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## River Forest Public Schools

## D90 Assessment Philosophy

"District 90 is committed to a balanced approach to assessment to ensure educational excellence for every child. This careful balance of instruction and assessment facilitates instructional decision-making to support the growth and achievement for all learners."
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## River Forest Public Schools

## District 90 Vision for Equity

＂To ensure that every student feels empowered to achieve to his or her full potential，we commit to provide equitable opportunities for all learners，grow an inclusive school community，and demonstrate we value diversity．＂
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## Goals of Presentation

- Discuss purpose and types of assessment
- Provide overview of D90 assessment portfolio
- Review Partnership Assessment for

Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC)

- Review Measures of Academic Progress (MAP)
- Identify strengths
- Target areas for growth


## What is the Purpose of Assessment in D90?

- Supports consistency and alignment of curriculum
- Facilitates instructional decision-making
- Provides platform for progress monitoring
- Informs student placement grades 4-8
- Facilitates communication with parents and families


## Formative and Summative Assessment

Formative assessment is ongoing, (formal or informal) intended to monitor student learning in order to provide feedback to improve teaching and student learning.

Summative assessment evaluates student learning at the end of an instructional unit against a standard or a benchmark.

# What is student growth versus student achievement? 

Growth measures how students progress over time.

Achievement measures a single point in time that evaluates how well a student performs against a standard.

## Assessments Required By State of Illinois

| Assessment | Purpose | Grade |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| s |  |  |
| Kindergarten Individual <br> Development Survey (KIDS) | Observational tool designed to help <br> teachers assess developmental <br> readiness of entering kindergarten <br> students | K |
| Partnership Assessment <br> for Readiness for College <br> and Careers (PARCC) | Measure of the Illinois Learning <br> Standards intended to support college <br> and career readiness for Math and ELA | $3-8$ |
| Illinois Science | Measure of the Illinois Learning <br> Standards intended to support The Next <br> Generation Science Standards | $5 \& 8$ |
| ACCESS for English | Measure of student progress in <br> acquiring academic English | $1-8$ |
| Learners (EL) | Alternative to PARCC assessment <br> Alternative Assessment <br> (DLM-AA) | administered to students with acute learning <br> differences |
| FitnessGram | Assessment of aerobic capacity, <br> muscular strenath. flexibilitv and | $5 \& 7$ |

## Time Allocated: State Assessments

| Assessment | Time Allocated | Grades |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kindergarten Individual Developmental Survey (KIDS) | 14 day window for teachers to collect observational data and enter into KIDSTech system | K |
| Partnership Assessment for Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) | Grade 3: 8.25 hours <br> Grades 4-5: 8.50 hours <br> Grades 6-8: 9.20 hours | 3-8 |
| Illinois Science <br> Assessment (ISA) | Grade 5: $\quad 38$ minutes Grade 8: $\quad 40$ minutes | 5 \& 8 |
| ACCESS for English <br> Learners (EL) | Listening: 40 minutes <br> Reading: 45 minutes <br> Speaking: $15-35$ minutes/student <br> Writing: 35 minutes | 1-8 |
| Dynamic Learning MapsAlternative Assessment <br> (DLM-AA) | 2-4 hours per student | 3-8 |
| FitnessGram | 160 minutes (four class periods) | 5 \& 7 |

## District-Level Assessments

| Assessment | Purpose | Grades |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ages and Stages <br> Questionnaire (ASQ) | Parent-completed developmental and <br> social-emotional screener | K |
| Measures of Academic <br> Progress (MAP) | Adaptive assessment that monitors <br> student growth in math and reading <br> over time | $2-8$ |
| AIMSweb Plus | Universal screener for Multi-Tiered <br> System of Support (MTSS); progress <br> monitoring for math and reading | $\mathrm{K-6}$ |
| Fountas and Pinnell <br> Benchmark Assessment <br> Systems | $1: 1$ reading assessment utilized to <br> determine students' individual <br> independent and instructional <br> reading level | $\mathrm{K}-5$ |
| CogAT | Utilized for student placement <br> grades 3 \& 4 for math; grades 5-8 for <br> math and reading | 3-8 |

## Time Allocated: District Assessments

| Assessment | Time Allocated | Grades |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Measures of Academic <br> Progress (MAP) <br> Benchmark two timeslyear | Untimed: typical student completes <br> each assessment in under 60 <br> minutes | $2-8$ |
| AlMSweb Plus <br> Benchmark three times/year and <br> progress monitoring | Untimed: average time spent <br> K-1: 30-40 minutes <br> $2-4: 70-120$ minutes <br> $5-8: ~ 60-120$ minutes | $\mathrm{K}-8$ |
| Fountas and Pinnell <br> Benchmarking System <br> Administered two times/year | Approximately 20 minutes/student | $\mathrm{K}-4$ |
| CogAT* | $45-50$ minutes | $3-8$ |

## Considerations

- Different cohort groups limit ability to view data comparatively by grade
- Cohort size/composition varying from year to year can result in data volatility
- Results are a snapshot of a single point in time
- Results for cohort groups should be analyzed across multiple years to view longer-term trends


## 2016-2017 PARCC ELA: Grade 3 Percent of Students at Each Performance Level



## 2016-2017 PARCC ELA: Grade 4 Percent of

 Students at Each Performance Level

## 2016-2017 PARCC ELA: Grade 5 Percent of

 Students at Each Performance Level

## 2016-2017 PARCC ELA: Grade 6 Percent of Students at Each Performance Level



2016-2017 PARCC ELA: Grade 7 Percent of Students at Each Performance Level


## 2016-2017 PARCC ELA: Grade 8 Percent of Students at Each Performance Level



## 2016-2017 PARCC Math: Grade 3 Percent of

 Students at Each Performance Level

## 2016-2017 PARCC Math: Grade 4 Percent of Students at Each Performance Level



## 2016-2017 PARCC Math: Grade 5 Percent of

 Students at Each Performance Level

## 2016-2017 PARCC Math: Grade 6 Percent of Students at Each Performance Level



## 2016-2017 PARCC Math: Grade 7 Percent of Students at Each Performance Level



## 2016-2017 PARCC Math: Grade 8 Percent of Students at Each Performance Level



## 2016-2017 PARCC ELA: Percent of Students Meet or Exceed by Ethnicity



## 2016-2017 PARCC Math: Percent of Students Meet or Exceed byEthnicity



## Conclusions: PARCC

## English Language Arts

- No significant differences among sub-groups in the meets category
- Exceeds category is stratified across sub-groups Math
- Increase in Meets/Exceeds for grades 3, 4, 5 \& 7
- Meets/Exceeds were highest of last 3 years for grades 3-5
- Meets and Exceed category stratified across subgroups

2016-2017 Measures of Academic Progress (MAP)

- Aligned with the Illinois Learning Standards for math and English language arts (ELA)
- Adapted to the individual students taking assessment
- Designed to track student growth over time
- Intended to be a snapshot of current student performance, not an evaluation of courses


## 2015-2017 MAP Reading Fall-Spring Median Conditional Growth Percentile



## 2015-2017 MAP Math Fall-Spring Median Conditional Growth Percentile



## 2016-2017 MAP Reading Grades 2-4: Fall to Spring Median Growth Percentile by Ethnicity



## 2016-2017 MAP Reading Grades 5-8: Fall to Spring Median Growth Percentile by Ethnicity



## 2016-2017 MAP Math Grades 2-4: Fall to Spring Median Growth Percentile by Ethnicity



## 2016-2017 MAP Math Grades 5-8: Fall to Spring Median Growth Percentile by Ethnicity



## Conclusions: MAP

## Math

- Increased consistency in performance across grade levels
- Variability of sub-group performance begins to increase in grade 4
- Grades 7 \& 8 below Median Growth Percentile of 50 Reading
- All grade levels attained Median Growth Percentile of at least 50, four grade levels above 60
- Variability in performance across sub-groups increases in upper grade levels


## Areas for Growth

- Establish consistent growth across sub-groups and across years
- Monitor growth in math and reading at middle school level
- Expand range of instructional materials to support range of learning needs, interests, and points of view
- Continue alignment of curriculum and instruction to Illinois Learning Standards for math


## Next Steps

- Identify best practices in equitable mathematics education
- Expand opportunity for student voice and choice in showing what they know and understand
- Collaboratively review classroom based assessments utilizing established learning benchmarks
- Provide ongoing professional development
- Establish systems to monitor and evaluate student growth and achievement


## Q \& A: Community

 Engagement