critical infrastructure, secure vestibule and office renovation projects. If the board rejects any of Bids 4-12, we can go back out to bid for the critical infrastructure projects for summer 2016. Unless the scope of a project changes significantly, which we are not anticipating, there will be no additional costs incurred by the District to go back out to bid.

To: Board of Education

Dr. Laurie Heinz, Superintendent

From: Luann Kolstad, Chief School Business Official

Date: March 3, 2016

Subject: Discussion and Recommendations for Summer 2016 Projects

Attached are the documents referenced in Appendix 1. Nicholas and Associates, the District's Construction Management Firm and FGM Architects prepared these documents as follows:

Document 1: Bid Tabulation Analysis and Review – 2016 Capital Improvement Work

Document 2: Project Memo from FGM Architects

Document 3: Option 1 as referenced in Project Memo

Document 4: Option 2 as referenced in Project Memo.

These documents form the basis for tonight's discussion and recommendations for Summer 2016 projects.

FGM ARCHITECTS

PROJECT MEMO

Date: March 3, 2016

To: Luann Kolstad, Park Ridge Niles School District 64

Project Name: 2016 Improvements

Secured Entrances and Office Renovation Work

Project Number: 16-2106.01

Remarks:

We have undertaken a best value analysis of the projects at each of the nine buildings using the bids received for Bids 4 through 12 and the breakdown of costs provided by Nicholas Associates from the bidder's Schedule of Values.

Four criteria were used to evaluate the bids received:

Quantitative criteria based on bids received:

- 1. Comparison of bids received to budget.
- 2. Blended square foot cost.

Qualitative criteria based on the existing buildings:

- 1. An evaluation of the existing conditions relative to the remoteness of the office from the current entrance.
- 2. Whether other alternative approaches to address the issue might be available that could reduce overall costs.

A forced ranking of 1 to 9 was used for the four criteria, each criteria was weighted equally so a score of 4 would be the lowest possible score and represent the highest value project. Conversely if a building were ranked 9th in the four categories the score of 36 would represent the highest possible score and the lowest value.

1. Comparison of Bids Received to Budget

The highest ranked schools are those schools where the bids received were closest to the budget. This ranking was based on the total Base Bid since this is how the work would have to be awarded. This analysis resulted in the following ranking:

Macintosh HD:Users:lkolstad:Documents:Construction:Construction 2016:Bid Opening:2016.03.03_Best Value Analysis Memo V3.docx

Criteria 1 Bids to Budget
Washington Elementary School
Emerson Middle School
Roosevelt Elementary School
Hendee Administration Building
Franklin Elementary School
Field Elementary School
Jefferson Center
Carpenter Elementary School
Lincoln Middle School

2. Blended Square Foot Cost

The cost of the secured entrances and office renovation work divided by the square foot area being added and renovated results in a blended square foot cost. New construction, especially smaller additions will always be higher than renovations so those schools with additions will naturally have a higher square foot cost. But the end result, whether there is an addition or not, achieves the same performance level making this comparison a fair ranking based on the end result if the work were completed. This analysis resulted in the following ranking:

Criteria 2 Blended Square Foot Cost
Emerson Middle School
Jefferson Center
Carpenter Elementary School
Hendee ESC
Franklin Elementary School
Field Elementary School
Roosevelt Elementary School
Washington Elementary School
Lincoln Middle School

3. Office Remoteness

The remoteness of the office from the building entry is an important consideration in being able to manage unauthorized entry into the building. Considerations in this criteria include the following:

- Can you see the front of the building from the office?
- Can you see the front door from the office?
- Is there a stairway between the front door and the office allowing someone to get to other levels without being seen?

Macintosh HD:Users:lkolstad:Documents:Construction:Construction 2016:Bid Opening:2016.03.03_Best Value Analysis Memo V3.docx

FGM ARCHITECTS

- Is there a corridor between the front door and the office allowing someone to access other parts of the main level without being seen?
- Is there a classroom between the front door and the office?
- Is there a non-classroom student occupied space between the front door and the office?

This analysis uses need to address the above considerations to rank the schools, the higher the need the lower the ranking. This resulted in the following ranking:

Criteria 3 Office Remoteness
Lincoln Middle School
Field Elementary School
Washington Elementary School
Carpenter Elementary School
Jefferson Center
Franklin Elementary School
Roosevelt Elementary School
Emerson Middle School
Hendee Administration Building

4. Alternative Approaches

During design several different options were investigated at many of the schools and decisions were made based on the budgets that were established. Now that bids have been received and the cost is different there might be some of the buildings where reinvestigating options may be beneficial. In addition at buildings where significant other work may be planned in the future it may be cost beneficial to undertake this work as part of a larger project to gain cost advantages in bidding. Therefore a higher cost of future would contribute to a lower ranking. This analysis resulted in the following ranking:

Criteria 4 Alternative Approaches
Emerson Middle School
Hendee Administration Building
Franklin Elementary School
Carpenter Elementary School
Roosevelt Elementary School
Washington Elementary School
Field Elementary School
Lincoln Middle School
Jefferson Center

Macintosh HD:Users:lkolstad:Documents:Construction:Construction 2016:Bid Opening:2016.03.03_Best Value Analysis Memo V3.docx

FGM ARCHITECTS

Based on all four of the criteria the forced ranking results in the following Best Value Analysis:

Best Value Analysis Ranking
Emerson Middle School
Franklin Elementary School
Washington Elementary School
Carpenter Elementary School
Hendee Administration Building
Field Elementary School
Roosevelt Elementary School
Jefferson Center
Lincoln Middle School

Using this analysis we have prepared project budget scenarios for two options:

Option 1 would include all projects. The total Project Cost for Option 1 including the Roofing Bids would be \$12,926,000.

Option 2 would include all work except Jefferson and Lincoln, deferring for further investigation and future work projects at those schools. The total Project Cost for Option 2 including the Roofing Bids would be \$10,359,000.

We will be at the Board meeting to review this information and answer any questions regarding the Best Value Analysis and these two options.

From: <u>Kerry Leonard</u>

Cc: DR. LAURIE HEINZ, PARK RIDGE NILES SCHOOL DISTRICT 64

NICK PAPANICHOLAS, JR., NICHOLAS & ASSOCIATES, INC.

LUCAS STREICH, NICHOLAS & ASSOCIATES, INC.

TERI WRIGHT, FGM

NICHOLAS & ASSOCIATES, INC.



1001 Feehanville Drive Mt. Prospect, IL 60056

Phone 847.394.6200 Fax 847.394.6205

March 2nd, 2016

Ms. Luann Kolstad Chief School Business Official Community Consolidated School District 64 164 S. Prospect Avenue Park Ridge, IL 60068-4079

Re: Bid Tabulation Analysis & Review - 2016 Capital Improvement Work

Dear Ms. Kolstad,

Bids for the above-referenced project were received at the District Administrative Offices located at 164 S. Prospect Avenue, Park Ridge, IL. Bids were publicly opened and read aloud on Friday, February 26th, 2016 at 10:00 AM local time.

In the interest of finding the most cost-effective and complete combination of contractors to complete the 2016 Capital Improvement work, Nicholas & Associates has performed a detailed analysis of the bid numbers received. We have reviewed the requested Schedule of Values from all apparent low bidders to further review and analyze each individual project base bid.

This review of each school allowed us to provide the following breakdown of information to isolate the cost of the Secured Entrances/Office Renovation work from the other Critical Infrastructure work. This approach also allowed the combination of low bidders for each Base Bid #4 through #12 to be utilized in providing the lowest possible project cost and best value for each project.

Base Bid Project	Secured Entrances/Office Renovation	Other Projects
#4 - Carpenter Elementary School	\$491,145	\$94,400
#5 - Emerson Middle School	\$412,898	\$0
#6 - Field Elementary School	\$1,207,712	\$0
#7 - Franklin Elementary School	\$514,696	\$8,000
#8 - Hendee Educational Service Center	\$155,027	\$321,952
#9 - Jefferson School	\$696,021	\$103,702
#10 - Lincoln Middle School	\$1,228,650	\$279,500
#11 - Roosevelt Elementary School	\$1,251,125	\$333,250
#12 - Washington Elementary School	\$976,556	\$15,700

Should you have any questions regarding the above or you need additional information, please do not hesitate to contact our office.

Sincerely, Nick Papanicholas, Jr. Vice President

cc: Project File

Park Ridge Niles School District 64 Possible Bid Award Option 1 - All Buildings

	TOTAL PROJECT COSTS	\$12,926,000
FFE		\$231,000
Asbestos		\$376,905
Owners Costs		\$150,000
A/E Fees		\$979,925
General Conditions		\$390,250
CM Fees		\$464,965
	Trade Contracts Subtotal	\$10,332,564
Roofing Bid		\$2,242,230
Secured Entrances	and Office Renovation Bids 4 through 12	\$8,090,334

Park Ridge Niles School District 64 Possible Bid Award Option 2 - Emerson, Franklin, Washington, Carpenter, Hendee, Field and Roosevelt

\$189,000
\$264,105
\$150,000
\$979,925
\$390,250
\$361,111
3,024,691
2,242,230
5,782,461

To: Board of Education

Dr. Laurie Heinz, Superintendent

From: Luann Kolstad, Chief School Business Official

Date: March 3, 2016

Subject: Approval of Bid for Roof Work Summer 2016

On Friday, February 26, 2016 the District received the bids for Summer 2016 Projects. Bids were publicly opened and read aloud at 10:00 am local time.

The District received bids for Base Bid #2 – Re-Roofing Projects at Carpenter, Lincoln, Hendee, Roosevelt and Washington. The original estimate also included re-roofing at Franklin and Jefferson Schools. Based on the results of roof moisture surveys, which showed where significant areas of the roofs where leaks had occurred, did not saturate the insulation. Therefore, the roofs do not require a full large-scale roof replacement.

As the District's Construction Manager, Nicholas and Associates has vetted the low/most responsive bidders. All contractors being recommended have extensive work history and have completed numerous projects for other local school districts. The administration is in agreement with Nicholas and Associates recommendations as follows:

Contractors	Contr	act Value Amount
RB Construction 600 N. Villa Avenue Villa Park, IL 60181	\$	55,000
Metalmaster Roofmaster 4800 Metalmaster Way McHenry, IL 60050	\$	2,059,870
Midwest Decorating 44W108 US Highway 20 Hampshire, IL 60140	\$	7,500
Ernie Peterson Plumbing, Inc. 2421 N. Delaney Road Waukegan, IL 60087	\$	11,360
DeKalb Mechanical, Inc. 339 Wurlitzer Drive DeKalb, IL 60115	\$	70,000
Meany Electric 17401 Laflin Avenue Hazel Crest, IL 60429	\$	38,500
Total Project Cost Base Bid 2	\$	2,242,230

Please see the attachments to this appendix for Nicholas and Associates recommendation and the bid tabulation sheets. These attachments are also used in Appendix 3.

Approval of Bid for Roof Work Summer 2016

ACTION ITEM 16-03-1

I move that the Board of Education of Community Consolidated School District 64, Park Ridge – Niles, Illinois, approve the Roofing Bids to the following contractors:

Contractor	Contract Value
RB Construction	\$ 55,000
Metalmaster Roofmaster	\$ 2,059,870
Midwest Decorating	\$ 7,500
Ernie Peterson Plumbing, Inc.	\$ 11,360
DeKalb Mechanical, Inc.	\$ 70,000
Meany Electric	\$ 38,500
Total Project Cost Base Bid 2	\$2,242,230

The votes were cast as follows:		
Moved by	Seconded by	
AYES:		
NAYS:		
PRESENT:		
ABSENT:		



NICHOLAS & ASSOCIATES, INC.

1001 Feehanville Drive Mt. Prospect, IL 60056

Phone 847.394.6200 Fax 847.394.6205

\$2,242,230

March 2nd, 2016

Ms. Luann Kolstad Chief School Business Official Community Consolidated School District 64 164 S. Prospect Avenue Park Ridge, IL 60068-4079

Re: Award Recommendation & Rejection for the 2016 Capital Improvement Work

Dear Ms. Kolstad,

Total Project Cost Base Bid #2

Bids for the above-referenced project were received at the District Administrative Offices located at 164 S. Prospect Avenue, Park Ridge, IL. Bids were publicly opened and read aloud on Friday, February 26th, 2016 at 10:00 AM local time.

Enclosed for your information is a copy of the bid tabulation sheet outlining the bids received from the contractors and applicable bid packages.

Nicholas & Associates, Inc. has confirmed that the apparent low/most responsive bidders for Base Bid #2 have reviewed and understand the bid documents for the 2016 Capital Improvement Work and intend to perform the work as specified. All Contractors being recommended have extensive work history with Nicholas & Associates and/or have completed numerous projects for other local School Districts.

Therefore, we recommend that the Board of Education issue construction contracts to the following Contractors for the **2016 Capital Improvements Base Bid #2 – Re-Roofing Scope** at the following buildings: Carpenter, Lincoln, Franklin, Jefferson, Hendee, Roosevelt, & Washington:

Contractors RB Construction 600 N. Villa Ave Villa Park, IL 60181	Contract Value Amount \$55,000
Metalmaster Roofmaster 4800 Metalmaster Way McHenry, IL 60050	\$2,059,870
Midwest Decorating 44W108 US Highway 20 Hampshire, IL 60140	\$7,500
Ernie Peterson Plumbing, Inc. 2421 N. Delany Road Waukegan, IL 60087	\$11,360
DeKalb Mechanical, Inc. 339 Wurlitzer Drive DeKalb, IL 60115	\$70,000
Meany Electric 17401 Laflin Avenue Hazel Crest, IL 60429	<u>\$38,500</u>

Nicholas & Associates, Inc. has confirmed that the apparent low/most responsive bidders for Base Bid #3 have reviewed and understand the bid documents for the 2016 Capital Improvement Work and intend to perform the work as specified. Based on the review of the bids as received it is of our opinion that these bids **are not a good value** for the School District. The aluminum window manufacturers are all very busy with 18+ week backlog on new orders. There were a total of (5) manufacturers listed in the specifications and only (1) of the five specified manufacturers actually quoted to bidding contractors thus providing a less than competitive bid environment.

Therefore, we recommend that the Board of Education <u>reject</u> the following bids received for the **2016 Capital Improvement Base Bid #3 – Lincoln Middle School Window Replacement**:

ContractorsBid AmountKrull Window Company\$1,378,4345535 W. 110th Street

Madden Glass, Inc. \$1,413,000 60 Gordon Street Elk Grove, IL 60007

Should you have any questions regarding the above or you need additional information, please do not hesitate to contact our office.

Sincerely, Nick Papanicholas, Jr. Vice President

Oak Lawn, IL 60453

cc: Project File

encl: Bid Tabulation Summary



Park Ridge-Niles School District 64 2016 Capital Improvements Project February 26, 2016 - 10:00 a.m.

FGM ARCHITECTS

Bid Package # 1 - Site Work	1				ı	1			1				1				
Contractor	Bid Bond	Add. 1-2	Base Bid #1	Base Bid #2	Base Bid #3	Base Bid #4	Base Bid #5	Base Bid #6	Base Bid #7	Base Bid #8	Base Bid #9	Base Bid #10	Base Bid #11	Base Bid #12	Alternate #1	Alternate #2	Alternate #3
Albrecht Enterprises, Inc.	х	х	\$1,072,438	-	-	\$13,030	\$3,500	\$216,518	\$11,075	\$83,252	-	\$279,883	\$423,880	\$3,300	-	-	\$30,600
Fox Excavating	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Maneval Construction	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•
Riemer Engineering	х	х	\$824,782	-	-	\$6,900	-	\$194,194	-	\$84,860	-	\$229,904	\$313,934	-	-	-	\$17,424
Bid Package # 2- General Trades																	
Contractor	Bid Bond	Add. 1-2	Base Bid #1	Base Bid #2	Base Bid #3	Base Bid #4	Base Bid #5	Base Bid #6	Base Bid #7	Base Bid #8	Base Bid #9	Base Bid #10	Base Bid #11	Base Bid #12	Alternate #1	Alternate #2	Alternate #3
Airtite/E&K of Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Happ Builders	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•
Manusos	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Monarch Construction	X	Х	\$2,668,035		\$501,914	\$201,409	\$180,590	\$298,492	\$147,202	\$83,104	\$194,712	\$477,677	\$336,878	\$231,670	-	-	\$15,605
RB Construction	Х	Х	\$2,630,000	\$55,000	\$451,000	\$210,000	\$182,000	\$311,000	\$159,000	\$101,000	\$189,000	\$502,000	\$352,000	\$220,000	-	-	-
Bid Package #3 - Masonry																	
Contractor	Bid Bond	Add. 1-2	Base Bid #1	Base Bid #2	Base Bid #3	Base Bid #4	Base Bid #5	Base Bid #6	Base Bid #7	Base Bid #8	Base Bid #9	Base Bid #10	Base Bid #11	Base Bid #12	Alternate #1	Alternate #2	Alternate #3
J&E Duff	х	Х	\$968,800	-	-	\$21,900	\$25,400	\$185,900	\$24,100	\$238,700	\$47,700	\$164,900	\$205,700	\$54,500	\$8,000	-	-
JAC Masonry	Х	Х	\$910,000	-	-	\$70,885	\$22,570	\$166,450	\$58,720	\$244,140	\$37,960	\$131,325	\$150,000	\$38,850	-	-	-
Midwest Masonry	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•
Iwanski Masonry	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•
A. Horn Masonry	х	X	\$999,988	-	-	\$54,915	\$31,080	\$157,260	\$25,200	\$239,737	\$65,147	\$223,518	\$150,144	\$55,524	\$3,000	-	-
Bid Package # 4 - Roofing																	
Bid Package # 4 - Roofing Contractor	Bid Bond	Add. 1-2	Base Bid #1	Base Bid #2	Base Bid #3	Base Bid #4	Base Bid #5	Base Bid #6	Base Bid #7	Base Bid #8	Base Bid #9	Base Bid #10	Base Bid #11	Base Bid #12	Alternate #1	Alternate #2	Alternate #3
	Bid Bond	Add. 1-2	Base Bid #1 \$2,650,000	Base Bid #2 \$2,621,000	Base Bid #3	Base Bid #4	Base Bid #5	Base Bid #6 \$29,000	Base Bid #7	Base Bid #8	Base Bid #9	Base Bid #10	Base Bid #11	Base Bid #12	Alternate #1	Alternate #2	Alternate #3
Contractor												Base Bid #10 - -			Alternate #1		
Contractor Bennett and Brosseau	х	x	\$2,650,000	\$2,621,000	-	-	-	\$29,000	-	-	-	-	- \$34,000	-	-	-	-
Contractor Bennett and Brosseau L. Marshall Roofing	X -	x -	\$2,650,000 -	\$2,621,000	-	-	-	\$29,000 -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Contractor Bennett and Brosseau L. Marshall Roofing Riddiford Roofing	x - x	x - x	\$2,650,000 - \$2,339,164	\$2,621,000 - \$2,235,416	-		-	\$29,000 - \$49,576	-	-	-	- - \$20,172	- \$34,000		-	-	
Contractor Bennett and Brosseau L. Marshall Roofing Riddiford Roofing Metalmaster/Roofmaster	x - x x x	x - x	\$2,650,000 - \$2,339,164 \$2,176,790	\$2,621,000 - \$2,235,416	-		- - -	\$29,000 - \$49,576 \$39,392	- - - \$4,932	- - -	- - - \$6,024	- - \$20,172	- \$34,000 \$50,365	- - -	-	-	
Contractor Bennett and Brosseau L. Marshall Roofing Riddiford Roofing Metalmaster/Roofmaster EM Roofing Waukegan Roofing	x - x x	x - x x	\$2,650,000 - \$2,339,164 \$2,176,790	\$2,621,000 - \$2,235,416 \$2,059,870			- - - -	\$29,000 - \$49,576 \$39,392 -	- - - \$4,932		- - - \$6,024	- - \$20,172 \$16,682 -	- - \$34,000 \$50,365		-	-	
Contractor Bennett and Brosseau L. Marshall Roofing Riddiford Roofing Metalmaster/Roofmaster EM Roofing	x - x x	x - x x	\$2,650,000 - \$2,339,164 \$2,176,790	\$2,621,000 - \$2,235,416 \$2,059,870			- - - -	\$29,000 - \$49,576 \$39,392 -	- - - \$4,932		- - - \$6,024	- - \$20,172 \$16,682 -	- - \$34,000 \$50,365		-	-	
Contractor Bennett and Brosseau L. Marshall Roofing Riddiford Roofing Metalmaster/Roofmaster EM Roofing Waukegan Roofing Bid Package #5 - Aluminum/Glazin	X - X X Bid Bond	X - X X Add. 1-2	\$2,650,000 - \$2,339,164 \$2,176,790 - - Base Bid #1	\$2,621,000 - \$2,235,416 \$2,059,870 -				\$29,000 - \$49,576 \$39,392 - -	- - \$4,932 - - Base Bid #7	- - - - Base Bid #8	- - - \$6,024 - - - Base Bid #9	- \$20,172 \$16,682 - - Base Bid #10	- \$34,000 \$50,365 - - Base Bid #11	- - - - - - Base Bid #12	- - - - -		- - - - -
Contractor Bennett and Brosseau L. Marshall Roofing Riddiford Roofing Metalmaster/Roofmaster EM Roofing Waukegan Roofing Bid Package #5 - Aluminum/Glazin	X - X X	x - x x	\$2,650,000 - \$2,339,164 \$2,176,790 - -	\$2,621,000 - \$2,235,416 \$2,059,870 - - Base Bid #2	- - - - -	- - - - -	- - - - -	\$29,000 - \$49,576 \$39,392 - -	- - - \$4,932 - -	- - - -	- - - \$6,024 - -	- \$20,172 \$16,682 - -	- - \$34,000 \$50,365 -	- - - - -	Alternate #1	- - - - -	- - - - - - Alternate #3
Contractor Bennett and Brosseau L. Marshall Roofing Riddiford Roofing Metalmaster/Roofmaster EM Roofing Waukegan Roofing Bid Package #5 - Aluminum/Glazin Contractor Krull Windows	X - X X Bid Bond X	X - X X Add. 1-2 X	\$2,650,000 \$2,339,164 \$2,176,790 Base Bid #1 \$1,844,469	\$2,621,000 - \$2,235,416 \$2,059,870 - - - Base Bid #2				\$29,000 - \$49,576 \$39,392 - - - Base Bid #6 \$82,515	\$4,932 Base Bid #7	- - - - - Base Bid #8	\$6,024 Base Bid #9	- \$20,172 \$16,682 - - Base Bid #10 \$75,813	- \$34,000 \$50,365 - - Base Bid #11 \$98,882				- - - - - - Alternate #3
Contractor Bennett and Brosseau L. Marshall Roofing Riddiford Roofing Metalmaster/Roofmaster EM Roofing Waukegan Roofing Bid Package #5 - Aluminum/Glazin Contractor Krull Windows RWS Windows	X - X X ng Bid Bond X -	X	\$2,650,000 - \$2,339,164 \$2,176,790 Base Bid #1 \$1,844,469	\$2,621,000 - \$2,235,416 \$2,059,870 Base Bid #2				\$29,000 - \$49,576 \$39,392 - - - Base Bid #6	\$4,932 Base Bid #7	- - - - - Base Bid #8	- - - \$6,024 - - - Base Bid #9	- \$20,172 \$16,682 - - Base Bid #10	- \$34,000 \$50,365 - - Base Bid #11				Alternate #3
Contractor Bennett and Brosseau L. Marshall Roofing Riddiford Roofing Metalmaster/Roofmaster EM Roofing Waukegan Roofing Bid Package #5 - Aluminum/Glazin Contractor Krull Windows RWS Windows Madden Glass Rock Valley Glass	X - X X Bid Bond X - X	X	\$2,650,000 \$2,339,164 \$2,176,790 Base Bid #1 \$1,844,469 \$1,790,100	\$2,621,000 - \$2,235,416 \$2,059,870 Base Bid #2				\$29,000 - \$49,576 \$39,392 - - Base Bid #6 \$82,515 - \$75,900	\$4,932 Base Bid #7 \$51,266 - \$40,100	- - - - - Base Bid #8 \$24,744 - \$8,200	\$6,024 	- \$20,172 \$16,682 - - Base Bid #10 \$75,813 - \$47,300	- \$34,000 \$50,365 Base Bid #11 \$98,882 - \$78,900				Alternate #3
Contractor Bennett and Brosseau L. Marshall Roofing Riddiford Roofing Metalmaster/Roofmaster EM Roofing Waukegan Roofing Bid Package #5 - Aluminum/Glazin Contractor Krull Windows RWS Windows Madden Glass	X - X X Bid Bond X - X	X	\$2,650,000 \$2,339,164 \$2,176,790 Base Bid #1 \$1,844,469 \$1,790,100	\$2,621,000 - \$2,235,416 \$2,059,870 Base Bid #2				\$29,000 - \$49,576 \$39,392 - - Base Bid #6 \$82,515 - \$75,900	\$4,932 Base Bid #7 \$51,266 - \$40,100	- - - - - Base Bid #8 \$24,744 - \$8,200	\$6,024 	- \$20,172 \$16,682 - - Base Bid #10 \$75,813 - \$47,300	- \$34,000 \$50,365 Base Bid #11 \$98,882 - \$78,900				Alternate #3
Contractor Bennett and Brosseau L. Marshall Roofing Riddiford Roofing Metalmaster/Roofmaster EM Roofing Waukegan Roofing Bid Package #5 - Aluminum/Glazin Contractor Krull Windows RWS Windows Madden Glass Rock Valley Glass Bid Package #6 - Flooring	X - X X Bid Bond X - X	X	\$2,650,000 - \$2,339,164 \$2,176,790 Base Bid #1 \$1,844,469 - \$1,790,100	\$2,621,000 - \$2,235,416 \$2,059,870 Base Bid #2			Base Bid #5 \$31,357 - \$22,200	\$29,000 - \$49,576 \$39,392 - - - Base Bid #6 \$82,515 - \$75,900	- \$4,932 Base Bid #7 \$51,266 - \$40,100	Base Bid #8 \$24,744 - \$8,200	\$6,024 	- \$20,172 \$16,682 - - Base Bid #10 \$75,813 - \$47,300	- \$34,000 \$50,365 - - - Base Bid #11 \$98,882 - \$78,900				
Contractor Bennett and Brosseau L. Marshall Roofing Riddiford Roofing Metalmaster/Roofmaster EM Roofing Waukegan Roofing Bid Package #5 - Aluminum/Glazin Contractor Krull Windows RWS Windows Madden Glass Rock Valley Glass Bid Package #6 - Flooring Contractor	X - X X Bid Bond X - X - Bid Bond	X	\$2,650,000 - \$2,339,164 \$2,176,790 Base Bid #1 \$1,844,469 - \$1,790,100 - Base Bid #1	\$2,621,000 - \$2,235,416 \$2,059,870 Base Bid #2 Base Bid #2 - Base Bid #2				\$29,000 \$49,576 \$39,392 Base Bid #6 \$82,515 \$75,900	\$4,932 Base Bid #7 \$51,266 - \$40,100		\$6,024	- \$20,172 \$16,682 - - Base Bid #10 \$75,813 - \$47,300	- \$34,000 \$50,365 Base Bid #11 \$98,882 - \$78,900				
Contractor Bennett and Brosseau L. Marshall Roofing Riddiford Roofing Metalmaster/Roofmaster EM Roofing Waukegan Roofing Bid Package #5 - Aluminum/Glazin Contractor Krull Windows RWS Windows Madden Glass Rock Valley Glass Bid Package #6 - Flooring Contractor Michael Kautz Carpets & Designs	X - X X Bid Bond X - X - X - X - X - X - X - X - X - X	X	\$2,650,000 \$2,339,164 \$2,176,790 Base Bid #1 \$1,844,469 \$1,790,100 Base Bid #1 \$295,600	\$2,621,000 - \$2,235,416 \$2,059,870 Base Bid #2 Base Bid #2				\$29,000 \$49,576 \$39,392 Base Bid #6 \$82,515 \$75,900 Base Bid #6 \$56,785	\$4,932 \$51,266 - \$40,100 - Base Bid #7		\$6,024	- \$20,172 \$16,682 Base Bid #10 \$75,813 - \$47,300 - Base Bid #10	- \$34,000 \$50,365 Base Bid #11 \$98,882 - \$78,900 - Base Bid #11				
Contractor Bennett and Brosseau L. Marshall Roofing Riddiford Roofing Metalmaster/Roofmaster EM Roofing Waukegan Roofing Bid Package #5 - Aluminum/Glazin Contractor Krull Windows RWS Windows Madden Glass Rock Valley Glass Bid Package #6 - Flooring Contractor Michael Kautz Carpets & Designs Johnson Flooring	X - X X Bid Bond X - X - X - X - X - X - X - X - X - X	X	\$2,650,000 \$2,339,164 \$2,176,790 Base Bid #1 \$1,844,469 \$1,790,100 Base Bid #1 \$295,600 \$397,662	\$2,621,000 - \$2,235,416 \$2,059,870 Base Bid #2 Base Bid #2				\$29,000 \$49,576 \$39,392 Base Bid #6 \$82,515 \$75,900 Base Bid #6 \$56,785 \$75,010	- \$4,932 \$51,266 - \$40,100 - Base Bid #7 \$25,882 \$34,110		\$6,024	- \$20,172 \$16,682 Base Bid #10 \$75,813 - \$47,300 - Base Bid #10	- \$34,000 \$50,365 Base Bid #11 \$98,882 - \$78,900 - Base Bid #11 \$51,381 \$69,445				



Park Ridge-Niles School District 64 2016 Capital Improvements Project February 26, 2016 - 10:00 a.m.

FGM ARCHITECTS

Bid Package #7 - Painting																	
Contractor	Bid Bond	Add. 1-2	Base Bid #1	Base Bid #2	Base Bid #3	Base Bid #4	Base Bid #5	Base Bid #6	Base Bid #7	Base Bid #8	Base Bid #9	Base Bid #10	Base Bid #11	Base Bid #12	Alternate #1	Alternate #2	Alternate #3
May Decorating	х	х	\$77,100	-	\$14,500	\$6,600	\$6,450	\$10,550	\$5,300	\$3,550	\$7,350	\$9,850	\$7,800	\$6,350	-	-	-
Midwest Decorating	х	Х	\$96,760	\$7,500	-	\$6,295	\$7,790	\$12,020	\$4,785	\$2,220	\$8,600	\$13,760	\$11,100	\$9,715	-	-	-
Oosterbaan & Sons Co.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cosgrove Construction Inc.	Х	NO	\$88,800	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bid Package #8 - Plumbing																	
Contractor	Bid Bond	Add. 1-2	Base Bid #1	Base Bid #2	Base Bid #3	Base Bid #4	Base Bid #5	Base Bid #6	Base Bid #7	Base Bid #8	Base Bid #9	Base Bid #10	Base Bid #11	Base Bid #12	Alternate #1	Alternate #2	Alternate #3
Ernie Peterson Plumbing	х	х	\$528,615	\$11,360	-	\$42,131	-	\$122,569	\$35,000	-	\$49,961	\$102,914	\$87,184	\$77,965	-	-	-
Hartwig Plumbing	х	х	\$472,000	\$35,700	-	\$36,625	-	\$91,860	\$38,650	-	\$49,500	\$102,000	\$78,900	\$38,865	-	-	-
Jensen Plumbing	х	Х	\$397,550		-	\$39,400	\$3,750	\$101,500	\$25,000	-	\$36,000	\$83,700	\$65,500	\$42,700	-	-	-
DeFranco Plumbing	х	Х	\$389,627	\$59,000	-	\$38,607	\$3,750	\$96,930	\$30,200	-	\$39,100	\$75,710	\$69,690	\$35,640	-	-	-
Bruckner Plumbing	Х	х	\$403,500	\$42,000	-	\$40,000	-	\$92,400	\$29,000	-	\$40,800	\$70,000	\$61,000	\$40,000	-	-	-
Bid Package #9 - HVAC																	
Contractor	Bid Bond	Add. 1-2	Base Bid #1	Base Bid #2	Base Bid #3	Base Bid #4	Base Bid #5	Base Bid #6	Base Bid #7	Base Bid #8	Base Bid #9	Base Bid #10	Base Bid #11	Base Bid #12	Alternate #1	Alternate #2	Alternate #3
Amber Mechanical	Х	х	\$2,604,000	\$248,000	-	\$178,000	\$92,000	\$196,000	\$168,000	\$48,000	\$339,000	\$345,000	\$388,000	\$602,000	-	-	-
Oakbrook Mechanical	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
National Heat & Power	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DeKalb Mechanical	X	Х	\$2,147,000	\$70,000	-	\$97,000	\$60,000	\$175,000	\$153,000	\$31,000	\$287,000	\$326,000	\$444,000	\$504,000	-	-	-
Quality Control System	x	X	\$2,512,900	\$160,000	-	\$149,000	\$90,000	\$189,000	\$207,000	\$79,000	\$270,000	\$375,900	\$433,000	\$560,000	-	-	-
RJ	Х	Х	\$2,206,959	\$70,000	-	\$188,107	\$61,793	\$166,171	\$145,720	\$34,281	\$297,459	\$343,822	\$425,809	\$517,968	-	-	-
Bid Package #10 - Electrical			_														
Contractor	Bid Bond	Add. 1-2	Base Bid #1	Base Bid #2	Base Bid #3	Base Bid #4	Base Bid #5	Base Bid #6	Base Bid #7	Base Bid #8	Base Bid #9	Base Bid #10	Base Bid #11	Base Bid #12	Alternate #1	Alternate #2	Alternate #3
J. Hamilton Electric Co., Inc.																	
Genesis Electric	х	х	\$1,215,833	\$892,481	-	\$158,190	\$83,096	\$157,474	\$100,427	\$39,244	\$194,691	\$165,878	\$171,815	\$141,018	-	-	-
Meany Electric	х	х	\$1,299,000	\$38,500	-	\$192,500	\$98,000	\$127,500	\$100,000	\$32,500	\$252,500	\$187,500	\$142,500	\$127,500	-	-	-
Shoreline Electric	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Carey Electric	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
American Electric	х	х	\$1,181,000			\$152,800	\$85,700	\$159,500	\$93,900	\$25,600	\$200,200	\$163,800	\$184,500	\$127,100	-	-	-



NICHOLAS & ASSOCIATES, INC.

1001 Feehanville Drive Mt. Prospect, IL 60056

Phone 847.394.6200 Fax 847.394.6205

\$2,242,230

March 2nd, 2016

Ms. Luann Kolstad Chief School Business Official Community Consolidated School District 64 164 S. Prospect Avenue Park Ridge, IL 60068-4079

Re: Award Recommendation & Rejection for the 2016 Capital Improvement Work

Dear Ms. Kolstad,

Total Project Cost Base Bid #2

Bids for the above-referenced project were received at the District Administrative Offices located at 164 S. Prospect Avenue, Park Ridge, IL. Bids were publicly opened and read aloud on Friday, February 26th, 2016 at 10:00 AM local time.

Enclosed for your information is a copy of the bid tabulation sheet outlining the bids received from the contractors and applicable bid packages.

Nicholas & Associates, Inc. has confirmed that the apparent low/most responsive bidders for Base Bid #2 have reviewed and understand the bid documents for the 2016 Capital Improvement Work and intend to perform the work as specified. All Contractors being recommended have extensive work history with Nicholas & Associates and/or have completed numerous projects for other local School Districts.

Therefore, we recommend that the Board of Education issue construction contracts to the following Contractors for the **2016 Capital Improvements Base Bid #2 – Re-Roofing Scope** at the following buildings: Carpenter, Lincoln, Franklin, Jefferson, Hendee, Roosevelt, & Washington:

Contractors RB Construction 600 N. Villa Ave Villa Park, IL 60181	Contract Value Amount \$55,000
Metalmaster Roofmaster 4800 Metalmaster Way McHenry, IL 60050	\$2,059,870
Midwest Decorating 44W108 US Highway 20 Hampshire, IL 60140	\$7,500
Ernie Peterson Plumbing, Inc. 2421 N. Delany Road Waukegan, IL 60087	\$11,360
DeKalb Mechanical, Inc. 339 Wurlitzer Drive DeKalb, IL 60115	\$70,000
Meany Electric 17401 Laflin Avenue Hazel Crest, IL 60429	<u>\$38,500</u>

Nicholas & Associates, Inc. has confirmed that the apparent low/most responsive bidders for Base Bid #3 have reviewed and understand the bid documents for the 2016 Capital Improvement Work and intend to perform the work as specified. Based on the review of the bids as received it is of our opinion that these bids **are not a good value** for the School District. The aluminum window manufacturers are all very busy with 18+ week backlog on new orders. There were a total of (5) manufacturers listed in the specifications and only (1) of the five specified manufacturers actually quoted to bidding contractors thus providing a less than competitive bid environment.

Therefore, we recommend that the Board of Education <u>reject</u> the following bids received for the **2016 Capital Improvement Base Bid #3 – Lincoln Middle School Window Replacement**:

ContractorsBid AmountKrull Window Company\$1,378,4345535 W. 110th Street

Madden Glass, Inc. \$1,413,000 60 Gordon Street Elk Grove, IL 60007

Should you have any questions regarding the above or you need additional information, please do not hesitate to contact our office.

Sincerely, Nick Papanicholas, Jr. Vice President

Oak Lawn, IL 60453

cc: Project File

encl: Bid Tabulation Summary



Park Ridge-Niles School District 64 2016 Capital Improvements Project February 26, 2016 - 10:00 a.m.

FGM ARCHITECTS

Bid Package # 1 - Site Work	1				ı	1			1				1				
Contractor	Bid Bond	Add. 1-2	Base Bid #1	Base Bid #2	Base Bid #3	Base Bid #4	Base Bid #5	Base Bid #6	Base Bid #7	Base Bid #8	Base Bid #9	Base Bid #10	Base Bid #11	Base Bid #12	Alternate #1	Alternate #2	Alternate #3
Albrecht Enterprises, Inc.	х	х	\$1,072,438	-	-	\$13,030	\$3,500	\$216,518	\$11,075	\$83,252	-	\$279,883	\$423,880	\$3,300	-	-	\$30,600
Fox Excavating	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Maneval Construction	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•
Riemer Engineering	х	х	\$824,782	-	-	\$6,900	-	\$194,194	-	\$84,860	-	\$229,904	\$313,934	-	-	-	\$17,424
Bid Package # 2- General Trades																	
Contractor	Bid Bond	Add. 1-2	Base Bid #1	Base Bid #2	Base Bid #3	Base Bid #4	Base Bid #5	Base Bid #6	Base Bid #7	Base Bid #8	Base Bid #9	Base Bid #10	Base Bid #11	Base Bid #12	Alternate #1	Alternate #2	Alternate #3
Airtite/E&K of Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Happ Builders	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•
Manusos	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Monarch Construction	X	Х	\$2,668,035		\$501,914	\$201,409	\$180,590	\$298,492	\$147,202	\$83,104	\$194,712	\$477,677	\$336,878	\$231,670	-	-	\$15,605
RB Construction	Х	Х	\$2,630,000	\$55,000	\$451,000	\$210,000	\$182,000	\$311,000	\$159,000	\$101,000	\$189,000	\$502,000	\$352,000	\$220,000	-	-	-
Bid Package #3 - Masonry																	
Contractor	Bid Bond	Add. 1-2	Base Bid #1	Base Bid #2	Base Bid #3	Base Bid #4	Base Bid #5	Base Bid #6	Base Bid #7	Base Bid #8	Base Bid #9	Base Bid #10	Base Bid #11	Base Bid #12	Alternate #1	Alternate #2	Alternate #3
J&E Duff	х	Х	\$968,800	-	-	\$21,900	\$25,400	\$185,900	\$24,100	\$238,700	\$47,700	\$164,900	\$205,700	\$54,500	\$8,000	-	-
JAC Masonry	Х	Х	\$910,000	-	-	\$70,885	\$22,570	\$166,450	\$58,720	\$244,140	\$37,960	\$131,325	\$150,000	\$38,850	-	-	-
Midwest Masonry	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•
Iwanski Masonry	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•
A. Horn Masonry	х	X	\$999,988	-	-	\$54,915	\$31,080	\$157,260	\$25,200	\$239,737	\$65,147	\$223,518	\$150,144	\$55,524	\$3,000	-	-
Bid Package # 4 - Roofing																	
Bid Package # 4 - Roofing Contractor	Bid Bond	Add. 1-2	Base Bid #1	Base Bid #2	Base Bid #3	Base Bid #4	Base Bid #5	Base Bid #6	Base Bid #7	Base Bid #8	Base Bid #9	Base Bid #10	Base Bid #11	Base Bid #12	Alternate #1	Alternate #2	Alternate #3
	Bid Bond	Add. 1-2	Base Bid #1 \$2,650,000	Base Bid #2 \$2,621,000	Base Bid #3	Base Bid #4	Base Bid #5	Base Bid #6 \$29,000	Base Bid #7	Base Bid #8	Base Bid #9	Base Bid #10	Base Bid #11	Base Bid #12	Alternate #1	Alternate #2	Alternate #3
Contractor												Base Bid #10 - -			Alternate #1		
Contractor Bennett and Brosseau	х	x	\$2,650,000	\$2,621,000	-	-	-	\$29,000	-	-	-	-	- \$34,000	-	-	-	-
Contractor Bennett and Brosseau L. Marshall Roofing	X -	x -	\$2,650,000 -	\$2,621,000	-	-	-	\$29,000 -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Contractor Bennett and Brosseau L. Marshall Roofing Riddiford Roofing	x - x	x - x	\$2,650,000 - \$2,339,164	\$2,621,000 - \$2,235,416	-		-	\$29,000 - \$49,576	-	-	-	- - \$20,172	- \$34,000		-	-	
Contractor Bennett and Brosseau L. Marshall Roofing Riddiford Roofing Metalmaster/Roofmaster	x - x x x	x - x	\$2,650,000 - \$2,339,164 \$2,176,790	\$2,621,000 - \$2,235,416	-		- - -	\$29,000 - \$49,576 \$39,392	- - - \$4,932	- - -	- - - \$6,024	- - \$20,172	- \$34,000 \$50,365	- - -	-	-	
Contractor Bennett and Brosseau L. Marshall Roofing Riddiford Roofing Metalmaster/Roofmaster EM Roofing Waukegan Roofing	x - x x	x - x x	\$2,650,000 - \$2,339,164 \$2,176,790	\$2,621,000 - \$2,235,416 \$2,059,870			- - - -	\$29,000 - \$49,576 \$39,392 -	- - - \$4,932		- - - \$6,024	- - \$20,172 \$16,682 -	- - \$34,000 \$50,365		-	-	
Contractor Bennett and Brosseau L. Marshall Roofing Riddiford Roofing Metalmaster/Roofmaster EM Roofing	x - x x	x - x x	\$2,650,000 - \$2,339,164 \$2,176,790	\$2,621,000 - \$2,235,416 \$2,059,870			- - - -	\$29,000 - \$49,576 \$39,392 -	- - - \$4,932		- - - \$6,024	- - \$20,172 \$16,682 -	- - \$34,000 \$50,365		-	-	
Contractor Bennett and Brosseau L. Marshall Roofing Riddiford Roofing Metalmaster/Roofmaster EM Roofing Waukegan Roofing Bid Package #5 - Aluminum/Glazin	X - X X Bid Bond	X - X X Add. 1-2	\$2,650,000 - \$2,339,164 \$2,176,790 - - Base Bid #1	\$2,621,000 - \$2,235,416 \$2,059,870 -				\$29,000 - \$49,576 \$39,392 - -	- - \$4,932 - - Base Bid #7	- - - - Base Bid #8	- - - \$6,024 - - - Base Bid #9	- \$20,172 \$16,682 - - Base Bid #10	- \$34,000 \$50,365 - - Base Bid #11	- - - - - - Base Bid #12	- - - - -		- - - - -
Contractor Bennett and Brosseau L. Marshall Roofing Riddiford Roofing Metalmaster/Roofmaster EM Roofing Waukegan Roofing Bid Package #5 - Aluminum/Glazin	X - X X	x - x x	\$2,650,000 - \$2,339,164 \$2,176,790 - -	\$2,621,000 - \$2,235,416 \$2,059,870 - - Base Bid #2	- - - - -	- - - - -	- - - - -	\$29,000 - \$49,576 \$39,392 - -	- - - \$4,932 - -	- - - -	- - - \$6,024 - -	- \$20,172 \$16,682 - -	- - \$34,000 \$50,365 -	- - - - -	Alternate #1	- - - - -	- - - - - - Alternate #3
Contractor Bennett and Brosseau L. Marshall Roofing Riddiford Roofing Metalmaster/Roofmaster EM Roofing Waukegan Roofing Bid Package #5 - Aluminum/Glazin Contractor Krull Windows	X - X X Bid Bond X	X - X X Add. 1-2 X	\$2,650,000 \$2,339,164 \$2,176,790 Base Bid #1 \$1,844,469	\$2,621,000 - \$2,235,416 \$2,059,870 - - - Base Bid #2				\$29,000 - \$49,576 \$39,392 - - - Base Bid #6 \$82,515	\$4,932 Base Bid #7	- - - - - Base Bid #8	\$6,024 Base Bid #9	- \$20,172 \$16,682 - - Base Bid #10 \$75,813	- \$34,000 \$50,365 - - Base Bid #11 \$98,882				- - - - - - Alternate #3
Contractor Bennett and Brosseau L. Marshall Roofing Riddiford Roofing Metalmaster/Roofmaster EM Roofing Waukegan Roofing Bid Package #5 - Aluminum/Glazin Contractor Krull Windows RWS Windows	X - X X ng Bid Bond X -	X	\$2,650,000 - \$2,339,164 \$2,176,790 Base Bid #1 \$1,844,469	\$2,621,000 - \$2,235,416 \$2,059,870 Base Bid #2				\$29,000 - \$49,576 \$39,392 - - - Base Bid #6	\$4,932 Base Bid #7	- - - - - Base Bid #8	- - - \$6,024 - - - Base Bid #9	- \$20,172 \$16,682 - - Base Bid #10	- \$34,000 \$50,365 - - Base Bid #11				Alternate #3
Contractor Bennett and Brosseau L. Marshall Roofing Riddiford Roofing Metalmaster/Roofmaster EM Roofing Waukegan Roofing Bid Package #5 - Aluminum/Glazin Contractor Krull Windows RWS Windows Madden Glass Rock Valley Glass	X - X X Bid Bond X - X	X	\$2,650,000 \$2,339,164 \$2,176,790 Base Bid #1 \$1,844,469 \$1,790,100	\$2,621,000 - \$2,235,416 \$2,059,870 Base Bid #2				\$29,000 - \$49,576 \$39,392 - - Base Bid #6 \$82,515 - \$75,900	\$4,932 Base Bid #7 \$51,266 - \$40,100	- - - - - Base Bid #8 \$24,744 - \$8,200	\$6,024 	- \$20,172 \$16,682 - - Base Bid #10 \$75,813 - \$47,300	- \$34,000 \$50,365 Base Bid #11 \$98,882 - \$78,900				Alternate #3
Contractor Bennett and Brosseau L. Marshall Roofing Riddiford Roofing Metalmaster/Roofmaster EM Roofing Waukegan Roofing Bid Package #5 - Aluminum/Glazin Contractor Krull Windows RWS Windows Madden Glass	X - X X Bid Bond X - X	X	\$2,650,000 \$2,339,164 \$2,176,790 Base Bid #1 \$1,844,469 \$1,790,100	\$2,621,000 - \$2,235,416 \$2,059,870 Base Bid #2				\$29,000 - \$49,576 \$39,392 - - Base Bid #6 \$82,515 - \$75,900	\$4,932 Base Bid #7 \$51,266 - \$40,100	- - - - - Base Bid #8 \$24,744 - \$8,200	\$6,024 	- \$20,172 \$16,682 - - Base Bid #10 \$75,813 - \$47,300	- \$34,000 \$50,365 Base Bid #11 \$98,882 - \$78,900				Alternate #3
Contractor Bennett and Brosseau L. Marshall Roofing Riddiford Roofing Metalmaster/Roofmaster EM Roofing Waukegan Roofing Bid Package #5 - Aluminum/Glazin Contractor Krull Windows RWS Windows Madden Glass Rock Valley Glass Bid Package #6 - Flooring	X - X X Bid Bond X - X	X	\$2,650,000 - \$2,339,164 \$2,176,790 Base Bid #1 \$1,844,469 - \$1,790,100	\$2,621,000 - \$2,235,416 \$2,059,870 Base Bid #2			Base Bid #5 \$31,357 - \$22,200	\$29,000 - \$49,576 \$39,392 - - - Base Bid #6 \$82,515 - \$75,900	- \$4,932 Base Bid #7 \$51,266 - \$40,100	Base Bid #8 \$24,744 - \$8,200	\$6,024 	- \$20,172 \$16,682 - - Base Bid #10 \$75,813 - \$47,300	- \$34,000 \$50,365 - - - Base Bid #11 \$98,882 - \$78,900				
Contractor Bennett and Brosseau L. Marshall Roofing Riddiford Roofing Metalmaster/Roofmaster EM Roofing Waukegan Roofing Bid Package #5 - Aluminum/Glazin Contractor Krull Windows RWS Windows Madden Glass Rock Valley Glass Bid Package #6 - Flooring Contractor	X - X X Bid Bond X - X - Bid Bond	X	\$2,650,000 - \$2,339,164 \$2,176,790 Base Bid #1 \$1,844,469 - \$1,790,100 - Base Bid #1	\$2,621,000 - \$2,235,416 \$2,059,870 Base Bid #2 Base Bid #2 - Base Bid #2				\$29,000 \$49,576 \$39,392 Base Bid #6 \$82,515 \$75,900	\$4,932 Base Bid #7 \$51,266 - \$40,100		\$6,024	- \$20,172 \$16,682 - - Base Bid #10 \$75,813 - \$47,300	- \$34,000 \$50,365 Base Bid #11 \$98,882 - \$78,900				
Contractor Bennett and Brosseau L. Marshall Roofing Riddiford Roofing Metalmaster/Roofmaster EM Roofing Waukegan Roofing Bid Package #5 - Aluminum/Glazin Contractor Krull Windows RWS Windows Madden Glass Rock Valley Glass Bid Package #6 - Flooring Contractor Michael Kautz Carpets & Designs	X - X X Bid Bond X - X - X - X - X - X - X - X - X - X	X	\$2,650,000 \$2,339,164 \$2,176,790 Base Bid #1 \$1,844,469 \$1,790,100 Base Bid #1 \$295,600	\$2,621,000 - \$2,235,416 \$2,059,870 Base Bid #2 Base Bid #2				\$29,000 \$49,576 \$39,392 Base Bid #6 \$82,515 \$75,900 Base Bid #6 \$56,785	\$4,932 \$51,266 - \$40,100 - Base Bid #7		\$6,024	- \$20,172 \$16,682 Base Bid #10 \$75,813 - \$47,300 - Base Bid #10	- \$34,000 \$50,365 Base Bid #11 \$98,882 - \$78,900 - Base Bid #11				
Contractor Bennett and Brosseau L. Marshall Roofing Riddiford Roofing Metalmaster/Roofmaster EM Roofing Waukegan Roofing Bid Package #5 - Aluminum/Glazin Contractor Krull Windows RWS Windows Madden Glass Rock Valley Glass Bid Package #6 - Flooring Contractor Michael Kautz Carpets & Designs Johnson Flooring	X - X X Bid Bond X - X - X - X - X - X - X - X - X - X	X	\$2,650,000 \$2,339,164 \$2,176,790 Base Bid #1 \$1,844,469 \$1,790,100 Base Bid #1 \$295,600 \$397,662	\$2,621,000 - \$2,235,416 \$2,059,870 Base Bid #2 Base Bid #2				\$29,000 \$49,576 \$39,392 Base Bid #6 \$82,515 \$75,900 Base Bid #6 \$56,785 \$75,010	- \$4,932 \$51,266 - \$40,100 - Base Bid #7 \$25,882 \$34,110		\$6,024	- \$20,172 \$16,682 Base Bid #10 \$75,813 - \$47,300 - Base Bid #10	- \$34,000 \$50,365 Base Bid #11 \$98,882 - \$78,900 - Base Bid #11 \$51,381 \$69,445				



Park Ridge-Niles School District 64 2016 Capital Improvements Project February 26, 2016 - 10:00 a.m.

FGM ARCHITECTS

Bid Package #7 - Painting																	
Contractor	Bid Bond	Add. 1-2	Base Bid #1	Base Bid #2	Base Bid #3	Base Bid #4	Base Bid #5	Base Bid #6	Base Bid #7	Base Bid #8	Base Bid #9	Base Bid #10	Base Bid #11	Base Bid #12	Alternate #1	Alternate #2	Alternate #3
May Decorating	х	х	\$77,100	-	\$14,500	\$6,600	\$6,450	\$10,550	\$5,300	\$3,550	\$7,350	\$9,850	\$7,800	\$6,350	-	-	-
Midwest Decorating	х	Х	\$96,760	\$7,500	-	\$6,295	\$7,790	\$12,020	\$4,785	\$2,220	\$8,600	\$13,760	\$11,100	\$9,715	-	-	-
Oosterbaan & Sons Co.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cosgrove Construction Inc.	Х	NO	\$88,800	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bid Package #8 - Plumbing																	
Contractor	Bid Bond	Add. 1-2	Base Bid #1	Base Bid #2	Base Bid #3	Base Bid #4	Base Bid #5	Base Bid #6	Base Bid #7	Base Bid #8	Base Bid #9	Base Bid #10	Base Bid #11	Base Bid #12	Alternate #1	Alternate #2	Alternate #3
Ernie Peterson Plumbing	х	х	\$528,615	\$11,360	-	\$42,131	-	\$122,569	\$35,000	-	\$49,961	\$102,914	\$87,184	\$77,965	-	-	-
Hartwig Plumbing	х	х	\$472,000	\$35,700	-	\$36,625	-	\$91,860	\$38,650	-	\$49,500	\$102,000	\$78,900	\$38,865	-	-	-
Jensen Plumbing	х	Х	\$397,550		-	\$39,400	\$3,750	\$101,500	\$25,000	-	\$36,000	\$83,700	\$65,500	\$42,700	-	-	-
DeFranco Plumbing	х	Х	\$389,627	\$59,000	-	\$38,607	\$3,750	\$96,930	\$30,200	-	\$39,100	\$75,710	\$69,690	\$35,640	-	-	-
Bruckner Plumbing	Х	х	\$403,500	\$42,000	-	\$40,000	-	\$92,400	\$29,000	-	\$40,800	\$70,000	\$61,000	\$40,000	-	-	-
Bid Package #9 - HVAC																	
Contractor	Bid Bond	Add. 1-2	Base Bid #1	Base Bid #2	Base Bid #3	Base Bid #4	Base Bid #5	Base Bid #6	Base Bid #7	Base Bid #8	Base Bid #9	Base Bid #10	Base Bid #11	Base Bid #12	Alternate #1	Alternate #2	Alternate #3
Amber Mechanical	Х	х	\$2,604,000	\$248,000	-	\$178,000	\$92,000	\$196,000	\$168,000	\$48,000	\$339,000	\$345,000	\$388,000	\$602,000	-	-	-
Oakbrook Mechanical	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
National Heat & Power	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DeKalb Mechanical	X	Х	\$2,147,000	\$70,000	-	\$97,000	\$60,000	\$175,000	\$153,000	\$31,000	\$287,000	\$326,000	\$444,000	\$504,000	-	-	-
Quality Control System	x	X	\$2,512,900	\$160,000	-	\$149,000	\$90,000	\$189,000	\$207,000	\$79,000	\$270,000	\$375,900	\$433,000	\$560,000	-	-	-
RJ	Х	Х	\$2,206,959	\$70,000	-	\$188,107	\$61,793	\$166,171	\$145,720	\$34,281	\$297,459	\$343,822	\$425,809	\$517,968	-	-	-
Bid Package #10 - Electrical			_														
Contractor	Bid Bond	Add. 1-2	Base Bid #1	Base Bid #2	Base Bid #3	Base Bid #4	Base Bid #5	Base Bid #6	Base Bid #7	Base Bid #8	Base Bid #9	Base Bid #10	Base Bid #11	Base Bid #12	Alternate #1	Alternate #2	Alternate #3
J. Hamilton Electric Co., Inc.																	
Genesis Electric	х	х	\$1,215,833	\$892,481	-	\$158,190	\$83,096	\$157,474	\$100,427	\$39,244	\$194,691	\$165,878	\$171,815	\$141,018	-	-	-
Meany Electric	х	х	\$1,299,000	\$38,500	-	\$192,500	\$98,000	\$127,500	\$100,000	\$32,500	\$252,500	\$187,500	\$142,500	\$127,500	-	-	-
Shoreline Electric	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Carey Electric	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
American Electric	х	х	\$1,181,000			\$152,800	\$85,700	\$159,500	\$93,900	\$25,600	\$200,200	\$163,800	\$184,500	\$127,100	-	-	-

To: Board of Education

Dr. Laurie Heinz, Superintendent

From: Luann Kolstad, Chief School Business Official

Date: March 3, 2016

Subject: Approval of Recommendation to Reject Window Bid For Lincoln Window Replacement

On Friday, February 26, 2016 the District received the bids for Summer 2016 Projects. Bids were publicly opened and read aloud at 10:00 am local time.

The District received bids for Base Bid #3 – Lincoln Middle School Window Replacement.

As the District's Construction Manager, Nicholas and Associates has reviewed the bids. Based on the review of the bids as received, it is their opinion that the bids are not of "good value" for the District. We believe a number of factors contributed to the value determination.

- The aluminum window manufacturing industry is busy with 18 plus week backlogs on new orders.
- Summer work is the busiest time for window replacement and often causes prices to increase. Simply put it is supply and demand.

There were a total of five manufacturers listed in the specifications and only one of the five specified manufacturers actually quoted to bidding contractors, thus providing a less than competitive bid environment. The bids received are as follows:

Contractors	Bid Amount				
Krull Window Company 553 W. 110th Street Oak Lawn, IL 60453	\$	1,378,434			
Madden Glass, Inc. 60 Gordon Street Elk Grove, IL 60007	\$	1,413,000			

The administration is working with Nicholas and Associates and FGM Architects to determine when the Lincoln Window Replacement Project should be rebid. If we rebid we are planning to go out to bid this summer which would allow us to receive more competitive bids which will drive the price down due to interest in the project. We would plan on beginning the window replacement this fall, replacing a few windows at a time.

ACTION ITEM 16-03-2

I move that the Board of Education of Community Consolidated School District 64, Park Ridge – Niles, Illinois, approve the recommendation to reject all bids for Lincoln Window Replacement.

Contractors	Bid Amount
Krull Window Company	\$1,378,434
Madden Glass, Inc.	\$1,413,000

The votes were cast as follow	5.	
Moved by	Seconded by	
AYES:		
NAYS:		
PRESENT:		
ABSENT:		