

MEETING NOTES

Schematic Design Meeting 09 (SD 09)

Phasing

Project: FCPS – ES Prototype: Waverley ES (WAVES)
GWWO Project #18045

Meeting Date: March 07, 2019
Report Date: March 19, 2019

In Attendance:

Name	Initials	Organization	Email
Gloria Mikolajczyk	GM	PSCP	Gloria.mikolajczyk@maryland.gov
Paul Lebo	PL	FCPS	Paul.lebo@fcps.org
Adnan Mamoon	AM	FCPS	amamoon@fcps.org
Beth Pasierb	BP	FCPS	beth.pasierb@fcps.org
Brian Staiger	BS	FCPS	Brian.staiger@fcps.org
Holly Nelson	HN	FCPS	Holly.nelson@fcps.org
Steve Raff	SR	FCPS	Stephen.raff@fcps.org
Bob Wilkinson	BW	FCPS	Robert.wilkinson@fcp.org
Mary Jo Richmond	MJR	FCPS	Maryjo.richmond@fcps.org
Anthony Kukowski	AK	Oak	akukowski@oakcontracting.com
Paul Hume	PH	GWWO	phume@gwwoinc.com
Jason Hearn	JH	GWWO	jhearn@gwwoinc.com
Scott Moir	SM	GWWO	smoir@gwwoinc.com

The meeting was held to review progress of the development of a new ES prototype as part of the SD phase for WAVES. The primary objective was to review potential phasing and siting options for WAVES. Prior to meeting, GWWO and Oak met to coordinate on proposed phasing/siting options.

- SD 09.01** JH reviewed Agenda/Objectives
- Construction Parameters
 - Location of Construction Fence

- Allotted Building Area
 - Phase I
 - Potential Building Options
 - GOAL: Selection of Footprint

SD 09.02 JH reviewed Existing Site Conditions and Phase 1 activities.

- Demolition of parts of Existing Waverley
- Recommend removal of existing Rock Creek School (RCS) playground for Construction Access to west portion of site
- Recommend removal/relocation of Judy Center (existing portable classroom unit adjacent to RCS)
- Recommend identifying east entrance of RCS as only point of entry for school during construction of WAVES.

SD 09.03 JH reviewed creation of allotted building area based on 60 foot setback from existing WAVES and RCS.

SD 09.04 JH reviewed several options showing how building would, or would not, fit within in allotted building area. Most options require phasing of construction for post-demolition of RCS. Options that do not require phasing result in awkward and undesirable building footprints and locations.

- Discussion included review of the “C-shaped” diagram presented during early meetings; Footprint previously shown and assumed to work was of ECAES (WAVES fits but requires significant phasing).

SD 09.05 JH presented recommended option, similar to what has been shown previously, that has been shifted to minimally impact RCS.

- Location is not optimum solution (if Project started with a clean site), but works.

SD 09.06 Discussion shifted to “what-if” the students from RCS could be relocated and, combined with some swing-space for WAVES, what would optimum siting of the new building be and maintain 2022 school opening.

- BS to discuss relocation of students with FCPS.
- GWWO to further explore optimum siting options to be discussed at SD Meeting 10.

The foregoing represents the writer's interpretations of what transpired at the meeting. Please forward any changes or corrections within to smoir@gwwoinc.com. Otherwise these notes will stand as the final record of the meeting.

Next Meeting: Thursday, March 21, 2019

Respectfully submitted,
GWWO Architects

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Scott Moir".

Scott Moir, AIA, CDT
Associate • Project Manager